AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

L M N O P Q

L

Laborda, J.G. & Jerman, M. F. L. (2015). External validation of the foreign Language speaking tasks of the High School Leaving Exam. International Journal of Language Studies, 9(1), 97-112.

Laher, S. & Cockcroft, K. (2017). Moving from culturally biased to culturally responsive assessment practices in low-resource, multicultural settings. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 48(2),115-121.

Laing, S.P. & Kamhi, A. (2003). Alternative assessment of language and literacy in culturally and linguistically diverse populations. Language, Speech, & Hearing Services in Schools, 34(1), 44-55.

Lajoie, S.P. (2005). Extending the scaffolding metaphor. Instructional Science, 33(5-6), 541-557.

Lajoie, S.P. & Lesgold, A.M. (1992). Dynamic assessment of proficiency for solving procedural knowledge tasks. Educational Psychology, 27(3), 365-384.

Lakin, J. M. (2011). Comparison of test directions for ability tests: Impact on young English-language learner and non-ELL students. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences. 2431.

Landor, M. (2006). An exploration of the perceived effects of giving verbal and video feedbak to the child, following dynamic assessment. Master of Science in Educational Psychology thesis, University of Dundee.

Landor, M., Lauchlan, F., Carrigan, D., & Kennedy, H. (2007). Feeding back the results of dynamic assessment to the child. Advances in Speech-Language Pathology, 9(4), 346-353.

Langdon, H.W., Novak, J.M., & Quintanar, R.S. (2000). Setting the teaching-learning wheel in motion in assessing language minority students. Multicultural Perspectives, 2(2), 3-9.

Langolf, J.P. (2009). Dynamic assessment: The dialectic integration of instruction and assessment. *Language Teaching*, 42(3), 355-368.

Lantolf, J.P.,&A.Aljaafreh.(1995).Secondlanguagelearninginthezoneofproximal development: A revolutionary experience. International Journal of Educational Research, 23(7), 619-632.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

- Lantolf, J.P., & Johnson K. E. (2007). Extending Firth and Wagner's (1997) ontological perspective to L2 classroom praxis and teacher education. Modern Language Journal, 91, 877-892.
- Lantolf, J.P. & Poehner, M.E. (2004). Dynamic assessment: Bringing the past into the future. Journal of Applied Linguistics, 1, 49-74.
- Lantolf ,J.P.& M.E. Poehner. (2004). Dynamic Assessment: Bringing the past into the Future. Journal of Applied Linguistics, 1, 49-79.
- Lantolf, J.P. & Poehner, M.E. (2006). Dynamic assessment in the foreign language classroom: A teacher's guide. CALPER University Park, Pennsylvania.
- Lantolf, J.P. and Poehner, M.E. (2007). Dynamic Assessment, In E. Shohamy, Ed., N. Hornberger, Gen. Ed., Encylopedia of Language and Education Volume 7. Language Testing and Assessment, Springer Publishing.
- Lantolf, J.P. & Poehner, M.E. (2011, DVD). Dynamic Assessment in the Foreign Language Classroom: A Teacher's Guide .Second edition. University Park, PA: Center for Advanced Language Proficiency Education and Research, Pennsylvania State University. http://calper.la.psu.edu/publication.php?page=dyna_assess:
- Lantolf, J.P. & Poehner, M.E. (2007). Dynamic Assessment in the Foreign Language Classroom: A Teacher's Guide (DVD). University Park, PA: Center for Advanced Language Proficiency Education and Research (Pennsylvania State University, Department of Applied Linguistics).
- Lantolf, J. P.& Poehner, M. E. (2013). The unfairness of equal treatment: Objectivity in L2 testing and dynamic assessment. Educational Research and Evaluation,19(2-3), 141-157.
- Lantolf, J.P. & Poehner, M.E. (2019). Dynamic assessment of L2 development: Bringing the past into the future. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *1*(1), 49-72.
- Lantolf, J.P. and Thorne, S.L.: 2006, The Sociogenesis of Second Language Development, Oxford: Oxford University Press. Larsen, J.A. & Nippold, M.A. (2007). Morphological analysis in school-age children: Dynamic assessment of a word learning strategy. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools,
- Larsen, J.A. & Nippold, M.A. (2007). Morphological analysis in school-age children: Dynamic assessment of a word learning strategy. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 38(3), 201-212.
- Larsen, J. A., & Nippold, M. A. (2007). Morphological analysis in school-age children: Dynamic assessment of a word learning strategy. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 38(3), 201-212. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461(2007/021)
- Larson, G.E., Alderton, D.L. & Kaupp, M.A. (1991). Dynamic administration of a general intelligence test. Learning and Individual Differences, 3(2), 123-134.
- Latuheru, E.J., & Hessels, M.G.P. (1993). School records of minority pupils: the influence of ethnic descent. [Schoolprestaties van allochtone leerlingen: de invloed van etnische herkomst.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

RISBO-paper nr. S41.] Rotterdam: Erasmus Universiteit.

Lauchlan, F. (2001). Addressing the social, cognitive and emotional needs of children: The case for dynamic assessment. Educational & Child Psychology, 18(4), 4-18.

Lauchlan, F. (2005). A welcome update of a cognitive education classic: Feuerstein et al. on Dynamic Assessment: A review of Feuerstein, Feuerstein, Falik, & Rand's, The Dynamic Assessment of Cognitive Modifiability, The Learning Propensity Assessment Device: Theory, instruments, and techniques. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 4(3), 377-379,

Lauchlan, F. (2012). Improving learning through dynamic assessment. The Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist, 29(2), 95-106.

Lauchlan, F. and Elliott, J. (1997) 'The use of the Cognitive Modifiability Battery as an intervention tool for children with complex learning difficulties" Educational and Child Psychology, 14(4), 137-148.

Lauchlan, F. & Elliott, J. (2001). The psychological assessment of learning potential. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71(4), 647-665.

Laughon, P. (1990). The dynamic assessment of intelligence: A review of three approaches. School Psychology Review, 19(4), 459-470.

Lauth, G. & Wiedl, K.H. (1985). Zur Veranderbarkeit der Testleistung im CFT 20 durch Instruktionsinternsivierung (The modifiability of CFT 20 test performance under the influence of intensified instruction). Diagnostica, 31, 200-109.

Lauth, G.W. & Wiedl, K.H. (1989). Cognitive teaching methods for special education: Development of approaches for intervention and assessment in Germany. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 36(3), 187-202.

Lautrey, J. (1994). L'évaluation du potentiel d'apprentissage, état de la question. In M. Huteau (Ed.), Les techniques psychologiques d'évaluation des personnes. Issy-les-Moulineaux: EAP.

Law, J. & Camilleri, B. (2007). Dynamic assessment and its application to children with speech and language learning difficulties. Advances in Speech-Language Pathology, 9(4), 271-272.

Lawrence, N. & Cahill, S. (2014). The impact of dynamic assessment: An exploration of the views of children, parents and teachers. British Journal of Special Education, 41(2), 181-211.

Lawrie, G.A., Schultz, M., Bailey, C.H., Abdullah, M., Mamun, A., Micallef, A.S., Williams, M., & Wright, A.H. (2016). Development of Scaffolded Online Modules To Support Self-Regulated Learning in Chemistry Concepts *Technology and Assessment Strategies for Improving Student Learning in Chemistry*, 1-21 DOI:10.1021/bk-2016-1235.ch001

Lay, A., Patton, E., & Chalhoub-Deville, M. (2017). A case for the use of the ability-in language user-in context orientation in game-based assessment. *Language Testing in Asia.*, 7: 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-017-0045-0

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Lazewnik, R. (2014). Identifiers of bilingual Spanish-English speaking children with language impairment. Dissertation Abstracts International Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 75 (2-B) (E).

Lebeer, J. (2005), Shifting perspective: dynamic assessment of learning processes in children with developmental disabilities, Erdélyi Pszichológiai Szemle (Transsylvanian Journal of Psychology), Special Issue on Dynamic Assessment, 1, 55-85.

Lebeer, J. (ed). (2011). Work package No 3 Report. "Whitebook." Good practices in dynamic and contextual assessment of children with special needs. Antwerp, Belgium: University of Antwerp. Daffodil Partnership, Antwerp, Belgium. Contact: Project Coordinator Jo Lebeer, University of Antwerp, Belgium.

Lebeer, J. (2011). Dynamic assessment of learning processes: Feuerstein's LPAD model. In J. Lebeer, A., Candeias, & L. Gracio (Eds.). *With a different glance: Dynamic assessment of functioning of children oriented at development and inclusive learning* (pp.87-111). Antwerp, Belgium: Garant-Uitgevers.

Lebeer, J. (2016). Significance of the Feuerstein approach in neurocognitive rehabilitation. *NeuroRehabilitation*, *39* (1), 19-35.

Lebeer, J., Birta-Szekely, N., Demeter, K., Boha`cs, K., Araujo Candeias, A., Sonnesyn, G., Partanen, P., & Dawson, L. (2001). Re-assessing the Current Assessment Practice of Children with Special Education Needs in Europe. School Psychology International, 1-24.

Lebeer, J., Birty-Szekely, N., Demeter, K., Partanen, P., Candeias, A., Rebocho, M., Rosario, S-C., Bohacs, K., Dawson L., Orban, R., & Sønnesyn, G. (2011). Tests and instruments currently used. In J. Lebeer, A. Candeias, & L. Gracio (Eds.). With a different glance: Dynamic assessment of functioning of children oriented at development and inclusive learning (pp.49-69). Antwerp, Belgium: Garant-Uitgevers.

Lebeer, J., Birta-Székely, N., Demeter, K., Bohács, K., Candeias, A. A;Sønnesyn, G., Partanen, P. & Dawson, L. (2012). Re-assessing the current assessment practice of children with special education needs in Europe. School Psychology International, 33(1), 69-92.

Lebeer, J. & Partanen, P. (2011). Guidelines for a dynamic and functional assessment oriented at development and inclusive learning. In J. Lebeer, A. Candeias, & L. Gracio (Eds.). With a different glance: Dynamic assessment of functioning of children oriented at development and inclusive learning (pp.249-257). Antwerp, Belgium: Garant-Uitgevers.

Lebeer, J., Partanen, P., Candeias, A., & Dawson, L. (2013). Work package number 3. Whitebook good practices in dynamic and functional assessment. Daffodil, a Comenius 1.2 Multilateral Project 2008-2011, University of Antwerp, Belgium.

Lebeer, Jo Birta-Székely, Noémi; Demeter, Kármen; Bohács, Krisztina; Candeias, Adelinda Araujo; Sønnesyn, Gunvor; Partanen, Petri; Dawson, Lorna Re-assessing the current assessment practice of children with special education needs in Europe. School Psychology International, Vol 33(1), Feb 2012. 69-92.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Lederberg, A.R., & Spencer, P.E. (2009). Word-learning abilities in deaf and hard-of-hearing preschoolers: Effect of lexicon size and language modality. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education, 14(1), 44-62.

Lee, I. (2017). Purpose, theory, and practice of classroom L2 writing assessment. In I. Lee (Ed.). Classroom Writing Assessment and Feedback in L2 School Contexts (pp. 9-24). Springer.

Lee, S. (2016). *Dynamic Assessment in Foreign Language Individualized Instruction*. (Electronic Thesis or Dissertation). Retrieved from https://etd.ohiolink.edu/

Leeuw, L.de & Resing, W.C.M. (1988). Leerpotentieel en diagnostiek. [Learning potential and psychodiagnostics]. In Gl Kanselaar, J.L. van der Linden & A. Pennings (Eds.). Begaafdheid: onderkenning en beinvloeding. Amersfoort: Acco (pp. 55-68).

Leonard, W.L. (2010). Evaluating the use of dynamic assessment to predict math success in community colleges. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 88.

Leontjev, D. (2016). Dynamic assessment of word derivational knowledge: Tracing the development of a learner Eesti *Rakenduslingvistika Ühingu aastaraamat*/Estonian *Papers in Applied Linguistics, doi:10.5128/ERYa12.09, 12,* 141-160.

Leontjev, D. (2016a). Dynamic assessment of word derivational knowledge: Tracing the development of a learner. Eesti Rakenduslingvistika Uhingu Aastaraamat.

Leontjev, D. (2016b). Exploring and reshaping learners' beliefs about the usefulness of corrective feedback: A sociocultural perspective. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 167 (1), 46-77.

Letts, C.& Sinka, I. (2013). Research and practice in working with children who are bilingual or have English as an additional language and who have language and communication needs. Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 29(1), 7-9.

Leung. C. (2007). Dynamic assessment: Assessment for and as teaching? Language Assessment Quarterly: An International Journal, 4, 257-278.

Levi, T. (2015). Towards a framework for assessing foreign language orgal proficiency in a large-scale test setting: Learning from DA mediation examinee verbalizations. Language and Sociocultural Theory, 2(1),

Levine, R. (2009). Curriculum-based dynamic assessment emphasizing a triarchic model and language abilities: Examining the utility of this testing method in elementary school mathematics classrooms. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering. 70(2-B). 1349.

Levine, R. (2011). Curriculum- based dynamic assessment emphasizing a triarchic model and language abilities: Examining the utility of this testing method in elementary school children. Publisher ProQuest, UMI Dissertation Publishing

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

- Lewis, B.A. (1998). Teacher satisfaction with standardized assessment reports: Implications for the use of dynamic assessment as an alternative assessment method. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities & Social Sciences, 59 (6-A), 1906.
- Li, D. (2015). Comparison Between Peer Mediation and Teacher Mediation in Dynamic EFL Writing Assessment: A Case Study. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics*. *38*(4), 490–502.
- Li, Q., & Li, D. (2015). A Review of Empirical Studies in L2 Dynamic Assessment. Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics, 38(1), 55-73.
- Lidz, C.S. (1983). Dynamic assessment and the preschool child. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment 1, 59-72.
- Lidz, C.S. (Ed.). (1987a). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential. New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. (1987b). Historical perspectives. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 3-). New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. (1987b). Cognitive deficiencies revisited. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 444-475). New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. (1990). The Preschool Learning Assessment Device: An approach to the dynamic assessment of young children. European Journal of Psychology of Education. V (2), 167-175.
- Lidz, C.S. (1991). Practitioners' guide to dynamic assessment. New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. (1992a). Dynamic assessment: Some thoughts on the model, the medium, and the message. Learning and Individual Differences, 4 (2), 125-136.
- Lidz, C.S. (1992b). The extent of incorporation of dynamic assessment into cognitive assessment courses: A national survey of school psychology trainers. Journal of Special Education, 26 (3). 325-331.
- Lidz, C.S. (1995). Dynamic assessment and the legacy of L.S. Vygotsky. School Psychology International, 16, 143-153.
- Lidz, C.S. (1996). Dynamic assessment approaches. In D.P. Flanagan, J.L. Genshaft, & P.L. Harrison (Eds.). Contemporary intellectual assessment: Theories, tests, and issues (pp.281-195). New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. (1997a). Dynamic assessment: Psychoeducational assessment with cultural sensitivity. Journal of Social Distress and the Homeless, 6 (2), 95-.
- Lidz, C.S. (1997b). Dynamic assessment: Restructuring the role of school psychologists. Communiqué, 25 (8).
- Lidz, C.S. (2000a). The Application of Cognitive Functions Scale (ACFS): An example of

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

curriculum-based dynamic assessment. In C.S. Lidz & J.G. Elliott (Eds.). Dynamic assessment: Prevailing models and applications (pp. 407-439). Amsterdam: JAI/Elsevier Science.

Lidz, C.S. (2000b). Theme and some variations on the concepts of mediated learning experience and dynamic assessment. In A.Kozulin & Y. Rand (Eds.). Experience of mediated learning: An impact of Feuerstein's theory in education and psychology (Pp. 166-174). Elmsford, NY: Pergamon.

Lidz, C.S. (2001). Dynamic assessment. In W.E.Craighead & C.B. Nemeroff (Eds.). The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology and Behavioral Science (pp. 465-466). New York: John Wiley.

Lidz, C.S. (2001b). Multicultural issues and dynamic assessment. In L.A. Suzuki, J.G. Ponterotto, & P.J. Meller (Eds.). Handbook of multicultural assessment: Clinical, psychological, and educational applications, second edition (pp.523-540). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Lidz, C.S. (2002). Mediated learning experience (MLE) as a basis for an alternative approach to assessment. School Psychology International, 23 (1), 68-84.

Lidz, C.S. (2003a). Dynamic assessment. In C.S. Lidz. Early childhood assessment (pp.112-132). New York: John Wiley.

Lidz, C.S. (2003 b). Dynamic assessment (learning potential testing, testing the limits). In R. Fernandez-Ballesteros (Ed.). Encyclopedia of Psychological Assessment (pp. 337-343). London: Sage.

Lidz, C.S. (2004a). Successful application of a dynamic assessment procedure with young deaf students betwen the ages of four and eight years. Educational and Child Psychology, 21 (1), 59-73.

Lidz, C.S. (2004b). Dynamic assessment entry in The Concise Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology and Behavioral Science, Third Edition (302-303). W.E. Craighead and C.B. Nemeroff (Eds.). New York: Wiley.

Lidz, C.S. (2005a). The Application of Cognitive Functions Scale: A dynamic assessment procedure for young children. In O-S Tan & A. Seok-Hoon Seng (Eds.), Enhancing cognitive functions: Applications across contexts (pp. 77-101). Singapore: McGraw-Hill.

Lidz, C.S. 2005b). Dynamic assessment with young children: We've come a long way baby! Journal of Early Childhood and Infant Psychology, 1, 99-112.

Lidz, C.S. (2009a). Dynamic assessment: Progress, problems, and prospects: A commentary on Karpov and Tzuriel. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 8 (3), 238-241.

Lidz, C.S. (2009b). Dynamic assessment in School Psychology. Communiqué, October, 38 (2), 16-18.

Lidz, C. S. (2009). Dynamic assessment, progress, problems, and prospects: A commentary on Karpov and Tzuriel. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 8(3), 238-241.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

- Lidz, C.S. (2010). Book review: M.E. Poehner, Dynamic Assessment: A Vygotskian approach to understanding and promoting L2 development. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 9(1),87-88.
- Lidz, C.S. (2014). Learning toward a consensus about Dynamic Assessment: Can we? Do we want to? Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 13(3), 292-307.
- Lidz, C.S. & Elliott, J.G. (Eds.). (2000). Dynamic assessment: Prevailing models and applications. Amsterdam: JAI/Elsevier Science.
- Lidz, C.S. & Elliott, J.G. (2006). Use of dynamic assessment with gifted students. Gifted Education International, 21, 151-161.
- Lidz, C.S. & Gindis, B. (2003). Dynamic assessment of the evolving cognitive functions in children with typical and atypical development. In A. Kozulin, V. Ageyev, S. Miller, & B. Gindis (Eds.). Vygotsky's theory of education in cultural context [pp. 99-116]. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lidz, C.S. & Greenberg, K.H. (1997). Criterion validity of a group dynamic assessment procedure with rural first grade regular education students. Journal of Cognitive Education, 6 (8), 89-.
- Lidz, C.S. & Jepsen, R.H. (2000). The Application of Cognitive Functions Scale. Unpublished manuscript: contact C.S. Lidz: zdilsc@aol.com
- Lidz, C.S., Jepsen, R.H., & Miller, M.B. (1997). Relationships between cognitive processes and academic achievement: Application of a group dynamic assessment procedure with multiply handicapped adolescents. Educational and Child Psychology, 14, 56-67.
- Lidz, C.S. & Macrine, S. (2001). Identification of minority and immigrant students for gifted education: The contribution of dynamic assessment. School Psychology International, 22 (1), 74-96.
- Lidz, C.S., & Peña, E. (1996). Dynamic assessment: The model, its relevance as a non-biased approach and its application to Latino-American preschool children. Language, Speech and Hearing Services in Schools, 27(4), 367-372.
- Lidz, C.S. Y Peña, E.D. (2009). Response to Intervention: Do we just appear to be speaking the same language? Seminars in Speech and Language, 30, 121-134,
- Lidz, C.S. & Thomas, C. (1987). The Preschool Learning Assessment Device: Extension of a static approach. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 288-). New York: Guilford.
- Lidz, C.S. & Van Der Aaslvoort, G.M. (2005). A kognitiv funkciok skala alkalmazasanak adaptacioja holland kisgyerekek szamara (Usefulness of the Application of Cognitive Functions Scale with young children from the Netherlands). Erkelyi Pszichologiai Szemie, 6(1) 25-44. http://www.pszichologia.ro/english/title.htm
 Transylvanian Journal of Psychology, Special Issue, 83-99.

AUTHOR NAMES L - Q

Lifshitz, H., Tzuriel, D. & Weiss, I. (2005). Effects of training in conceptual versus perceptual analogies among adolescents and adults with intellectual disability. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 5 (2), 144-170.

Lifshitz, H., Weiss, I., Tzuriel, D. & Tzemach, M. (2010). New model of mapping difficulties in solving analogical problems among adolescents and adults with intellectual disability. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 32(1), 326-344.

Lifshitz, H., Weiss, I., Tzuriel, D., & Tzemach, M. (2011). New model of mapping difficulties in solving analogical problems among adolescents and adults with intellectual disability. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 32(1), 326-344.

Lin, Z. (2010). Interactive dynamic assessment with children learning EFL in kindergarten. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, *37*(4), 279-287.

Lin, C. Y., & Wang, T. H. (2017). Implementation of Personalized E-Assessment for Remedial Teaching in an E-Learning Environment. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 13(4), 1045-1058.

Lindgren, K.P. (2007). Sexual intent perceptions: Review and integration of findings, investigation of automatic processes, and development and implementation of a dynamic assessment methodology. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: he Sciences and Engineering, 67(9-B), 5469.

Linhares, Maria Beatriz M., Escolano, Ângela C.M., & Enumo, Sônia R.F. (Eds.) (2006). Avaliação assistida: Fundamentos, procedimentos e aplicabilidade [Dynamic assessment: Basis, procedures and aplicability]. São Paulo, SP, Brazil: Casa do Psicólogo. 134 pp. ISBN 85-7396-458-8. http://www.casadopsicologo.com.br Lloyd. P.(Ed.).(1999). Lev Vygotsky: Critical assessments: Future directions, Vol. IV. Florence, KY:Taylor & Francis/Routledge.

Llosa, L. (2017). Assessing Students' Content Knowledge and Language Proficiency. *Language Testing and Assessment*, 3-14.

Lloyd, P. & Fernyhough, C. (Eds.). (1999). Lev Vygotsky: Critical assessments: The zone of proximal development. Vol.III. Florence, KY: Taylor & Frances/Routledge.

Lofthouse, R. E., Lindsay, W. R., Totsika, V., Hastings, R. P., Boer, D. P. & Haaven, J. L. (2013). Prospective dynamic assessment of risk of sexual reoffending in individuals with an intellectual disability and a history of sexual offending behavior. Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 26(5), 394-403.

Lofthouse, R. E., Totsika, V., Hastings, R. P., Lindsay, W. R., Hogue, T. E., & Taylor, J. L. (2014). How do static and dynamic risk factors work together to predict violent behaviour among offenders with an intellectual disability? Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 58(2), 125-133.

Lolwana, P.P. (1991). Comparative analysis of dynamic assessment using a nonverbal standardized intelligence test and a verbal curriculum-based test. Dissertation Abstracts International, 52(2-A), 477.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Long, E. (2012) Integrating Dynamic Assessment and Response-to-Intervention in reading instruction. The ASHA Leader.

Loreti, Bianca Angelica, "Validity of a Nonspeech Dynamic Assessment of Phonological Awareness in Children from Spanish-speaking Backgrounds" (2015). *Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. University of South Florida.

Losardo, A. & Syverson, A.N. (2011). *Alternative approaches to assessing young children* (2nd ed.). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

Lu, C. (2017). The roles of Pinyin skill in English-Chinese biliteracy learning: Evidence from Chinese immersion learners. Foreign Language Annals, 50(2), 306-322.

Lu, Y-Y & Hu, C-F. (2018). Dynamic assessment of phonological awareness in young foreign language learners: Predictability and modifiability. *Reading and Writing*, DOI: 10.1007/s11145-018-9894-x

Lukovic, S. Baucal, A., & Tisma, B. (2013). Dinamičko testiranje zone narednog razvoja testom za ispitivanje prvaka (TIP-1). Primenjena Psihologija, 6(4), 371-383.

Lund, S. K., Quach, W., Weissling, K., McKelvey, M., & Dietz, A. (2017). Assessment with Children Who Need Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC): Clinical Decisions of AAC Specialists. *Language*, *speech*, *and hearing services in schools*, *48*(1), 56-68.

Luo, W. (2017). Self-directed learning oriented assessments in the Asia-Pacific. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, *37*(2). 276-278.

Lussier, C.M. (2002). Does dynamic assessment reduce the influence of stress on memory and reasoning? Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities & Social Sciences, Dec., 63 (5-A). 1711.

Luther, M.G., Cole, E., & Gamlin, P.J. (Eds.). (1996). Dynamic assessment for instruction: From theory to application. North York, ON: Captus.

Luther, M. & Wyatt, F. (1989). A comparison of Feuerstein's method of (LPAD) assessment with conventional I.Q. testing on disadvantaged North York high school students. International Journal of Dynamic Assessment & Instruction, 1(1), 49-64.

Luther, M.G. & Wyatt, F. (1996). A comparison of Feuerstein's method of LPAD assessment with conventional I.Q. testing on disadvantaged North York high school students. In M.G. Luther, E. Cole, et al. (Eds.). Dynamic assessment for instruction: From theory to application (pp. 168-181). North York, ON: Captus.

M

BACK TO TOP

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Machleit, S.R. (2000). Working memory and writing: A comparison of two types of dynamic assessment of working memory and the relationship to working ability of heterogeneously grouped seventh grade students. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities & Social Sciences, 61(2-A), 496.

Mackawa, J. & Storkel, H.L.(2006). Dynamic assessment and word learning. Acquiring Knowledge in Speech, Language, and Hearing, 8, 103-105.

Mackenzie, S. (2007). Educational psychologists' assessment of children's arithmetic skills. Educational & Child Psychology, 24(2), 119-136.

Macleod, A.A.N. & Glaspey, A.M. (2014). A multidimensional view of gradient change in velar acquisition in three-year-olds receiving phonological treatment. Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics, 28(9), 664-681.

Macrine, S.L. & Sabbatino, E.D. (2008). Dynamic Assessment and Remediation Approach: Using the DARA Approach to Assist Struggling Readers. Reading & Writing Quarterly, 24(1),52-76.

Magnusson, S.J., Templin, M., & Boyle, R.A. (1997). Dynamic science assessment: A new approach for investigating conceptual change. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 6(1), 91-142.

Mainwaring, D. J. (2015). Creating a safe space: A case study of complex trauma and a call for proactive comprehensive psychoeducational assessments and reviews.

Maisto, C.A. (1985). Dynamic assessment of the cognitive functioning of emotionally disturbed, mildly learning handicapped, and average children. Dissertation Abstracts, 46(6-B), 2091.

Majluf, A. (2003). Orientaciones innovatives en la evaluacion y educacion de niños en situacion de desvantaja sociocultural. Rivista de Psicologia, 21(1), 107-117.

Malbran, M.del C. & Villar, C.M. (2001/2002). The dynamic evaluation of the learning potential: A procedure. Orientation y Sociedad, 3, 149-155

Malik, A.K., & Hessels, M.G.P. (1997). Fatima has run away! Youth aid from the perspective of the muslims. [Fatima weggelopen! En nu...? De jeugdhulpverlening bekeken vanuit het perspectief van de moslims.] Rotterdam: SPIOR/Erasmus Universiteit.

Malmeer, E. & Zoghi, M. (2014). Dynamic assessment of grammar with different age groups. *Theory & Practice in Language Studies*; 4 (8), 707.

Malmeer, E., & Zoghi, M. (2014). Dynamic Assessment of Grammar with Different Age Groups. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 4(8), 1707-1713.

Mann, W., Peña, E. D., & Morgan, G. (2014). Exploring the use of dynamic language assessment with deaf children, who use American Sign Language: Two case studies. Journal of Communication Disorders, 52, 16-30. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2014.05.002

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Mann, W., Pena, E., Morgan, G. (2015). Child modifiability as predictor of language abilities in deaf children who use American Sign Language. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathohgy. Epub ahead of print

Mann, W. & Haug, T. (2016). New directions in signed language assessment. Marschark, Marc (Ed); Spencer, Patricia Elizabeth (Ed). (2016). *The Oxford handbook of deaf studies in language*. (pp. 299-310). New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press, 460 pp.

Mann, Wolfgang; Haug, Tobias (2016). New directions in signed language assessment. Oxford. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190241414.013.20

Manzanares, B., & Kan, P. F. (2014). Assessing Children's Language Skills at a Distance: Does it Work? IG 12 Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 23(1), 34-41.

Maragkaki, I. & Hessels, M.G.P. (2017). A pilot study of dynamic assessment of vocabulary in German for bilingual preschoolers in Switzerland. *Journal of Studies in Education*, 7(1).

Marghati, S. V. & Ahangari, S. (2015). Dynamic assessment of pragmatics: The impact of dynamic assessment on EFL learners; interlanguage pragmatic development. *Basic Research Journal of education research and review 1(4)* 13-21.

Marschark, Marc (Ed); Spencer, Patricia Elizabeth (Ed), (2016). The Oxford handbook of deaf studies in language. Oxford library of psychology., (pp. 299-310). New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press, xx, 460 pp.

Mardani, M., &Tavakoli, M. (2011). Beyond reading comprehension: The effect of adding dynamic assessment component on EFL reading comprehension. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2(3), 688-696.

Martin, D. (2015). Dynamic assessment of language disabilities. *Language Teaching*, 48(1), 51-68.

Marulis, L.M. (2015). Conceptualizing and assessing metacognitive development in young children. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 76(2-B(E)).

Marzban, A., & Nafarzadehnafari, N. (2018). The effect of interventionist classroom vs. MALL Dynamic Assessment of EFL learners' vocabulary learning. *Applied Linguistics Research Journal*, *2*(3), 58-66.

Marzban, H., Bagheri, M. S., & Sadighi, F. (2017. Enhancing learners' listening comprehension in an EFL context through interactionist dynamic assessment. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*, 7(1), 247.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Massetti, G.M. (2003). Dynamic assessment as an intervention for emergent literacy in Head Start. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B; The Sciences and Engineering, 64 (1-B), 425.

Mata, S. & Calero, M.D. (2014). Dynamic assessment: The Spanish version of the Application of Cognitive Functions Scale. The Spanish Journal of Psychology, 17, Article E89.

Mata, S., Gómez-Pérez, M. M., Molinero, C., & Calero, M. D. (2017). Interpersonal problem-solving skills, executive function and learning potential in preadolescents with high/low family risk. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 20. e56. Doi:10.1017/sjp.2017.54

Mata, S., Van Geert, P., Van der Aalsvoort, G. (2017). Scaffolding young children: The utility of mediation in a classification test. Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, 15(2), 441-466.

Mathew, P., Al-Mahrooqi, R., & Denman, C. (2017). Electronic Intervention Strategies in Dynamic Assessment in an Omani EFL Classroom. In *Revisiting EFL Assessment* (pp. 321-338). NY: Springer International Publishing.

Matthews, L. & Bouwer, L. (2009). Enhancing adolescents' responses to projection plates through a dynamic assessment technique of questioning. South African Journal of Psychology, 39(2), 231-241.

Matthews, M.S. (2002). Dynamic assessment of academic ability of bilingual immigrant Latino children. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities & Social Sciences, 63(2-A), 498.

Matthews, M.S., & Farmer, J. (2017). Predicting academic achievement growth among low-income Mexican-American learners using dynamic and static assessments. Australasian *Journal of Gifted Education*, *26* (1), doi: 10.21505/ajge.2017.0002.

Mauludin, L.A. & Ardianti, T.M. (2017). The role of dynamic assessment in EFL writing class. Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching, METATHESIS, 1(2), 82-93.

Mauludin, L.A. & Ardianti, T.M. (2018). Dynamic assessment to improve students' summary writing in ESP class. *Advanced Science Letters*, 12, 9687-9690.

Maxwell, A. (2014). Review of Improving Learning through Dynamic Assessment: A practical classroom resource. The Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist 31(1), 82-83.

Mazloomi, S. & Khabiri, M (2016). Diagnostic assessment of writing through dynamic self-assessment. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, *6*(*6*), 19-31.

McCallum, R. S. (2017). Context for nonverbal assessment of intelligence and related abilities. In *Handbook of nonverbal assessment* (pp. 3-19). Springer International Publishing.

McLeod, S., Verdon, S., & The International Expert Panel on Multilingual Children's Speech.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

(2016). Tutorial: Speech assessment for multilingual children who do not speak the same language(s) as the Speech-Language Pathologist. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 10.

McCloskey, D. & Schicke Athanasiou, M. (2000). Assessment and intervention practices with second-language learners among school psychologists. *Psychology in the Schools 37*(3), 209-225. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1520-6807(200005)37:3<209::AID-PITS2>3.0.CO;2-#

McDaniel, A. (1983). Learning potential assessment in educable mentally retarded students. Dissertation Abstracts International, 43(10-A), 3272-3273.

McIntyre, K. (2017). Raising Self-Efficacy Through Ipsative Assessment and Feuerstein's Instrumental Enrichment Programme. In *Ipsative Assessment and Personal Learning Gain* (pp. 85-104). Palgrave Macmillan UK.

McLaughlin, K. & Cascella, P.W. (2008). Elliciting a distal gesture via dynamic assessment among students with moderate to severe intellectual disability. Communication Disorders Quarterly, 29(2), 75-81.

McLeod, S., & Verdon, S. (2017). Tutorial: Speech assessment for multilingual children who do not speak the same language (s) as the speech-language pathologist. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 1-18.

McNamara, T. (1997). "Interaction" in second language performance assessment: Whose performance? Applied Linguistics, 18, 446-466.

McNeil, ... (2016). Understanding and addressing the challenges of learning computer-mediated Dynamic Assessment: A teacher education study. Language Teaching Research,

McNeil, L. (2018). Understanding and addressing the challenges of learning computer-mediated Dynamic Assessment A teacher education study. *Language teaching research*, 22(3), 289-309.

McNeish, D. & Dumas, D. (2018). Calculating conditional reliability for dynamic measurement model capacity estimates. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, *55*(4), 614-634.

McNeish, D., Dumas, D.G. & Grimm, K.J. (2019). Estimating new quantities from longitudinal test scores to improve forecasts of future performance. Unpublished manuscript: Daniel McNeish, POBox 871104, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; dmcneish@asu.edu

McNiff, J. & Aicher, T.J. (2016). Understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with online learning: A scaffolding theory approach. *Sport Management Education Journal*, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/smej.2016-0007

Mearig, J.S. (1987). Assessment the learning potential of kindergarten and primary-age children. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 237-267). New York: Guilford.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Mehrabany, Z. & Bagheri, M.S. (2017). Which one is superior: The cake approach or the sandwich approach? The effect of dynamic assessment on EFL undergraduates' vocabulary knowledge. *International Journal of Language Learning the Applied Linguistics World (LJLLALW)*, 15(2), 1-13.

Mehrdad, A.G. & Havaeji, Z. S. (2015). The effect of dynamic assessment on the use of cohesive devices in EFL learners' writing. International Journal of English and Education, 4(2), 58-66.

Mehri, E. & Amerian, M. (2015a). Challenges to dynamic assessment in second language learning. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *5*(7), 1458-1466.

Mehri, E. & Amerian, M. (2015b). Group dynamic assessment (G-DA): The case for the development of control over the past tense. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature*, *4*(5). 11-20.

Meiham, H.& Meiham, B. (2014). An overview of dynamic assessment in the language classroom. International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences, 5, 35-43. Available online at www.ilshs.pl

Meijer, J. (1993). Learning potential, personality characteristics and test performance. In J.H.M. Hamers, K. Sijtsma, Eds. et al. Learning potential assessment: Theoretical, methodological and practical issues (pp. 341-362). Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger.

Meijer, J. (1996). Learning potential and fear of failure. Amsterdam: Bauer.

Meijer, J. (2001). Learning potential and anxious tendency: Test anxiety as a bias factor in educational testing. Anxiety, Stress, and Coping: An International Journal, 14(3), 337-362.

Meijer, J. (2001). Learning potential and anxious tendency: Test anxiety as a bias factor in educational testing. Anxiety, Stress & Coping: An International Journal, 14(3), 337-362. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10615800108248361

Meijer, J., & Elshout, J. J. (2001). The predictive and discriminant validity of the zone of proximal development. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71(1), 93-113. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/000709901158415

Melendez, N. (2013). Enhancing oral expression in English as a foreign language through task-based learning and dynamic assessment. Universitat Politecnica de Valencia Departamento de Linguística Aplicada, Valencia, Spain.

Meltzer, L. & Reid, D.K. (1994). New directions in the assessment of students with special needs: The shift toward a constructivist perspective. Journal of Special Education, 28(3), 338-355.

Methe, S.A. (2005). Validation and diagnostic accuracy of early numeracy skill indicators.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 66(6-A), 2104.

Meyers, J. (1987). The training of dynamic assessors. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 403-425). New York: Guilford.

Milagros F-M, Trellab, M., & Barrosb, B. (2014). Experiences with tasks supported by a cognitive e-learning system in preschool: modelling and training on working memory and attentional control. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies. Available online: doi:10.1016/j.ijhcs.2014.11.001

Miller, L.P. et al. (1992). Alternatives to norm-referenced testing for assessing student achievement. ERS Spectrum, 10(3), 3-9.

Miller, L., Gillam, R.B., & Peña, E.D. (2001). Dynamic assessment and intervention: Improving children's narrative abilities. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.

Minaabad, M.S. (2017). Study of the Effect of Dynamic Assessment and Graphic Organizers on EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 8(3), 548-555.

Minakova, V. (2019). Dynamic assessment of IELTS speaking: A learning-oriented approach to test preparation. *Language and Sociocultural Theory*, *6*(2).

Minick, N. (1987). Implications of Vygotsky's theories for dynamic assessment. In C.S. Lidz (Ed.). Dynamic assessment: An interactional approach to evaluating learning potential (pp. 116-140).

Mintzker, Y. (1997). Dynamic assessment of younger children with developmental disorders as a basis for MLE-directed parental training. In A. Kozulin (Ed.). The ontogeny of cognitive modifiability: Applies aspects of mediated learning experience and instrumental enrichment (pp. 151-156). Jerusalem: International Center for the Enhancement of Learning Potential.

Minzker, J. (2002). Assessing the Cognitive Modifiability of Children and Youth with Down Syndrome. In R. Feurstein, In R.S. Feurstein, L. H. Falik, & Y. Rand (Eds.) The Dynamic Assessment of Cognitive Modifi Ability (pp. 489-505). Jerusalem: International Center for the Enhancement of Learning Potential Press.

Miranda-Carroll, D.S. (1988). Dynamic assessment: A comparative analysis of Puerto Rican children classified as educable mentally retarded and learning disabled. Dissertation Abstracts International, 49 (1-A), 63.

Miri, M., Alibakhshi, G., Kushki, A., & Bavarsad, P.S. (2017). Going beyond One-to-One Mediation in Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD): Concurrent and Cumulative Group **Dynamic Assessment** *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linquistics*, *3*(1), 1-24.

Mirzaei, A., Shakibei, L., & Jafarpour, A. A. (2017). ZPD-Based Dynamic Assessment and Collaborative L2 Vocabulary Learning. *The Journal of AsiaTEFL*, 14(1), 114-129.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Missiuna, C. (1987). Dynamic assessment: A model for broadening assessment in Occupational therapy. Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy, 54 (1). 17-21.

Missiuna, C. (1990). Assessment preschool children: Application of dynamic assessment techniques in the classroom. International Journal of Dynamic Assessment & Instruction, 1(2), 71-85.

Missiuna, C. (1996). Assessment of preschool children: Application of dynamic assessment techniques in the classroom. In M.G.Luther, E. Cole, et al., (Eds.). Dynamic assessment for instruction (pp. 158-167). North York, ON: Captus.

Missiuna, C. & Samuels, M. (1988). Dynamic assessment: Review and critique. Special Services in the Schools, 5(1-2), 1-22.

Missiuna, C. & Samuels, M.T. (1989). Dynamic assessment of preschool children with special needs: Comparison of mediation and instruction. Remedial and Special Education, 10 (2),53-59.

Mohammadimoghadam, M. (2015). Effects of mediation on an EFL learners' grammar development: A case study of an EFL beginner student. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Science*, 192(24), 101-106.

Modarresi, G. & Alavi, S.M. (2014). Designing and validating a test battery of computerized dynamic assessment of grammar. TEL, 8(2), 1-28.

Modarresi, G. & Jeddy, A. (2018). The association between dynamic assessment of grammar and fluid intelligence: A case of undergraduate EFL students. *International and Multidisciplinary Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(3), 297-321.

Molano, K. (2007). The dynamic approach: Decreasing cultural bias in the cognitive abilities assessment of Latino children. ProQuest. Chicago.

Molano, K. (2008). The dynamic approach: Decreasing cultural bias in the cognitive abilities assessment of Latino children. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 68(9-B), 6352.

Moon, K.A. (2014). The relation between language learning aptitude and dynamic assessment. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Utah, Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders.

Moore, D. (2008). Review of Dynamic assessment in practice: Clinical and educational applications. Psychology Learning & Teaching, 7(1), 62=63.

Moore-Brown, B., Huerta, M., Uranga-Hernandez, Y., & Peña, E.D. (2006). Using dynamic assessment to evaluate children with suspected learning disabilities. Intervention in School and Clinic.

Moradian, M., Mofrad, V.R. & Noralahi, F. (2016). The effect of group-dynamic assessment on learning passive structure by Iranian EFL learners. *International Journal of Language*

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Learning and Applied Linguistics World, 12(2), 36-47.

Moraveji, S.I.H. & Jahandar, S. (2016). A study on the effectiveness of dynamic assessment on Iranian intermediate learners' paragraph writing ability. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods* 6(1).

Mortazavi, M. Jafariguhar, M., Rouhi, A., & Soleimani, H. (2016). The effect of scaffolding though structuring and problematizing on EFL learners' writing self-regulatory skills, essay writing skill and global planning time. *Quarterly Journal* of Research in School and Virtual Learning, 4(3, serial number 15), 15-26.

Moscardini, L. & Moscardini, C. (2020). Dynamic assessment and teachers' knowledge of children's mathematical thinking: A case study in children's mathematics. Nasen. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9604.12331

Motta, A. B., Enumo, S. R. F., Rodrigues, M. M. P., & Leite, L. (2006). Contar histórias: uma proposta de avaliação assistida da narrativa infantil. *Interação em Psicologia*, *10*(1), 157-167.

Muniz,M., Seabra, A.G., & Primi, R. (2015). The relationship between learning potential and academic performance: Prediction by the dynamic assessment approach of Children's Inductive Reasoning. ESTUDOS DE PSICOLOGIA (CAMPINAS) 32(3):343-356.

Muniz, M., Seabra, A. G., and Primi, R. (2015). A relação entre potencial de aprendizagem e desempenho acadêmico: predição pelo teste dinβmico informatizado de raciocínio indutivo para crianças. *Estud. de Psicol.* 32, 343–356. doi: 10.1590/0103-166X2015000300001

Murphey, T. (2017). Provoking Potentials: Student Self-Evaluated and Socially-Mediated Testing. In *Revisiting EFL Assessment* (pp. 287-317). Springer International Publishing.

Murphy, M.E. (2014). Insight and executive function: Investigating the role of dynamic assessment. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 74(12-B)(E).

Murphy, R. (2008). Exploring a meta-theoretical framework for dynamic assessment and intelligence. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 68 (9-B) 6386.

Murphy, R. & Maree, D.J.F. (2006). Meta-Analysis of dynamic assessment research in South Africa. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 6(1), 32-60.

Murphy, R. & Maree, D.J.F. (2009). Revisiting core issues in dynamic assessment. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 39(4), 420-431.

Muskett, T., Body, R. & Perkins, M. (2012). Uncovering the dynamic in static assessment interaction. Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 28(1), 87-99.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

N BACK TO TOP

Naeini, J. (2015). A comparative study of the effects of two approaches of dynamic assessment on the reading comprehension of Iranian EFL Learners. International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature, 4(2), 54-67.

Naeini, J. (2014). On the Study of DA and SLA: Feuerstein's MLE and EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension PROCEDIA - SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES 98:1297-1306 ·

Naeni, J., & Duvall, E. (2012). Dynamic assessment and the impact on English language learners' reading comprehension performance. Language Testing in Asia, 2(2), 1-22.

Nasiri, M. & Khorshidi, S.(2015). Dynamic assessment of formulaic sequences in Iranian EFL learners' writing. International Journal of Language and Applied Linguistics (Special Issue: Challenges in foreign language teaching in Iran), 25, 26-32,

Nassaji, H., & Cumming, A. (2000). What is in a ZPD? A case study of a young ESL student and teacher interacting through journals. Language Teaching Research, 4(2), 95-121.

Nassaji, H. & Kartchava, E. (Eds.) (2017). Corrective Feedback in Second Language Teaching and Learning: Rresearch, Theory, Applications, Implications. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

Nassaji, H. & Swain, M. (2000). A Vygotskian perspective towards corrective feedback in L2. The effect of random vs negotiated help in the acquisition of English articles. Language Awareness, 9, 34-51.

Navarro, E. & Calero, M.D. (2009). Estimation of cognitive plasticity in old adults using dynamic assessment technique. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 8(1), 38-51.

Navarro, J-J, & Joaquín M. (2011). Analysis of the implementation of a dynamic assessment device of processes involved in reading with learning-disabled children. *Learning and Individual Differences* 21(2), 168-175.

Navarro J-J and Lara L (2017) Dynamic Assessment of Reading Difficulties: Predictive and Incremental Validity on Attitude toward Reading and the Use of Dialogue/Participation Strategies in Classroom Activities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8:173. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00173.

Navarro, J-J. & Mora, J., (2013). Validez predictiva e incremental de un dispositivo de evaluación dinámica sobre el rendimiento y el progreso en lectura [Predictive and incremental validity of a dynamic assessment device on academic performance and progress in reading]. Anal.Psicol., 29 (2), http://dx.doi.org/10.6018/analesps.29.2.135231

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Navarro, J-J & Mora, J. (2013). Validez predictiva e incremental de un dispositive de evaluación dinámica sobre el rendimiento y el progreso en lectura. / Predictive and incremental validity of a dynamic assessment device on academic performance and progress in reading. Anales de Psicología, 29(2), 435-453

Navarro, Juan-José; Mora, Joaquín. Analysis of the implementation of a dynamic assessment device of processes involved in reading with learning-disabled children. Learning and Individual Differences, Vol 21(2), Apr 2011, 168-175.

Navarro, J-J., & Mourgues-Codern, C.V. (2018). Dynamic assessment and computerized adaptive tests in reading processes. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 17 (1).

Navarro, J-J., Mourgues, C., Guzman, E., Rodriguez-Ortiz, I.R. Conejo, R., Gutierrez, C.S., de la Fuente, J., Martella, D., & Saracostti, M. (2018). Integrating curriculum-based dynamic assessment in computerized adaptive testing: Development and predictive validity of the EDPL-BAI Battery on reading competence. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 1492.

Nazari, A. (2017). Dynamic Assessment in Higher Education English Language Classes: A Lecturer Perspective. *Journal of Language Teaching and Learning*, 7(1), 100-118.

Nicholas, A. (2018). Profiling the development of a Japanese EFL learner's interactional competence: A dynamic assessment of requesting-in-interaction. *Japan Language Testing Association Journal*, *21*, 42-64.

Nigam, R. (2001). Dynamic assessment of graphic symbol combinations by children with autism. Focus on Autism & Other Developmental Disabilities, 16(3), 190-197.

Nirmalakhandan, N. (2009). Use of computerized dynamic assessment to improve student achievement: Case study. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice 135(2), 75-80.

Noroozi, S. & Kazemi, A. (2015). An Investigation into the Effectiveness of the Adopted Strategies through Dynamic Assessment and Their Comparison with Reference to Washback Effect. *Cumhuriyet Science Journal*, 36, (3), Special Issue, 810-826.

Norwich, B. (2014). Review of Improving Learning through Dynamic Assessment: A practical classroom resource. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 29(2), 259-261.

Norwich, B. & Ylonen, A. (2015). A design-based trial of Lesson Study for assessment purposes: Evaluating a new classroom based dynamic assessment approach. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 30(2), 253-273.

Nott, M., Chapparo, C., & Heard, R. (2008). Effective occupational therapy intervention with adults demonstrating agitation during post-traumatic amnesia. Brain Injury, 22(9), 669-683. Novogrodsky, R. & Meir, N. (2020). Multilingual children with special needs in early education: All child's languages matter. In Schwartz, M. & Prosic-Santovac. D. (Eds). *The Handbook of Early Language Education*. Berlin: Springer.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

O BACK TO TOP

Ohrmann, P., Kugel, H., Bauer, J., Siegmund, A., Koelkebeck, K., Suslow, T. et al. (2008). Learning potential on the WCST in schizophrenia is related to the neuronal integrity of the anterior cingulate cortex as measured by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Schizophrenia Research, 106(2-3), 156-163.

Olswang, L.B. & Bain, B.A. (1991). When to recommend intervention. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 22(4), 255-263.

Olswang, L.B., Bain, B.A., & Johnson, G.A. (1992). Using dynamic assessment with children with language disorders. In S. F. Warren & J.E. Reichle (Eds.). Causes and effects in communication and language intervention. Communication and language intervention series, Vol. 1(pp. 187-215). Baltimore: P.H. Brookes.

Olswang, L.B., Feuerstein, J.L., Pinder, G.L. & Dowd, P. (2013). Validating dynamic Assessment of triadic gaze for young children with severe disabilities. *American Journal of Speech and Language Pathology*, 22(3)

Olswang, L. B., Feuerstein, J. L., Pinder, G. L. & Dowden, P. (2013). Validating dynamic assessment of triadic gaze for young children with severe disabilities. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 22(3), 449-462.

Olver, M. E. & Wong, S.C.P. (2011). A comparison of static and dynamic assessment of sexual offender risk and need in a treatment context. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 38(2), 113-126.

Ombredane, A. & Robaye, F. (1953). Le probleme de l'épuration des tests d'intelligence étudié sur le matrix-couleur. Comparaison des technique de réduplication et d'explication. Bulletin du Centre d'études et recherches Psychotechinques, 4.

Omidire, M.F. (2014). Code switching as a teaching and learning strategy in basic education: Framework for a gradual transition. International Journal for Academic Research in Education and Review 2 (9), 242-253.

Omidire, M.F. & Adeyemo, K.S. (2015). Experiences of assessment and 'affect' in the Teaching and learning of English Second Language Learners in Nigeria. International Journal of Education and Science, 8(3), 521-534.

Omidire, M.F, Bouwer, A.C & Jordaan, J.C (2011). Addressing the assessment dilema of additional language learners through dynamic assessment. Perspectives in Education, 29 (2), 48-60.

Orellana, C.I., Wada, R. & Gillam, R.B. (2019). The use of Dynamic Assessment for the Diagnosis of language disorders in bilingual children: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. DOI: 10.1044/2019_AJSLP-18-0202

AUTHOR NAMES L - Q

Orrantia, J., Moran, M.C., Delia, G.A. (1998). Evaluacion estacia versus evaluacion dinamica. Una comparacion experimental [Static assessment versus dynamic assessment: An experimental comparison]. Estudios de Psicologia, no. 61; 35-50.

Orosco, M.J. (2014). Word problem strategy for Latino English language learners at risk for math disabilities. Learning Disability Quarterly, 37(1). 45-53.

Oskoz, A. (2005). Students' dynamic assessment via online chat. Calico Journal, 22(3).

Owczarek, D. (2016). ICC assessment-experiences and perspectives. GLOTTODIDACTICA XLIII/2 ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY PRESS POZNAŃ DOI: 10.14746/gl.2016.43.2.13

Owens, C.W. (2014). Overcoming literacy barriers through interventions: A mixed methods study. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Arkansas at Little Rock Publication Number 3645529, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database.

Oxford, R.L. (2017). Conditions for Second Language (L2) learning. Second and Foreign Language Education, *Encyclopedia of Language and Education*, 27-41

P BACK TO TOP

Pan, Y. J., & Liu, Y. (2011). Development and evaluation of a standardised mediated assessment of young children's mathematical ability in a Chinese context. Early Child Development and Care, 181(2), 221-233.

Panicker, A. S., & Hirisave, U. 'Psychometric Potential Assessment: A New Approach in Testing Children. (published in Indian Journal of Clinical Psychology, 2005, supplement)

Paour, J.-L. (1992). Induction of logic structures in the mentally retarded: An assessment and intervention instrument. In H.C. Haywood & D. Tzuriel (Eds.). Interactive assessment (pp. 119-166). New York: Springer.

Paour, J.-L. & Soavi, G. (1992). A case study in the induction of logic structures. In H.C. Haywood & D. Tzuriel (Eds.). Interactive assessment (pp. 419-442). New York: Springer.

Parameswaran, G. (1993). Dynamic assessment of sex differences in spatial ability. Dissertation Abstracts International, 54(5-B), 2786.

Paratore, J.R. & Indrisano, R. (1987). Intervention assessment of reading comprehension. The Reading Teacher, 40, 778-783.

Paratore, J. R., & Indrisano, R. (2017). 7VW Intervention Assessment of Literacy to Inform Teaching and Increase Learning. Ohta, A. S. Sociocultural Theory and Second/Foreign Language Education. *Second and Foreign Language Education*, 57-68.

AUTHOR NAMES L - Q

Paratore, J. R., & Indrisano, R. (2017). 7VW Intervention Assessment of Literacy to Inform Teaching and Increase Learning. *Alternatives to Privatizing Public Education and Curriculum: Festschrift in Honor of Dale D. Johnson*.

Parsi, P.P. & Sanavi, R.V. (2015). The effects of dynamic assessment on improving writing ability of intermediate EFL learners. International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World, 8(2), 73-88.

Parviz, A. & Nava, N. (2012). The Immediate and delayed effect of dynamic assessment on EFL reading ability. English Language Teaching, 5 (12).

Pascual-Leone, J., Johnson, J., Baskind, S., Dworsky, S., & Severtson, E. (2000). Culture-fair assessment and the processes of mental attention. In S. Kozulin & Y. Rand (Eds.). Experience of mediated learning: An impact of Feuerstein's theory in education and psychology (pp. 191-214). Elmsford, NY:Pergamon.

Pasquier, D. (2004). Les compétences à apprende. Evaluation chez l'adulte. Paris: L'Harmattan.

Pasquier, D. (1986), Le groupe de travail éducabilité se présente, Objectif 2000 jeunes, 7. Montreuil: A.F.P.A.

Pasquier, D. (1989). L'éducabilité à l'A.F.P.A.: Perspectives de recherche et premiers résultats, Education Permanente, A.F.P.A., 100/101.

Pasquier D. (1989). Evaluation dynamique du potentiel d'apprentissage; premier outil, premiers résultats. Méthodologie et outils de bilan, évaluation-orientation. Paris: C.L.P.

Pasquier, D. (1989). Changement, formation professionnelle, éducabilité, Bulletin n°2. Sevran: A.D.C.A.

Pasquier D. (1991), Mesurer le potentiel d'apprentissage. Les cahiers d'information du directeur du personnel, N°17

Pasquier D. (1991). Regards neufs sur la capacité d'apprendre. Les cahiers d'information du directeur du personnel. N°18.

Pasquier, D. (1993). L'éducabilité cognitive, qui croire? Sciences Humaines, Janvier 1993

Pasquier D. (1994a). Évaluation dynamique, potentiel d'apprentissage et facteur G. In A la recherche du potentiel d'apprentissage, Garbo R. et Lebeer J. (éds.). University of Antwerp : EAMC.

Pasquier D. (1994b). Le test d'évaluation dynamique de l'éducabilité (TEDE). In Les techniques d'évaluation des personnes, Huteau M. (éd.). Issy-les-Moulineaux : EAP.

Pasquier D. (1996). Un paradigme d'hier pour demain : l'évaluation dynamique du potentiel d'apprentissage. Actes du congrès international d'actualité de la recherche en éducation et formation. Nanterre : Université de Paris X.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Pasquier D. (1997). L'évaluation dynamique en psychologie. Le journal des psychologues, N°145.

Pasquier D., Estebe I. & Jaigu J.(2001). Prévoir la réussite chez de jeunes apprentis : approche exploratoire. Pratiques Psychologiques, 1, 99-110.

Passig, D., Tzuriel, D., & Kedmi, G.E. (2016). Improving children's cognitive modifiability through Mediated Learning and Dynamic Assessment within 3D immersive virtual reality environment. *Computers & Education*, 95, 296-308.

Pattalitan, A.P (2016). The Implications of Learning Theories to Assessment and Instructional Scaffolding *Techniques*. *American Journal of Educational Research*. *4* (9), 695-700. http://pubs.sciepub.com/education/4/9/9

Patterson, J. L., Rodríguez, B. L.& Dale, P. S. (2013). Response to dynamic language tasks among typically developing Latino preschool children with bilingual experience. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 22(1), 103-112.

Paula, K.M.P. & Enumo, S.R.F. (2007). Avaliação, assistida e comunicação alternative: Procedimentos para a educação inclusive (Dynamic assessment and augmentative and alternative communication: Procedures for inclusive education). Rev. Bras. Ed. Esp. Marilia, 18, 3-26.

Paula, K. M. Pereira de (October, 2004). Dynamic assessment: Cognitive, behavioral and affective-motivational indicators analysis in children in a situation of intervention with an alternative communication system. Doctorate thesis. Post-graduation Program in Psychology, Center of Human and Natural Science, Federal University of Espírito Santo, Vitória, ES, Brazil.

Pearson, F. (2011). Review of Dynamic assessment, intelligence and measurement. Educational Psychology in Practice, 27(4), 439-440.

Pearson, P., McVee, M. and Shanahan, L. (2019), "In the Beginning: The Historical and Conceptual Genesis of the Gradual Release of Responsibility¹", McVee, M., Ortlieb, E., Reichenberg, J. and Pearson, P. (Ed.) *The Gradual Release of Responsibility in Literacy Research and Practice (Literacy Research, Practice and Evaluation, Vol. 10*), Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1108/S2048-045820190000010001

Pedersen, A., Wiedl, K.H. & Ohrmann, P. (2009). Neurobiological correlates of learning potential in healthy subjects and in schizophrenic patients. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 8(1), 81-90.

Pedersen, A., Wiedl, K.H. & Ohrmann, P. (2009). Neurobiological correlates of learning potential in healthy subjects and in schizophrenic patients. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 8(1), 81-101,

Peeters, M. (2005?). Emergent literacy and dynamic assessment of children with severe developmental speech and language disorders. Dissertation in process.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Peliwe P L. (1991). Comparative analysis of dynamic assessment using a nonverbal standardized intelligence test and a verbal curriculum-based test. Doctoral Dissertations Available from Proquest. Paper AAI9120910. http://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations/AAI9120910.

Peltenburg, M., Van den Hauvel-Panhuizen, M., & Doig, B.(2009). Mathematical power of special-needs pupils: AN ICT-based dynamic assessment format to reveal weak pupils' learning potential. British Journal of Educational Technology, 40(2), 273-284.

Peltenburg, M & van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. (2010). ICT-based dynamic assessment to reveal special education students' potential in mathematics. Research Papers in Education, 25(3), 319-334.

Peña, E. (1993). Dynamic assessment: A non-biased approach for assessing the language of young children. Dissertation Abstracts International, 54(2-A), 485.

Peña, E. (1996). Dynamic assessment: The model and language applications. In K. Cole, P. Dale, & D. Thal (Eds.). Assessment of communication and language (pp. 281-307). Baltimore: P.H. Brookes.

Peña, E., (2000). Measurement of modifiability in children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. Communication Disorders Quarterly, 21(2), 87-97.

Peña, E. (2001). Assessment of Semantic Knowledge: Use of feedback and clinical interviewing. Seminars in Speech and Language, 22, 51-64.

Peña, E. & Gillam, R. (2000). Dynamic assessment of children referred for speech and language evaluations. In C.S. Lidz & J. Elliott (Eds.) Dynamic Assessment: Prevailing Models and Applications, Vol. 6 (pp. 543-574). Amsterdam: JAI/Elsevier Science.

Peña, E.D. & Kiran, S. (2008). In defense of cookbooks: from novice to competent clinician. Topics in Language Disorders, 28 (3), 242-238.

Pena, E.D., Gillam. R.B., Malek, M., Ruiz-Felter, R., Resendiz, M., Fiestas, C., & Sabel, T. (2006). Dynamic assessment of school-age children's narrative ability: An experimental investigation of classification accuracy. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 49(5), 1037-1057.

Peña, E., Gillam, R.B. & Bedore, L.M. (2014). Dynamic assessment of narrative ability in English accurately identifies language impairment in English language learners. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 57*(6), 2208-2220.

Peña, E.D., Gillam, R.B., & Bedore, L.M. (2014). Dynamic assessment of narrative ability in English accurately identifies language impairment in English language learners. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research DOI: 10.1044/2014. JSLHR-L-13-0151.

Peña, E., & Greene, K. (2018). Dynamic Assessment of Children Learning a Second Language. In *The Routledge Handbook of Sociocultural Theory and Second Language Development*.

AUTHOR NAMES L - Q

Peña, E., Iglesias, A., Lidz, C.S. (2001). Reducing test bias through dynamic assessment of children's word learning ability. American Journal of Speech Language Pathology, 10, 138-154.

Peña, E. Miller, L., & Gillam, R. (1999). Dynamic assessment of narratives in children from diverse backgrounds. California Speech-Language-Hearing Association Magazine, 28(2) 12-13, 18

Peña, E., Quinn, R. & Iglesias A. (1992). Application of dynamic methods to language assessment: A non-biased procedure. Journal of Special Education, 26(3) 269-280.

Peña, E.D., Reséndiz, & Gillam, R.B. (2007). The role of clinical judgements of modifiability in the diagnosis of language impairment. Advances in Speech-Language Pathology, 9(4), 332-345.

Peña, E. & Valles, L. (1995) Language assessment and instructional programming for linguistically different learners: Proactive classroom processes. In H. Kayser (Ed.) Bilingual Speech-Language Pathology: An Hispanic Focus (pp. 129-152). San Diego, CA: Singular Press.

Peña, E., Quinn, R., & Iglesias, A. (1992). The application of dynamic methods to language assessment: A non-biased procedure. Journal of Special Education, 26, 269-280.

Peña, E.D. & Kiran, S. (2008). In Defense of Cookbooks: From Novice to Competent Clinician. Topics in Language Disorders, 28 (3), 242-238.

Pennings, A.H. & Verhelst, N.D. (1993). The dynamic testing of restructuring tendency. In J.H.M.Hamers, K. Sijtsma, K., et al (Eds.). Learning potential assessment: Theoretical, methodological and practical issues (pp. 195-212). Bristol, PA: Swets & Zeitlinger.

Perrine, S. (1999). Dynamic assessment of phonological stimulability: Construct validation of a cueing hierarchy. Unpublished master's thesis, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho.

Petersen, D. B. (2010). Using static and dynamic measures to estimate reading difficulty for Hispanic children. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 508.

Petersen, D. B.; Allen, M. M.; & Spencer, T. D. (2016). Predicting reading difficulty in first grade using dynamic assessment of decoding in early kindergarten: A large-scale longitudinal study. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(2), 200-215. DOI: 10.1177/0022219414538518

Peterson, D.B., Chanthongtip, H., Ukrainetz, T.A., & Steeve, R.W. (2017). Dynamic assessment of narratives: Efficient, accurate identification of language impairment in bilingual students. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research*. DOI: 10.1044/2016_JSLHR-L-15-0426

Peterson, D.B. & Gillam, R.B. (2015). Predicting reading ability for bilingual Latino children using dynamic assessment. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 48(1), 3-21.

Petersen, D.B., Gragg, S.L. & Spencer, T.D. (2018). Predicting reading problems 5 years Into the future: Dynamic assessment reduces bias and increases classification Accuracy. *Language*, *Speech*, *and Hearing Services in Schools*, 49, 875-888.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Petersen. D.B., Tonn, P., Spencer, T.D>, & Foster, M.E. (2019). The classification accuracy of a Dynamic Assessment of Inferential Word Learning for Bilingual English/Spanish-Speaking School-Age children. *Language Speech and Hearing in Schools* htps://doi.org/10.1044/2019_LSHSS-18-0129

Petersen, Douglas B.; Allen, Melissa M.; Spencer, Trina D. (2016). Predicting reading difficulty in first grade using dynamic assessment of decoding in early kindergarten: A large-scale longitudinal study. Journal of Learning Disabilities, Vol 49(2), Mar 2016, 200-215. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022219414538518

Pfeiffer, S.I. & Blei, S., (2008). Gifted identification beyond the IQ test: Rating scales and other assessment procedures. In S. Pfeiffer (Ed.). Handbook of giftedness in children: Psychoeducational theory, research, and best practices (pp. 177-198). New York: Springer.

Pieretti, R. A., & Roseberry-McKibbin, C. (2015). Assessment and Intervention for English Language Learners with Primary Language Impairment Research-Based Best Practices. *Communication Disorders Quarterly*, 1525740114566652.

Pishghadam, R., Barabadi, E., & Kamrood, A.M. (2011). The differing effect of computerized dynamic assessment of L2 reading comprehension on high and low achievers. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2(6), 1353-1358.

Poehner, Matthew E. (2011). Dynamic Assessment: Fairness through the prism of mediation. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 18(2)99-112.

Poehner, Matthew E.; van Compernolle, Remi A. (2011). Frames of interaction in Dynamic Assessment: Developmental diagnoses of second language learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 18*(2), 183-198.

Poehner, Matthew E. Validity and interaction in the ZPD: Interpreting learner development through L2 dynamic assessment. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, Vol 21(2), Jul 2011, 244-263.

Poehner, M E. (2005). Dynamic assessment of oral proficiency among advanced L2 learners of French. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA.

Poehner, M.E. (2007). Beyond the Test: L2 Dynamic Assessment and the Transcendence of Mediated Learning, *The Modern Language Journal 91*, 323-340.

Poehner, M.E. (2008). *Dynamic assessment: A Vygotskian approach to understanding and promoting L2 development*, Berlin, Springer Verlag.

Poehner, M.E. (2008). Both sides of the conversation: The interplay between mediation and learner reciprocity in dynamic assessment, in J. P. Lantolf and M. E. Poehner (eds.), Sociocultural theory and the teaching of second languages, London, Equinox.

Poehner, M.E. (2009). Group dynamic assessment: Mediation for the L2 classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 43(3), 471-491.

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Poehner, M.E. (2009). Dynamic assessment as a dialectical framework for classroom activity: Evidence from second language (L2) learners. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 8(3), 252-268.

Poehner, M. E. (2011). Dynamic assessment: fairness through the prism of mediation. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice, 18*(2), 99-112.

Poehner, M. E. (2011). Validity and interaction in the ZPD: Interpreting learner development through L2 dynamic assessment. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 18*(2), 99-112.

Poehner, M.E. (2011). Validity and interaction in the ZPD: Interpreting learner development through dynamic assessment. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *21*, 244-263.

Poehner, M.E. (2012). The zone of proximal development and the genesis of self-assessment. Dynamic Assessment: Fairness through the prism of mediation. *Modern Language Journal*, 96(4), 610-622.

Poehner M.E. (2017) The Zone of Proximal Development and the Twin Poles of Teaching and Assessing in Vygotsky's Developmental Education. In: Cummings J.B., Blatherwick M.L. (eds) Creative Dimensions of Teaching and Learning in the 21st Century. Advances in Creativity and Giftedness. SensePublishers, Rotterdam.

Poehner, M.E., Davin, K.J., & Lantolf, J.P. (2017). Dynamic assessment. In E. Shoohamy, I.G. Or, & S. May (Eds.). *Language testing and assessment, Encyclopedia of Language and Education* (pp. 243-256), Springer.

Poehner, M.E. & Infante, P. (2015). Mediated development: Inter-psychological activity for L2 education. *Language and Sociocultural Theory*, *2*(2).

Poehner, M. E., & Infante, P. (2017). Mediated development: A Vygotskian approach to transforming second language learner abilities. *TESOL Quarterly*, *51*(2), 332-357. doi: 10.1002/tesq.308

Poehner, M.E., Infante, P. & Takamiya, Y. (2018). Mediational processes in support of learner L2 writing development: Individual, peer, and group contexts. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 17(1).

Poehner, M.E., Kinginger, C., Van Compernolle, R.A., & Lantolf, J.P. (2017). Pursuing Vygotsky's dialectical approach to pedagogy and development: A response to Kellogg. *Applied Linguistics*, amx033, hhttps://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amx033.

Poehner, M.E. & Lantolf, J.P. (2005). Dynamic assessment in the language classroom. *Language Teaching Research*, *9*(3), 233-265. doi:10.1191/1362168805lr1660a

Poehner, M.E. & Lantolf, J.P. (2010). Vygotsky's teaching-assessment dialectic and L2

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

education: The case for dynamic assessment. *Mind, Culture, and Activity, 17*(4), 312-330.

Poehner, M. E. & Lantolf, J. P. (2013). Bringing the ZPD into the equation: Capturing L2 development during Computerized Dynamic Assessment (C-DA). Language Teaching Research: 1362168813482935

Poehner, M.E. & Rea-Dickins, P. (Eds). (2013). Addressing issues of access and fairness in education through dynamic assessment. UK: Routledge .

Poehner, M. E. & Van Compernolle, R.A. (2011). Frames of interaction in Dynamic Assessment: Developmental diagnoses of second language learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 18(2).183-198.

Poehner, M.E.& Van Compernolle, R. (2020). Reconsidering Time and Process in L2 Dynamic Assessment. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-35081-9_9 In Toward a Reconceptualization of Second Language Classroom Assessment.

Poehner, M.E., Zhang, J., & Lu, X. (2015). Computerized dynamic assessment (C-DA): Diagnosing L2 development according to learner responsiveness to mediation. *Language Testing*, 32(3) 337-357.

Poehner, M.E., Zhang, J. & Lu, X. (2015). Computerized dynamic assessment (C-DA): Diagnosing L2 development according to learner responsiveness to mediation. *Language Testing*, 32(3), 337-357. Pp. 213-222.

Poehner, M.E., Zhang, J. & Lu, X. (2017). Computerized dynamic assessments for young language learners. In M.K. Wolf & Y.G. Butler (Eds). *English language proficiency assessments for young learners*. (Pp. 214-233). NY: Routledge/Taylor Francis.

Polizzi, M.S. (2013). The development of Spanish aspect in the second language classroom: Concept-based pedagogy and dynamic assessment. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Pollari, P. (2017). To feed back or to feed forward? : Students' experiences of and responses to feedback in a Finnish EFL classroom. Apples : Journal of Applied Language Studies, 11 (4), 11-33. doi:10.17011/apples/urn.201708073429

RACK TO TOP

Qin, T. & Zhang, J. (2018). Computerized Dynamic Assessment and second language learning: Programmed mediation to promote future development. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 17(2).

AUTHOR NAMES L – Q

Queiroz, O.A., Enumo, S.R.F., & Primi, R. (2013). Desempenho de crianças com e sem necessidades especiais em provas cognitivas assistidas e psicométricas. Revista Brasileira de Educação Especial,19 (3), 459-480.

Quellmalz, M. J., Timms, B. C., Buckley, J. D., Loveland, M. & Silberglitt, M.D. (2012). 21st Century dynamic assessment. In M.C. Mayrath, J. Clarke-Midura, D.H. Robinson, & G. Schraw (Eds.). Technology-Based Assessments for 21st Century Skills (pp 55–89). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

L M NOPQ