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## Chapter I

Introduction

## Background

Cancer as a research topic is difficult to define due to the broad spectrum of understood causes, effects, and interactions in today's scientific sphere. The $\mathrm{NCl}^{1}$ defines cancer as "[...] a disease in which some of the body's cells grow uncontrollably and spread to other parts of the body," while the $\mathrm{WHO}^{2}$ defines it as "[...] a large group of diseases that can start in almost any organ or tissue of the body when cells grow uncontrollably, go beyond their usual boundaries to invade adjoining parts of the body and/or spread to other organs." These definitions lack any mention of causes or biological indicators other than those that can be directly observed because there is no true commonality among diagnoses. The irregularities that lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation are typically understood to be genetic mutations that permanently turn "on" cell replication processes or turn "off" the processes that terminate them, but genetic abnormalities are caused by any number of biochemical factors all along the DNA replication, transcription, and translation processes as well as by outside influence through epigenetic modification. One can dive into ever-narrowing definitions that seek to isolate the root causes of cancer, but we ultimately reach the simplest basis of cell biology wherein biochemical damage to DNA at the structural level affects all subsequent pathways.

The simplest forms of structural damage to DNA are typically those arising from intrinsic errors during DNA replication and repair whereby incorrect base pairings or ribonucleotides are inserted and allowed to persist within the genetic structure. Chemically, DNA can be modified in a multitude of ways ranging from simple alkylation or oxidation to complete loss of the bases $(C, T, A$, and $G$ ) that make up the nucleic acid structure. This is usually a result of interactions of healthy DNA with endogenous or exogenous reactive species. Many forms of damage due to this reactivity are more dangerous to the overall health of cells because enzymes are not typically encoded to recognize the modifications in question. Repair of DNA affected in this way is a significantly less targeted process and tends to be much more error-prone as a result, leading to a buildup of degradation within the overall genetic structure.

The highly chemical environment in which we live today causes us to be exposed to an ever-increasing variety of damaging toxicants, and the practical irreversibility of cancer induction means that we are more susceptible than ever to the effects of such processes. Our main courses of action in treatment involve either excising the affected cells before they can permeate other systems or attempting to kill them more rapidly than they can replicate. For nearly a century now, the latter method has been dominated by the field of "chemotherapy", or chemically induced cell death. This is a relatively untargeted process where the chemicals in question are administered directly to surface tumors if possible, or more generally throughout the body if not. The hope is that there is an effective dose where healthy cells will be able to correctly repair a significant portion of the induced damage, but cancerous cells will lack the necessary mechanisms to do so, thus eliminating them from the body and allowing the patient to recover over time.

Historically, chemotherapy has been used in combination with other forms of treatment due to the extreme physical toll on the human body. Solid tumors in particular are most easily treated by chemotherapy to reduce their size before surgically removing the remainder of the tumor cells, both avoiding extended chemical treatment and minimizing organ or tissue loss from surgery. Due to the untargeted nature of most chemotherapeutic drugs, they are unlikely to kill tumor growths completely on their own because their mechanisms of action cannot take into account all methods of cancer induction. As research methods improved along with understanding of biochemical processes, the details of antineoplastic drugs' interactions with cellular mechanisms were rapidly elucidated, allowing for more intentionally designed treatment regimens. With a growing number of compounds showing clinical use in tumor treatment through a variety of methods, combination chemotherapies utilizing two or more effective drugs in limited doses emerged as more effective, lower risk protocols than single-drug treatments.

Of all the potential combinations of compounds, one of the first to see clinical success is still a commonly used chemotherapeutic regimen to this day. The co-treatment of Doxorubicin (also known as Adriamycin) and Cyclophosphamide, called A/C chemotherapy, is specifically used in patients with primary breast cancer and in some cases those with slightly more advanced stages of the disease. The compounds comprising this treatment regimen are well-studied and thought to function through independent biochemical mechanisms. However, interactions of the two compounds with biological damage profiles created by the other are relatively under-studied, and additional mechanisms of action could contribute to the efficacy of modifications to this treatment regimen.

## Antineoplastic Chemicals and their DNA Damage Profiles

## Nitrogen Mustards form $\mathbf{N}^{7}$-dG Adducts that Result in Various DNA Crosslinks

The discovery that mustard gases used as chemical weapons during World War I exhibited therapeutic potential against leukemias gave rise to studies in treating cancer chemically ${ }^{3}$. Although mustard gas, bis(2-chloroethyl)sulfide, proved too toxic to be used as a clinical treatment, the related derivative nitrogen mustard, bis(2chloroethyl)methylamine (mechlorethamine, HN2), was developed as the first chemotherapy drug and underwent initial trials beginning in $1942^{4,5}$. Mechlorethamine itself has since been discontinued as a treatment option due to high levels of toxicity, but many alternatives, such as those shown in Figure 1-01, were developed in successive years and are still widely used in cancer treatment ${ }^{6,7}$.


Figure 1-01. (A) Initial mustards used in clinical trials: mustard gas (left) and mechlorethamine (right). (B) Currently used nitrogen mustard derivatives (from left to right) Cyclophosphamide, Melphalan, and Chlorambucil.

The class of compounds known as nitrogen mustards are characterized as bis- or tris-electrophiles (typically [2-chloroethyl]amines) and are known to nonspecifically alkylate DNA at its various nucleophilic sites. It was shown early on that their reactivity was dependent on self-cyclization to form reactive aziridinium species before further reaction with nucleophiles ${ }^{3-5,8,9}$. These nearly planar, highly electrophilic small molecules are optimal for reaction with the structurally constrained amines that compose nucleic acids. The most common products of mustard reactions with DNA are cationic $N^{7}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts due to the relative nucleophilicity of the $N^{7}$ position of guanine ${ }^{5,8}$. Such reactions result in a variety of lesions (Fig. 1-02) including, but not limited to, deglycosylation, inter-/intra-strand crosslinks (ICLs), and $N^{7}$-formamidopyrimidine (FAPY) adducts ${ }^{5,8,10-16}$. Each of these products has been studied extensively and linked to cytotoxicity in proliferating cell lines through independent mechanisms.


Figure 1-02. Secondary products of DNA alkylation damage (top to bottom); AP site formation from deglycosylation, $N^{7}-$ FAPY-dG, and inter-/intra-strand DNA crosslinking.

The most prevalent of these lesions, but the ones largely assumed to be the least toxic, are the cationic $N^{7}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts. These are known to form via reactions with a variety of DNA alkylating agents such as aflatoxin, butadiene, and ethylene oxide (Fig. 1-03), but are unstable and prone to hydrolysis involving loss of the modified base ${ }^{17-19}$. This process (also referred to as deglycosylation) results in relatively non-toxic free $N^{7}$-G residues that are processed and excreted by the body while leaving an apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) site within the DNA. AP sites are a naturally occurring result of ongoing DNA replication and repair and are perhaps the most common form of DNA damage ${ }^{20-22}$. There are highly efficient enzymatic processes to repair AP sites by inserting the correct nucleotide in healthy cells via both BER and NER pathways, thus the long-standing consensus that hydrolytically unstable $N^{7}$-dG adducts are relatively non-toxic in the absence of high levels of alkylating agents ${ }^{21,23,24}$. This is likely one reason that the nitrogen mustards have seen broad use as chemotherapeutic agents. As with many antineoplastic chemicals, the mustards do not specifically target tumor cells, but the induction of AP sites and interstrand crosslinks (as well as some of the more minor byproducts of DNA alkylation) at high levels in cells lacking the necessary repair mechanisms is much more cytotoxic than it would be in healthy cells. This induced damage leads to rapid cell death, whereas in healthier lines the cells that survive can gradually repair the damage done with relatively little error.


Figure 1-03. Common $N^{7}$-dG adducts resulting from exposure to environmental toxins (A) ethylene oxide, (B) 1,4-butadiene, and (C) aflatoxin.

Methods have been developed over the years seeking to quantify the levels of AP sites present naturally and through chemical induction. Many of these seem to involve isotope- or radio-labeling for secondary identification of modified aldehydes, but such methods are expensive for any sort of high throughput experimentation. The most common methods for many years were colorimetric ELISA-type assays utilizing biotin modifications for pull-down isolation ${ }^{20,25}$. This aldehyde reactive probe (ARP) is commercially available, but is non-specific to AP sites and not significantly sensitive for analytical quantitation. Shown in Figure 1-04, modification of the ARP theory by the Turesky lab to utilize a small molecule that is highly reactive toward AP sites as a sort of "label" coupled with LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ analysis of post-reaction DNA digestion products allowed for both detection and quantitation of steady-state AP site levels with high sensitivity ${ }^{22}$. Recent improvements upon this methodology have further increased the sensitivity of detection while minimizing artifactual generation of "labeled" AP sites during sample processing ${ }^{26}$. The most recent assessment of background levels of AP sites in rat liver gave values of $<1$ in $10^{7}$ nts, a significant decrease from estimates of $8-9$ per $10^{6} \mathrm{nts}$ produced by the ARP method. This level rose to about 6.5 AP sites per $10^{7} \mathrm{nts}$ under conditions used for neutral hydrolysis experiments, which is still significantly low to be of any concern biologically. This methodology proved effective at measuring induced AP sites as well, as testing with nor-nitrogen mustard (NNM) in rat liver yielded a linear dosedependent increase over a concentration range of $3-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ drug, peaking at $\sim 200$ sites per $10^{6} \mathrm{nts}$. This both provided further confirmation of the main DNA damage product of NM treatment and allowed for much more accurate identification of the extent of this damage at various dose levels.


Figure 1-04. (A) AP sites exist in a natural equilibrium between the ring-closed hydroxy-furan ( $\sim 99 \%$ ) and the ring-opened aldehyde ( $\sim 1 \%$ ). (B) Quantitative analysis of AP sites is performed via formation of stable covalent adducts upon reaction with strongly nucleophilic compounds optimized for isolation and detection.

## AP Sites are Susceptible to Further Reactivity that is More Damaging than their

## Presence Alone

One aspect of AP site generation that has been relatively under-explored in terms of its effect from chemotherapeutic treatment is their potential stability and reactivity. As mentioned, there are normally highly efficient repair processes to recognize and remediate damage from DNA alkylation. In instances where this is not the case or the damage is for some reason bypassed by repair enzymes, AP sites that persist can interact with any number of reactive nucleophiles. The ring-opened aldehydic form of the sugar only exists at about $1 \%$ abundance in equilibrium with the ring-closed form but is a reactive electrophilic species, something that is not typically found among the many biomolecules that make up our cells. In fact, many of the reactive sites on DNA, proteins, and other cellular components are actually nucleophilic, raising the possibility that they will covalently bind to persistent AP sites if allowed to interact.

In 2014, the Gates group at the University of Missouri provided evidence that dA residues opposing AP sites (offset one nt to the 3 '-terminus) formed a stable covalent adduct through formation of an imine bond with the exocyclic $N^{6}$-amine ${ }^{27,28}$ (Fig. 1-05 A). Three years later, Admiraal and O'Brien ${ }^{29}$ reported similar observations with AP sites present at the 5'-terminus of "nicked" DNA, an intermediate of the BER pathway. These more translationally and rotationally free versions were able to form adducts with free nucleophiles and amines on the adjacent nucleotide of the fragmented oligonucleotide as well as those on the opposing strand, but these products were significantly less stable than those formed with an internal AP site. In 2019, two independent studies ${ }^{30,31}$ reported the discovery that protein sequences containing terminal cysteine residues were able to
covalently bind AP sites, forming semi-stable thiazolidine functionalities (Fig. 1-05 B). This DNA protein crosslink was actually found to be protective against further DNA damage by preventing reactions that would normally cleave the DNA strand in two. Such interactions were subsequently shown to occur at non-terminal cysteine residues through formation of a stable thioacetal ${ }^{32}$, suggesting a prominent form of both DNA and protein damage that is yet unstudied.
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Figure 1-05. (A) Structure of the naturally forming AP-dA DNA inter-strand crosslink. (B) Recently discovered DNA-protein crosslinks between 5-hydroxymethylcytosine-binding protein (HMCES) and alkylguanine DNAtransferase (AGT) arising from interactions of AP sites with cysteine residues.

As mentioned, 3'-terminal AP sites are known intermediates of the BER pathway through breakage of the phosphate backbone. This DNA scission can occur both enzymatically and chemically, but failure to re-anneal the broken strand correctly can result in DNA single- or double-strand breaks which are subject to highly inefficient repair processes and can quickly result in cell death. Enzymatic processes that incise DNA strands are part of normal regulatory function and can occur both with healthy DNA and to excise damaged bases as is seen with AP sites. Chemically-induced DNA scission, however, is a wholly unregulated process that occurs largely at AP sites. Formation of the hydrolytically unstable Schiff base intermediate seen in all previously outlined examples also increases the acidity of the hydrogens at the 2'-position of the sugar. Elimination of the 3 ' section of the DNA to form an $\alpha / \beta$-unsaturated iminium species, termed $\beta$ elimination, is thus highly favored and results in a 3 '-terminal unsaturated AP site that is not readily recognized or repaired. This species can undergo further $\delta$-elimination to release the fully unsaturated deoxyribose analog and two fragmented strands of DNA separated by a one nucleotide gap. This process is outlined in Figure 1-06, and these strand breaks are highly cytotoxic forms of damage and a chemical overload of species that can take advantage of this reactivity would cause irreparable damage via DNA strand scission.


Figure 1-06. Progressive reactions of Schiff bases formed at AP sites upon reduction to the stable amine (top) or scission via $\beta$ - and $\delta$-elimination on extended existence in solution (bottom).


Figure 1-07. General structure of intercalating amines studied by the Dixon group for their ability to induce DNA scission via $\beta$ - and $\delta$-elimination at AP sites.

Again, this reactivity has been observed with a wide variety of amines with minimal structural requirements in model systems and in cell culture. The Dixon group at Georgia State University published an initial compilation of various polyamine species (Fig. 1-07) and their abilities to cleave plasmid DNA at induced AP sites in 199933. This study concluded that while intercalation and a three-carbon spacing between nitrogen atoms most benefitted DNA scission efficiency, the only true requirement for molecules to induce this reactivity is the presence of a second "basic" atom to abstract the a-proton. In an isolated system of simple amines and plasmid DNA, this required the compounds themselves to contain multiple amino groups either on a singular or on separate flexible arms. Biologically, this catalytic activity could be performed by a variety of species with sufficient proton-abstracting ability. A study published by our lab in conjunction with the Lloyd and McCullough groups in $2016^{34}$ found that a series of compounds containing a single nucleophilic amine was able to catalyze the DNA scission. Experiments comparing DNA scission products from this reaction to those of hOGG1 and hNEIL1 (Fig. 1-08), human enzymes that specifically catalyze $\beta$ - and $\beta-/ \delta$-elimination reactions at AP sites, also confirmed that these small molecules produced both products with the $\beta$-elimination reaction being favored. Taken together, all of these studies would indicate that induction of an extreme level of AP sites seen from treatment with drugs like nitrogen mustards causes increased susceptibility to secondary reactions that are far more deleterious to DNA but have not been studied in full.


$$
\begin{gathered}
5^{\prime} \text {-TAMRA-TCACCXTCGTACGACTC-3' }{ }^{\prime} \text { ' } \\
3^{\prime}-\text { AGTGGCAGGCATGCTGAG-5' } \\
\text { X }=8 \text {-oxo-dG or AP site }
\end{gathered}
$$
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Figure 1-08. Minko et al. observed that amine-containing small molecules induced $\beta$ - and $\delta$-elimination at AP sites in the DNA oligonucleotide sequence shown above, the most efficient of which was termed C1. The enzymes hOGG1 (gels A/C; DNA = 250 nM ) and hNEIL1 (gel D; DNA = 250 nM ) are known DNA repair proteins; hOGG1 is a bifunctional enzyme that removes by-products of oxidative damage such as 8 -oxo-dG to leave an AP site, then removes the AP site by catalyzing $\beta$-elimination whereas hNEIL1 specifically removes AP sites primarily via $\delta$-elimination. Compound $\mathbf{C 1}$ catalyzed both reactions with significant efficiency (gel B; DNA $=2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in an enzyme-independent manner (AP sites were generated by reacting DNA with UDG when $\mathbf{X}=$ uracil).

## Anthracyclines are Known Intercalators and Topoisomerase II Inhibitors

In contrast to nitrogen mustards, anthracyclines are understood to cause DNA damage through more indirect interactions. First isolated as natural products in $1964{ }^{35}$ under the category of "Rhodomycins", certain of the brightly colored compounds were quickly shown to have significant activity against solid tumors. Shown in Figure 1-09, Daunorubicin and its 14-hydroxy analog, Doxorubicin ${ }^{36}$, were among the earliest group of those identified and were swiftly approved for clinical use. Similar to previously known DNA-interacting chemicals though, the true mechanism of action for the drugs was not clearly elucidated until much later. Early indications were that all of the anthracyclines interacted strongly with nuclear DNA and localized almost exclusively within it, an observation that was not surprising due to the planar, aromatic structure of the compounds that is characteristic of DNA intercalators. The primary assumption for years was that the apparent strength of this interaction likely interfered with DNA processing by preventing the separation of duplex strands, thus inhibiting replication and cell proliferation. It was not until nearly two decades after their initial discovery that the broader scope of this process was elucidated with the advancement of biochemical knowledge and techniques.
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Figure 1-09. Natural and synthetic anthracyclines with significant clinical relevance.

With the mechanistic elucidation of Topoisomerase II and its role in DNA processing in $1983^{37}$, many known DNA-interfering molecules were immediately tested as inhibitors of the enzyme. The function of mammalian DNA Topoisomerase II is to relieve stress built up by DNA supercoiling. As replication enzymes straighten out the double helix structure, tension builds at the processing end of the DNA as the coils tighten. Topoisomerase II relieves this strain by creating transient double strand breaks to allow duplex DNA to "pass through" another portion of the strand, then re-ligation of the strands as they existed before ${ }^{37-40}$ (Fig. 1-10). A number of follow-up studies in 198441,42 found that many DNA intercalating molecules, including the anthracyclines, interfered with this process by inhibiting re-ligation of the transient double strand break. In such cases, a stabilizing effect causes the enzyme to remain covalently bound to the DNA fragments (a trimolecular interaction known as the "cleavable complex") before dissociating without performing the repair step, leaving a permanent double strand break behind. As outlined with the dangers of AP sites, this type of damage is nearly irreparable on a large scale and highly cytotoxic. This functionally explains why the anthracyclines are optimal chemotherapeutic agents since they will have the greatest impact on highly proliferative cell lines where Topoisomerase II is most active.

Figure 1-10. Generalized depictions of the mechanism by which Topoisomerase II creates transient double strand breaks within DNA to relieve supercoiling during replication. (A) Protein $\mathbf{P}$ binds two duplexes of
DNA (1 and 2), nicking duplex 2 to allow duplex 1 to pass through before re-ligating duplex 1 and releasing the DNA as it existed previously. (B) The chemical equilibrium between ligated and nicked duplex DNA that exists as it interacts with the active site of Topoisomerase II. The presence of Doxorubicin and other
anthracyclines significantly stabilizes the "cleavable complex" to the right of this equilibrium, preventing religation of the duplex and eventually resulting in permanent double strand breaks. Images taken from (A) Wang, J.C. (2002) and (B) Deweese and Osheroff (2009).

## Anthracyclines: Problems and Improvements

## Anthracycline Treatment Results in an Increased Chance of Cardiomyopathy

One of the first realizations made upon treating patients with Daunorubicin and Doxorubicin was the visible and highly prevalent cardiac damage in patients over 50 years of age ${ }^{43,44}$. Onset of symptoms was apparently rapid and unexpected, and death occurred within 24 hours of said onset. Originally this was thought to be an acute dosage effect due to the rapidity and surprising nature of the problem, but it is now known that the overall cardiotoxicity of anthracycline-class compounds is due to a lifetime cumulative dose effect. Some symptoms related to this, such as an increased heart rate, express in an acute manner during the course of treatment but stop once the treatment regimen is concluded. This likely contributed to the initial designation as an acute effect, as the treatment schedule for the drug had not been optimized yet and a daily introduction of anthracyclines to the body would have had an extreme effect on those with weaker hearts. Even with a more spaced-out dosing routine, the cumulative effects of the drug on cardiac tissue are permanent and limit the utility of one of the most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents on the market.

Unfortunately, the cause of this deleterious relationship is still not well understood. Unlike the cells that make up tumors, cardiac cells do not replicate and should not be overly sensitive to the DNA-replicative inhibition that these molecules are known to induce. Metabolism of Doxorubicin and other anthracyclines does, however, produce a number of reactive species that could affect cardiac tissue more severely than other organs. Most notably, redox cycling of the anthraquinone core structure that defines these
molecules is known to produce elevated levels of reactive oxygen and reactive nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) ${ }^{45-47}$. These radical molecules are highly reactive and extremely damaging to anything with which they may come into contact, the effects of which may be exacerbated by qualities specific to cardiac function. Additionally, there is strong evidence that anthracyclines localize significantly within cardiac tissue, which serves to further amplify such problems.


Figure 1-11. Redox cycling of the dihydroxy-anthraquinone core results in increased production of radical species that are highly damaging within cellular environments.

Because many of these factors are, as far as we know, general to all anthracyclines with no clear structural or chemical specificity, focused drug design with the intention of mitigating cardiotoxicity is not feasible. Even though Doxorubicin exhibits some of the highest levels of this, its chemotherapeutic potential has kept it as the main line of treatment for various cancers for 50 years. Current protocols limit patients to a cumulative lifetime dose of the drug above which the risk of cardiomyopathy increases exponentially. Once this dose is reached, nearly all anthracycline derivatives are excluded from further treatment and a new regimen must be found to carry forward, severely limiting the utility of the compounds relative to their potential. The advent of combination chemotherapies in the mid-1970s was of great benefit to Doxorubicin in particular, allowing for the cotreatment with nitrogen mustards via A/C chemotherapy and significantly lowering the required dose for comparable chemotherapeutic effects ${ }^{48,49}$. For now, other methods of improving the efficacy of treatment and lowering necessary dosage amounts appear to be the only method of mitigating the dangerous offsite cardiotoxicity of antineoplastic anthracyclines.

## Synthetic Development of new Anthracycline Analogs

Slightly before the groundbreaking discovery of the function of Topoisomerase II, research involving synthetic modification or development of new anthracycline derivatives was accelerating as it does with all medically relevant compounds. The original assumption that the natural product anthracyclines functioned primarily through their intercalative nature provided a baseline for drug design, and nearly all synthetic derivatives developed to this day are structured around an anthraquinone core. The fourring structure common to the natural product class of anthracyclines was abandoned relatively early for the production of purely synthetic compounds in an attempt to minimize structures to the strictly necessary components, resulting in a new class of 9,10anthracenediones as a basis for modification as seen in Figure 1-12. This scaffold provided for much more straightforward synthetic methodology as exemplified by the abundance of work published by Paul Krapcho from the mid-80s to the mid-90s ${ }^{50-55}$. Identification of the Topoisomerase-dependent mechanism of action for the original anthracyclines allowed for a more guided approach to drug development and led to confirmation that the structurally simplified compounds acted in the same manner.


Figure 1-12. Conditions developed by Murdock (A) and Krapcho (B) for the synthesis of symmetrically and unsymmetrically substituted amino-anthraquinones.

Some of the synthetic derivatives exhibited similar cytotoxic profiles to Doxorubicin and were tested for clinical viability; two of the more promising derivatives became subsequently known as Mitoxantrone (MTX) and Pixantrone (PIX) (Fig. 1-09). These compounds are similar in structure, consisting of slightly different variations on the anthraquinone core structure and para-substituted alkyl-amine arms on the $C$ ring. Mitoxantrone was among the first 9,10-anthracenedione analogs synthesized and the only one that exhibited significant anti-tumor activity against a wide range of leukemias ${ }^{56,57}$. The early discovery of MTX as an effective Topoisomerase inhibitor was promising for the prospects of replacing Doxorubicin with synthetic analogs due to its apparently less significant induction of cardiomyopathy, but further efforts did not produce a variant with both further reduction of this offsite effect and significant chemotherapeutic potential. MTX itself does not reduce tumor levels as effectively as DOX, and thus was not considered a viable replacement.

Pixantrone was synthesized nearly 10 years later as an investigation into A-ring modifications to the anthraquinone core, particularly creation of the azaanthracenediones outlined in Figure 1-13 ${ }^{52}$. This analog exhibited significant activity against L1210 leukemia (nearly equivalent to that of MTX), human colon adenocarcinoma, and P388 murine leukemia, but did not stand out among its class as MTX had in this regard. Where PIX showed promise was in the fact that it appeared to have a much higher lethal dose than other compounds of its class, with significantly elevated survival rates in treated rats than any comparable analog. Additionally, later studies showed much higher tolerable doses of PIX with regards to cardiomyopathic induction compared to DOX or MTX. Intriguingly, Pixantrone also seems to be a relatively
weak inhibitor of Topoisomerase II activity, suggesting an alternative mechanism of action for its chemotherapeutic activity. The details of this are not well understood, which is likely a reason it is not currently approved for clinical use in the US. In Europe, it is used sparingly as a last course of action for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma relapse patients who have already been treated with significant amounts of other anthracyclines ${ }^{58}$. These three compounds more or less embody the sphere of anthracycline-derived drugs that show significant clinical promise with progressive improvement toward mitigating cardiotoxicity.


Figure 1-13. Conditions developed by the Krapcho group for the synthesis of isoquinoline amino-anthraquinone analogs.

## A Formaldehyde-mediated Covalent Adduct of DOX with DNA shows Improved Efficacy in DOX-resistant cell lines

During structural studies on the interactions of a Daunorubicin analog known simply as MAR70 with DNA, contamination of the crystallization solvent used for X-ray studies with trace amounts of formaldehyde resulted in the fortuitous discovery that a covalent bond formed between the two structures ${ }^{59}$. As shown in Figure 1-14, the reactive aldehyde was able to facilitate an interaction between the 3 '-amino group on the daunosamine sugar and the exocyclic $N^{2}$-amine of a dG residue within the DNA strand. This interaction was subsequently reproduced with both Daunorubicin and Doxorubicin ${ }^{60}$, potentially showing the first indications of anthracyclines causing direct DNA damage. The discovery kicked off a new era of research around the amino-glycoside anthracyclines in search of incorporating this new reactivity into drug design. The Koch lab was able to perform experiments with so-called "activated" versions of DAU and DOX, called Daunoform and Doxoform (Fig. 1-15), that mimicked this reactivity in a cellular environment and showed that each exhibited improved cytotoxicity over their parent compounds, particularly in Adriamycin-resistant cell lines ${ }^{61}$.


Figure 1-14. The synthetic Doxorubicin derivative MAR70 forms a semi-stable covalent adduct with $N^{2}$-dG via a methylene bridge when crystallized in solvent contaminated with trace amounts of formaldehyde.


Figure 1-15. in vitro reaction of Daunoform and Doxoform to form a covalent $N^{2}$-dG adduct identical to the one seen by Gao et al. upon introduction of trace formaldehyde.

It is still unclear whether this reactivity is in any way responsible for the cytotoxicity observed for the parent compounds as the methylene bridge formed by this interaction is readily hydrolyzed and not easily isolated. Modifications to existing compounds that can replicate this, either through direct interactions or cellular activation of a reactive species, could greatly improve upon existing treatment methods and provide an alternative mechanism of cell death. This idea was quickly latched upon and a new portfolio of synthetic analogs (Fig. 1-16) was produced. Most of these new analogs contained "masked aldehydes" 61 , electrophilic sites that would bind the exocyclic amine of dG without formaldehyde or being susceptible to hydrolytic deactivation. While they are able to readily bind DNA and block transcription, Koch notes that the lability of the methylene bridge is important for the protection of healthy cells since they can slow their growth process and allow the highly toxic lesion to dissociate without resulting DNA damage. The absence of this ability for the other compounds ultimately results in them being non-viable as treatment options due to being overly cytotoxic. Even Daunoform and Doxoform themselves are not true clinical candidates due to the rapid release of formaldehyde, itself a toxic chemical at high cellular concentrations.


Figure 1-16. Synthetic analogs of Doxorubicin produced with the intention of mimicking the formaldehyde-mediated reactivity that creates a methylene-bridged DOX-dG adduct.

What these studies ultimately provided was evidence that covalent interactions between the anthracyclines and DNA can produce enhanced cytotoxicity at low doses without the need for enzymatic mediation. The problem with accessing this reactivity, as was experienced in the formaldehyde-activation experiments, is that cellular environments are inherently nucleophilic and introduction of nonnatural electrophiles as mediators could result in toxic side effects. However, one of the few persistent biological electrophiles is produced at greatly elevated levels during the course of $A / C$ chemotherapy and is only present within DNA. The realization that AP sites formed through NM treatment are a prime handle for anthracycline alkylation led us to hypothesize that this interaction likely already occurs and could be a significant contributor to the efficacy of this treatment regimen. Due to the lack of significant analytical study around AP sites and a nominal focus on the anthraquinone core of anthracyclines rather than other reactive portions of the molecules, this reactivity has gone unstudied for nearly half a century. Additionally, the structural characteristics of the synthetic analogs MTX and PIX match almost perfectly with those found to be ideal for catalyzing DNA strand scission through $\beta$ - or $\beta$-/ $\delta$-elimination at AP sites. If this covalent binding interaction is shown to be a natural product of combinatorial drug treatment and has a measurable impact on non-enzymatic DNA damage, it opens a world of possibility into clinical modification and drug design.
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## Chapter II

Detection, Identification, and Quantitation of Anthracycline Interactions with AP sites in DNA via Adduct Trapping through Reductive Amination

## Anthracyclines can Covalently bind Apurinic/Apyrimidinic (AP) Sites in DNA

We first set out to prove that our hypothesized reactivity occurred and was measurable. By utilizing model systems with increasing levels of complexity, we should be able to develop a method to detect and analyze anthracycline-DNA covalent conjugates. Due to the hydrolytic instability of the Schiff base this reaction forms, the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond must be reduced to form a stable compound for isolation and characterization. For our studies on this reactivity, we chose to work with four anthracyclines shown in Figure 2-01 that are either commonly used as antineoplastics or well-studied as potential replacements. Doxorubicin, as outlined before, is still the most commonly used chemotherapeutic agent for a wide variety of solid tumors and breast cancers, while Epirubicin (EPI) is simply a derivative with inverted stereochemistry at the 2'-hydroxyl of the daunosamine sugar. We did not expect significant differences in reactivity between these two compounds, but the stereochemical differences have the potential to effect structural relationships between the reactive portions of the two molecules that could improve one mechanism of action over another.
A


Doxorubicin (DOX)


Mitoxantrone (MTX)


Epirubicin (EPI)


Pixantrone (PIX)


Figure 2-01. (A) Clinically significant anthracyclines chosen for study. (B) Equilibrium of AP sites allows for formation of a Schiff base upon interaction with amines present in these anthracyclines that can be subsequently trapped via reductive amination.

Two other compounds we selected for testing fall into the class of compounds known as anthracenediones, a structural derivative of anthracyclines with a planar core structure consisting of three rings rather than four and no sugar substituent. Mitoxantrone (MTX) is approved for treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, but is more commonly used to treat multiple sclerosis ${ }^{1}$. Pixantrone (PIX), a compound where the A-ring consists of an unsubstituted pyridine ring rather than the para-hydroxyl substituted benzene, is not currently approved for any treatments in the US but has exhibited significantly reduced cardiotoxic effects compared to DOX or MTX². All four compounds show promise for expanded use as chemotherapeutics, and further understanding of their interactions with DNA during such treatment regimens will promote investigations into their clinical utility beyond the current scope. DOX, MTX, and PIX were selected because they were previously shown to form formaldehyde-mediated covalent cross-links with $\mathrm{DNA}^{3-7}$ as discussed in Chapter I.

As proof of concept, we first synthesized a stable covalent adduct of the four anthracyclines with specifically generated AP site in a 12-base pair oligonucleotide (sequence 5'-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3'). The AP site was induced through enzymatic deglycosylation of the internal uracil residue by Uracil DNA Glycosylase (UDG). Anthracyclines were then introduced in 1.6 -fold excess in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ in order to reductively trap the Schiff base as a stable CN bound adduct. We found a significant difference in reactivity between the compounds in the order of PIX > MTX > DOX/EPI, with both the PIX and MTX reductions proceeding to completion within 8 hours while the DOX/EPI reductions required more than 24 hours of incubation.

The crude reactions were analyzed by HPLC with UV detection at both 260 nm and the visible absorption wavelengths of the anthracycline compounds. Analysis at 260 nm showed both DNA products from the reactions (Fig. 2-02) and residual anthracycline byproducts since both absorb in that range, while analysis at 595 nm for MTX, 320 nm for PIX, and 480 nm for DOX/EPI allowed us to visualize incorporation of the compounds into the DNA strands. HPLC peaks that exhibited both absorbance profiles were collected and analyzed by ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ to confirm the identity of the reduced covalent conjugates. CID fragmentation patterns were also analyzed as a further confirmation of sequence identity and anthracycline incorporation at the AP site.


Figure 2-02. HPLC traces of the crude reaction mixture from reductive amination of the four anthracyclines with the AP-12mer after 24 hours. $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ was added simultaneously with MTX and PIX, but 3 hours after incubation of the DNA with DOX/EPI in these experiments.

## HPLC and Mass Spectrometric Identification of the Partially Reduced DOX/EPI Covalent Adducts

One difficulty that arose in the isolation and identification of the anthracycline$12 m e r$ adducts was that the ketone at the $\mathrm{C}-13$ position of DOX and EPI was partially reduced to the racemic alcohol by $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$, giving a mixture of products for these reactions. Doxorubicinol (DOXol) is known to be a primary metabolite of DOX during treatment ${ }^{8,9}$, so formation of this adduct is still biologically relevant if difficult to control in terms of production. In this sense, Epirubicnol (EPlol) is also expected to be a major metabolite, and thus relevant to our studies as well. HPLC (Fig. 2-03) and ESI-LC/MS² separation and identification of these variants was hindered by the fact that the $(-3)$ charge state of the DNA was favored by ESI-MS, causing them to fall within the same mass window for detection. Injection as a crude mixture identified both adducts as present due to slight differences in total ion mass detection and mass differences from selected ion fragmentation. Figures 2-04 and 2-05 outline this slight mass difference for the 12 mer adducts of the parent and reduced compounds and how they match the calculated masses for each.


Figure 2-03. Mixture of products for the DOX/EPI-12mer reduced conjugates observed by HPLC resulting from partial reduction of the ketone at C-13.


Figure 2-04. ESI-LC/MS total ion spectrum characterization of the purified B-12mer product observed in Fig. 2-03.


Figure 2-05. ESI-LC/MS total ion spectrum characterization of the purified A-12mer product observed in Fig. 2-03.

## Synthesis of Anthracycline-dR Reduced Covalent Adducts

Detection and isolation of the anthracycline-12mer covalent adducts provided evidence that the Schiff base forms readily for this class of drug, particularly the anthracenedione compounds, and likely contributes to interactions between the chemotherapeutic drugs and DNA. We planned to employ mass spectrometry to detect and quantify the reduced Schiff base of the monomeric anthracycline-deoxyribose conjugate. Enzymatic digestion of DNA is a common method to break down the DNA into its constituent nucleotide or nucleoside building blocks alongside any products of base damage. By subjecting the purified anthracycline-12mer adducts to an enzyme cocktail composed of DNAse I, phosphodiesterase I, nuclease P1, alkaline phosphatase, and (optionally) adenosine deaminase, we expected to obtain a solution containing unmodified nucleosides and the anthracycline-dR covalent conjugate. This would provide us with a common reaction product that could be analyzed from our various model systems as well as from cell culture and biological samples, but one who's identity would need to be independently confirmed by comparing ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ patterns to those of synthetically prepared authentic standards.

The synthetic standards were prepared via reductive amination of the Schiff base formed between the anthracyclines and commercially purchased 2-deoxy-D-ribose (dR) with $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ in methanol (Fig. 2-07). The reactions proceeded easily enough, but controlling the production of various products and purification proved much more difficult than expected. As was seen with the DOX/EPI reductive aminations with 12mer oligonucleotides, the reaction with dR also resulted in the DOXol/EPIol conjugates being produced. This was accounted for by subjecting the precursor anthracyclines to an initial
reduction with sodium borohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right)$ followed by simple workup to isolate the alcohols. This process gave full conversion of DOX/EPI to DOXoI/EPIol but could not be replicated in reactions with DNA due to the significant increase in pH that results from reaction of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in water and inability to truly control reaction equivalents due to rapid degradation of the reducing agent. In fact, when this reaction was attempted on a solution of DNA, the normally red color due to DOX/EPI was immediately bleached upon addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and HPLC analysis showed a multitude of extra peaks that did not correspond to the 12 mer oligonucleotide. The change in color was attributed to borate-ester complexes of the anthraquinone core that have been reported through NMR studies previously ${ }^{10}$ and were evident in the larger scale reductions by a shift in solution color from red to green which matched UV analysis from the same reports. Because of this, we proceeded with $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ reductions for all reductive aminations and performed ESILC/MS ${ }^{2}$ analysis of the DOX/EPI reactions with DNA on a mixture of the reduced and non-reduced compounds. Analysis of the synthetic standards by ESI-LC/MS² and NMR was performed solely on the reduced DOXol/EPlol forms. Reaction of DOXol/EPlol with an excess of dR provided the synthetic standards in good conversion but still required purification by reversed phase semi-preparative HPLC. Pure DOXol/EPlol-dR were isolated as relatively narrow peaks with $\sim 1$ minute of separation from the unmodified anthracyclines (Fig. 2-06).


Figure 2-06. HPLC chromatogram of the crude reaction mixture between DOXol and 2-deoxy-D-ribose.


Figure 2-07. Summary of reductive amination conditions for each of the studied anthracyclines and the resulting products.

Synthesis and purification of the MTX and PIX covalent conjugates proved significantly more difficult. Unlike DOX/EPI, these compounds have two equally reactive amines toward reductive amination with $d R$. Due to this, controlling for reaction with a single equivalent of dR was not possible, and the desired compounds had to be isolated from a mixture of mono- and bis-dR products. In addition to this, the anthracenediones purified from HPLC as significantly broader peaks due to retention on the column and had much poorer peak separation for the same reason. Isolation of both the MTX-dR and PIXdR adducts from their crude reaction mixtures required sequential purification by HPLC with injection of minimal amounts of material to provide optimal initial purity. PIX had an added difficulty due to the unsymmetric nature of the molecule, resulting in an isomeric mixture of the mono- and bis-reductive amination products. These isomers were identifiable as separate, overlapping peaks, but were purified as a mixture for analysis to mimic the products that would form biologically. Retention of these compounds on the stationary phase during HPLC resulted in significant loss of product with each successive round of purification, meaning significant amounts of time and material were required to obtain enough sample for analysis.

Several common protection/deprotection procedures were attempted to either control product formation or improve purification methods, but none proved useful due to the large amount of identical reactive sites in our compounds. For example, attempted silylation or trifluoroacetylation of the complex MTX-dR mixture (Fig. 2-08) would result in numerous products if the reactions were incomplete, and a lack of solubility for the MTX-derived compounds in any appropriate solvents made this a significant possibility. Attempts to protect one of the reactive amines would have fared no better than the
reductive amination of MTX with dR due to the reactivity of the second amine not being impacted by chemical changes to the first, and purification of all products would still have been required before further reactions. Protection of the multiple alcohols present in dR prior to reductive amination was a promising route since the aldehyde necessary for this step could be shielded as a dithioester ${ }^{11}$ or stable aniline-imine ${ }^{12,13}$ (Fig. 2-09), but incomplete reaction with the need for purification again proved non-ideal and time consuming. Due to a later need for synthesis of isotopically labeled versions of the compounds, multi-step reactions with significant material loss were discarded as viable pathways and work was carried forward with the one-step process.


Figure 2-08. Attempted MTX-dR protection pathways to improve purification efficiency.


Figure 2-09. Attempted 2-deoxy-D-ribose protection pathways to improve purification efficiency after reductive amination with MTX and PIX.

## Qualitative Analysis of the Anthracycline-dR Synthetic Standards and <br> Conjugates Isolated from Enzymatic Digestion of Modified DNA

Each of the four anthracycline-dR adducts (as well as the doubly reacted MTX-dR2 adduct) were characterized by NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, COSY, and HSQC) and ESI-LC/MS² via our enzymatic digestion LC method. Solubility and solvent choice for NMR of the anthracenediones at relevant concentrations again proved problematic due to overlap of the water signal from common solvents with many of the dR proton signals. Ultimately, the PIX-dR synthetic standard proved soluble in a solution of $0.1 \%$ formic acid $-d_{2}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with minimal signal overlap, while the MTX-dR synthetic standard was only soluble in pyridine- $d_{5}$ at low concentrations. DOXol-dR and EPIol-dR were characterized as mixtures of diastereomers at the $13-\mathrm{OH}$ position, while the isomeric forms of PIX-dR could not be fully independently characterized. ESI-LC/MS² analysis of the four compounds in solutions spiked with the four unmodified nucleosides ( $\mathrm{dC}, \mathrm{dT}, \mathrm{dA}$, and dG ) isolated the products well for improved ion detection. This method also allowed for enhanced signal detection by isolating the selected fragment ions identified from direct injection of the purified compounds.

The purified anthracycline-12mer reduced covalent conjugates were subjected to enzymatic digestion following established protocol that hydrolyzed the short DNA strands down into their constituent nucleosides and modified AP site conjugates identical to our synthesized analytical standards. ESI-LC/MS² analysis of the crude hydrolysate provided chromatograms and fragment ion spectra consistent with those of the previous controls for each compound. The total ion scan at the appropriate retention times also showed detection of the representative parent ions as seen in Figure 2-10, further confirming the
efficiency of reaction. The ability to readily detect the reduced anthracycline-dR adducts in reaction solution after enzymatic digestion was not expected to carry over to more complex model systems due to the controlled method of generating AP sites and their relative abundance in the 12mer oligonucleotides. As a more representative model system, we also performed these reactions with calf thymus DNA (CT-DNA). AP sites were generated nonspecifically by suspending the DNA in $\mathrm{pH}=6.5$ citrate buffer and heating to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The DNA was isolated by ethanolic precipitation and the covalent adducts were then generated in a similar manner as before. The DNA was again precipitated and washed to remove excess reactants and the now colored pellet of DNA was re-suspended in digest buffer and subjected to enzymatic digestion for analysis.


Figure 2-10. ESI-LC/MS chromatograms for the elution and detection of products from enzymatic digestion of reduced anthracycline-DNA adducts. Product peaks were isolated by $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ selected ion detection of the fragment $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ shown for each compound.

Due to the large excess of unmodified nucleosides present in the hydrolysate mixture when compared to our 12 mer model system, enrichment of the reduced anthracycline-dR adducts was necessary for LC/MS detection. For this, an SPE method was developed to wash a majority of the unmodified nucleosides from a reverse phase cartridge before eluting the desired analyte. LC/MS identification of the anthracycline adducts from this process was performed by $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ detection of the selected fragment ions identified previously. In this manner we reported an efficient method of synthesizing and isolating adducts of anthracyclines with AP sites in DNA for detection and analytical analysis by ESI-LC/MS².

All further experiments were conducted solely on MTX due to a combination of factors, including its reactivity toward AP sites, ease of analysis compared to the other anthracyclines, and its clinical relevance. Utilizing the initial reaction, purification, and analysis protocol developed to this point, the Turesky lab at the University of Minnesota moved forward with detection and quantitation of the MTX-DNA adducts in CT-DNA and cultured human breast cancer (MDA-MB-231) cells. We provided them the purified MTX$d R$ synthetic standard for use as an analytical reference, and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{dR}$ isotopically labeled analog was independently synthesized, purified, and provided as an internal standard for analytical quantitation by the stable isotope dilution method.

## Detection and Quantitative Analysis of MTX-DNA Adducts at AP Sites by the

## Turesky Lab

Continuation of the initial experiments in CT-DNA confirmed that over a period of 3 hours, MTX reacts with AP sites at a similar efficiency to $o$-(pyridin-3ylmethyl)hydroxylamine (PMOA), a molecule studied previously by the lab that is highly reactive toward aldehydes and forms a stable covalent oxime conjugate with all available AP sites as shown in Figure 1-04. Although this indicates a high occurrence of reaction, it is important to note that $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ selectively reduces the reversible Schiff base formed between MTX and the AP site but reacts slowly with the free aldehyde itself. This likely pushes the reaction equilibrium toward the covalent adduct rather than indicating a steady state of formation, as evidenced by the rate of increased adduct formation over time for MTX while the levels of PMOA-DNA adducts (represented as "AP sites" in Figure 2-11 B) remain consistent. Indeed, reactions using a freshly prepared solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in water as the reducing agent exhibited the same reactivity as with $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ for the first 5 minutes but plateaued from that point forward due to full reduction of the free aldehyde and degradation of the reducing agent. The level of adducts measured within this time period was thus viewed as a more accurate representation of the steady state for MTX-DNA Schiff base formation. Unfortunately, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of nuclear DNA from MDA-MB-231 cells treated with NNM and MTX (performed by Kyle Brandt in the Turesky lab) gave an unextractable precipitate, and no reduced MTX-dR was detected.


Figure 2-11. Analysis of MTX reactivity with existing AP sites in CT DNA. (A) Reductive amination with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ terminates rapidly with no further reaction seen after 5 minutes whereas $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ reduction continues to occur over a period of 1.5 h . (B) MTX reacts with nearly $100 \%$ of the existing AP sites in CT DNA ( $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ DNA, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MTX) over 3h as the Schiff base is continuously reduced by $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$. (C) Deuterium-labeled MTX reacts with AP sites identically to the unlabeled compound and displaces $\sim 35 \%$ of the unlabeled adduct in the first 30 minutes, confirming the instability of the unreduced adduct and the necessity of reduction for isolation and detection.

Experiments in cell culture were performed by Medjda Bellamri and Kyle Brandt of the Turesky lab using MDA-MB-231 cells to observe cell viability and measure MTX-DNA adducts from co-treatment of NNM and MTX (Fig. 2-12). Breast cancer treatment regimens using similar combination therapies are well-known, but the drugs are thought to function independently. We set out here to test whether there is improved cytotoxicity from this method over treatment with each of the compounds individually and whether formation of the previously observed MTX-DNA covalent interaction at AP sites does occur biologically. Individual treatment of the cells for 24-96 hours with each compound showed that NNM is not cytotoxic itself below doses of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ while MTX significantly reduced cell viability at concentrations as low as 40 nM . Co-treatment for 24-48 hours with 1 mM NNM and varying concentrations of MTX ( $0.04-0.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) caused an observable decrease in cell viability over that seen with either compound on its own, indicating an enhanced cytotoxicity not intensively studied before.


Figure 2-12. Measurement of cell death as a result of co-treatment with NNM and MTX by MTT assay. (A/B) Cell viability with varying concentration of each drug individually over four days; NNM alone is relatively non-toxic up to doses of 1 mM over 48 hours of treatment. (C/D) Simultaneous dosing of 1 mM NNM with MTX results in a significant increase in cell death over controls within 48 hours of treatment (0.04-0.63 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MTX}$ ).

Although not necessarily cytotoxic within a 24 -hour period, NNM was shown to induce AP sites in a concentration-dependent manner in both dividing and confluent cells. Treatment of cells in log phase division exhibited a marked increase in levels of AP sites, supporting our theory that interactions between this type of damage and anthracyclines would be enhanced in proliferating cells. Following treatment with both NNM and MTX, the nuclei were isolated and treated with $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ to trap the transient MTX-DNA adducts as we had done in our model systems. The DNA was subsequently isolated, digested, and subjected to our SPE procedures to enrich the resulting MTX-dR reduced conjugates for $\mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}^{3}$ detection and quantitation. Outlined in Figure 2-13, the level of adducts increased with reduction times ranging from 1.5-18 hours with no further increase seen after that, reaching a maximum of $1.50 \pm 0.40$ adducts per $10^{5} \mathrm{nts}$. This matched well with the measured level of AP sites initially present after NNM treatment (1.16 $\pm 0.15$ per $10^{5} \mathrm{nts}$ ), indicating a high reaction efficiency for the Schiff base formation between MTX and damaged DNA. A decrease in the amount of AP sites was seen over 18 hours in cells treated only with NNM, and this was attributed to natural repair processes. However, formation of the MTX-DNA adduct was not apparently influenced by this, suggesting that the Schiff base forms quickly and has some stability in order to be bypassed by repair enzymes.


Figure 2-13. Rate of MTX-AP adduct formation in MDA-MB231 cells co-treated with 1 mM NNM and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MTX. The level of MTX-dR adducts observed after 18 hours was not significantly different than the background level of AP sites initially present. The gradual decrease of AP sites present without MTX dosing is attributed to natural DNA repair that is unable to occur when MTX is present.

Through these experiments we have developed a method for synthesizing, detecting, and quantifying products of covalent interactions between anthracyclinederived chemotherapeutic drugs and AP sites in DNA. In collaboration with the Turesky lab, we have shown that these interactions occur readily in cells and can be captured as stable adducts through post-lysis reduction with $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ followed by digestion and ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{3}$ detection. Co-treatment of MTX with NNM, a variation on already used clinical practices, enhances cytotoxicity over treatment with either drug individually. This effect can be interpreted as a product of the interactions studied here due in part to the concentration- and time-dependent increases in quantifiable MTX-dR adducts from isolated DNA that follows the trends in cell viability. Such results suggest a potentially cooperative effect between nitrogen mustards and amine-containing anthracyclines, supporting our hypothesis that covalent interactions between induced AP sites and the anthracycline compounds provide a significant but understudied contribution to the damage profile of this chemotherapeutic regimen. Further investigations into this enzymeindependent function of anthracyclines could lead to the development of compounds that better take advantage of such reactivity, further enhancing the combinatorial benefit. Additionally, improvements to the cytotoxic effects through improved drug design could in turn lead to lower necessary doses and a reduction in the off-site cardiotoxic induction that currently limits the utility of $A / C$ chemotherapy.

## Experimental Procedures

## Chemicals and Reagents

Mitoxantrone was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Ontario, Canada). Doxorubicin hydrochloride and Pixantrone dimaleate were purchased from Carbosynth (Biosynth International). Epirubicin hydrochloride was purchased from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA). 2-deoxy-D-ribose was purchased from Oakwood Chemical (Estill, SC). Oligonucleotides (12bp sequences) were purchased with prior HPLC purification from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}$-deoxyribose (98.9\% isotopic purity) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA). 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 98\% aqueous formic acid, calf thymus (CT) DNA, DNase I (type IV, bovine pancreas), alkaline phosphatase (Escherichia coli), and nuclease P1 (Penicillium citrinum) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phosphodiesterase I (Crotalus adamanteus venom) and adenosine deaminase (calf spleen) were purchased from Worthington Biochemical Corp (Newark, NJ). UDG (Escerichia coli) was purchased from New England Biolabs (lpswich, MA). Ammonium formate (solid, $97 \%$ for buffers), sodium cyanoborohydride, sodium borohydride, ethanol (95\%), Sola HRP Polymeric Reversed Phase 10 and 30 mg SPE cartridges, Optima LC/MS grade water, acetonitrile, and methanol were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Ultrafree ${ }^{\circledR}-\mathrm{MC}-\mathrm{HV}$ Durapore ${ }^{\circledR}$-PVDF $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ centrifugal filters were purchased from Millipore Sigma.

## Buffer Compositions

HEPES buffer: 0.1 M HEPES free-base, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}=7.40$

Digest buffer: 5 mM Bis-Tris, $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}, \mathrm{pH}=7.10$

## Chromatography

HPLC analysis was carried out on a gradient HPLC (Beckman Instruments; System Gold Software) equipped with pump module 126 and photodiode array detector module 168. Reduced anthracycline-dR synthetic standards were purified using a C-8 reversed phase column (Phenomenex Luna, $250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate $5.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) with the effluent monitored at 260 nm . Oligonucleotide reactions were monitored and purified using a proprietary reversed phased column (Phenomenex Luna: Clarity, $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) with the effluent monitored at 260 nm and either 595 nm (MTX), 320 nm (PIX), or 480 nm (DOX/EPI) when necessary. All analyses and purifications were performed with 0.1 M aqueous ammonium formate buffer (A) and acetonitrile (B).

Gradient 1: Isocratic at $18 \%$ B for $22 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $25 \%$ B; isocratic at $25 \%$ B for $6 \mathrm{~min} ; 2 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $80 \% \mathrm{~B}$; isocratic at $80 \%$ B for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to 0\% B; isocratic at 0\% B for 3 min .

Gradient 2: Isocratic at $14 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $15 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $80 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $80 \%$ $\mathbf{B}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $0 \% \mathrm{~B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

Gradient 3: Isocratic at 8\% B for 40 min ; 2 min linear gradient to $80 \%$ B; isocratic at $80 \%$ $\mathbf{B}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

Gradient 4: Isocratic at $23 \%$ B for $15 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $80 \%$ B; isocratic at $80 \%$ B for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to 0\% $\mathbf{B}$; isocratic at 0\% $\mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

Gradient 5: Initially $1 \%$ B; 15 min linear gradient to $10 \%$ B; 5 min linear gradient to $20 \%$ B; 2 min linear gradient to $80 \%$ B; isocratic at $80 \%$ B for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

## NMR Spectra

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 600 MHz in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $0.1 \% \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, pyridine- $d_{5}$, or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. The two-dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ correlation spectroscopy (COSY) and heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) experiments were performed on a 600 MHz spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, COSY, and HSQC spectra were collected using 64, 4, and 8 total scans respectively with a pre-acquisition delay of $10 \mu \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral width was set to 20.00 ppm with an O1P of 5.000 ppm . Acquisition times were 1.092 seconds for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $21.3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (F1) and $85.2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (F2) for COSY, and $4.71 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (F1) and $42.6 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (F2) for HSQC.

## Mass Spectrometry

LC-ESI/MS ${ }^{2}$ and MS $^{3}$ was performed on two instruments. Low resolution spectra were obtained using Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA) connected to a Finnigan LTQ ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA) equipped with an Ion Max API source and a standard electrospray probe. High resolution spectra
were obtained using and Orbitrap XL ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA) equipped with an Ion Max API source and a standard electrospray probe with auxiliary gas heating capabilities. For detection of compounds without in-line separation, samples were injected directly to the ion source at a flow rate of $0.25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ in a $50 \%$ solvent $A / B$ mixture. Analysis of compounds utilizing in-line purification was performed with a Phenomenex Luna 3-micron C-18 reversed phase column (2 x 150 mm ; Torrance, CA ) at a flow rate of $0.125 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ using the appropriate elution gradient. Data acquisition and spectral analysis were done using Thermo-Finnigan Xcalibur version 2.0.7 SP1.

All oligonucleotide samples were purified using a buffer system consisting of $1 \%$ acetonitrile in water containing 0.01 M ammonium acetate (A) and $90 \%$ acetonitrile in water containing 0.1 M ammonium acetate $(\mathbf{B})$ along the following gradient: isocratic at 1\% B for $1 \mathrm{~min} ; 3$ min linear gradient to 5\% B; 2 min linear gradient to $20 \%$ B; 1 min linear gradient to $40 \%$ B; 2 min linear gradient to $50 \%$ B; 1 min linear gradient to $100 \%$ B; isocratic at $100 \%$ B for $1 \mathrm{~min} ; 1 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 6 min . Analysis was conducted in negative ion mode using the following ESI conditions: $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sheath gas pressure was 36 psi; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ auxiliary gas pressure was 37 psi; source voltage was 5.0 kV ; tube lens voltage was -85 V ; capillary voltage was -37.0 V ; capillary temperature was $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the normalized capillary-induced dissociation was $35 \%$ for $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$; activation Q was 0.25 ; 1 microscan, AGC max injection time was 100 ms ; the isolation width was $m / z 3.0$ for $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$. The Mongo Oligo Mass Calculator (v.2.06, http://ma.rega.kuleuven.be/masspec/mongo.htm) was used to calculate the theoretical electrospray mass series and the $a-b, w$, and $y$ fragment ions. The theoretical $m / z$ values
were compared to the experimental spectra. Figure 2-14 outlines the DNA fragment ion nomenclature used.


Figure 2-14. Typical CID fragmentation pattern of DNA oligonucleotides from ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ analysis.

The anthracycline-dR synthetic standards and products of DNA enzymatic digestion were purified using a buffer system consisting of $0.05 \%$ formic acid in water (A) and $95 \%$ acetonitrile in water containing $0.05 \%$ formic acid (B) along the following gradient: isocratic at $2 \%$ B for $2.5 \mathrm{~min} ; 6 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $15 \%$ B; 4 min linear gradient to $40 \%$ B; 5 min linear gradient to $100 \%$ B; isocratic at $100 \%$ B for 5 min ; 1 min linear gradient to $0 \%$ B; isocratic at $0 \%$ B for 6 min. Analysis was conducted in positive ion mode using the following ESI conditions for adducts of MTX and PIX: $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sheath gas pressure was $44 \mathrm{psi} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}$ auxiliary gas pressure was 21 psi ; source voltage was 5.0 kV ; tube lens voltage was 55 V ; capillary voltage was 28.5 V ; capillary temperature was 300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the normalized capillary-induced dissociation was $35 \%$ for $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$; activation Q was 0.25; 3 microscans, AGC max injection time was 10 ms ; the isolation width was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 3.0$
for MS². ESI conditions for analysis of DOX and EPI adducts contained the following modifications: $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sheath gas pressure was $36 \mathrm{psi} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}$ auxiliary gas pressure was 37 psi ; tube lens voltage was 30 V ; capillary voltage was 10 V ; 1 microscan, the isolation width was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 2.0$ for $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$. The $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ transition parameters for unmodified deoxynucleosides ([M $+\mathrm{H}-116 \mathrm{Da}]^{+}$) are as follows:
dC: $m / z 228.1 \rightarrow 112.1 ; \mathbf{d T}: m / z 243.0 \rightarrow 127.2 ; \mathbf{d A}: m / z 252.1 \rightarrow 136.1 ; \mathbf{d G} ; m / z$ $268.1 \rightarrow 152.1$.

The $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{MS}^{3}$ transitions for the reduced anthracycline-dR conjugates are as follows:

MTX-dR $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{MS}^{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 563.3 \rightarrow 476.3,206.2 ;$ $\mathrm{MS}^{3}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+} ; m / z 282.2 \rightarrow 476.3 \rightarrow 297.1,206.2$.

PIX-dR $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}: \mathrm{MS}^{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 444.2 \rightarrow 427.2,384.2,309.2,292.2,266.2,179.2\right.$,
162.2, 136.2

DOXol-dR $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H}]^{+}: \mathrm{MS}^{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 664.1 \rightarrow 248.2$

EPIol-dR [M + H] ${ }^{+}:$MS $^{2} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 664.1 \rightarrow 248.2$

## Synthesis of the reduced MTX-dR covalent adducts

Mitoxantrone ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was mixed with 2-deoxy-D-ribose ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135$ mmol ) in methanol ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ) and stirred for 1 hour at rt under an argon atmosphere. $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.563 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction stirred at rt for 12 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator
and the crude product was dissolved in water ( 5 mL ). Initial purification was performed by SPE elution ( 0.25 mL sample loading in LC/MS-grade water) on a vacuum manifold (Supelco, Visiprep ${ }^{\text {TM }} 12$ ). Cartridges were preconditioned with 3 mL methanol followed by 3 mL water. After sample loading, elution was performed by washing with 4 mL water followed by 4 mL of $0.05 \%$ FA. All fractions were collected, dried, and further purified by reversed phase HPLC following Gradient 1. MTX-dR was collected as the second major peak eluting at 19 minutes while MTX-dR2 was collected as the third major peak eluting at 25 minutes. After successive rounds of lyophilization with water to remove excess ammonium formate, the identity of both compounds was confirmed by low resolution ESI$M^{2}$ via direct sample (dissolved in $0.05 \% \mathrm{FA}$ ) injection with a mobile phase solvent mixture of $50 \%$ methanol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. See Appendix III figures for NMR labeling. MTX-dR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz; pyridine- $d_{5}$ ): $\delta 10.97$, (t, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, Ar-NH), 10.92 (t, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz , Ar-NH), 7.40 (s, 2H, 2,3), 7.31 (s, 2H, 6,7), 4.44 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 10.4 Hz, 5'), 4.38 (td, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3^{\prime}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5^{\prime}\right), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.4^{\prime}\right), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 18), 3.56(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14), 3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1^{\prime}\right), 3.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1^{\prime}\right), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, 12), 2.99 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 17$ ), $2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 16), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right)$. LRMS $^{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc'd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 563.3$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 563.3 \rightarrow 545.3,476.4,384.2,323.1,297.1$, 206.1; $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ calc'd $m / z 282.1$, found $m / z 282.2 \rightarrow 502.2,476.3,358.1,251.7,206.3$, 88.1. UV (1\% FA) $\lambda_{\max } 610$ and 660 nm .

MTX-dR2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ ): $\delta 10.90(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{A r - N H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, 2, 3), 7.29 (s, 2H, 6, 7 ), 4.44 (dd, 2H, J = 4.1, $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5^{\prime}$ ), 4.37 (ddd, 2H, J = 2.7, 6.8 Hz , $3^{\prime}$ ), 4.31 (dd, 2H, J = 6.5, 10.9 Hz, 5'), 4.23 (ddd, 2H, J = 4.4, 6.5 Hz, 4'), 4.04 (t, 4H, J =
$5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14), 3.61(\mathrm{dq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11), 3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1^{\prime}\right), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 13), 2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right), 2.17$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right) . \mathrm{LRMS}^{2}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc'd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 681.3, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 681.4 \rightarrow 663.4,502.3$, 323.3, 206.2; $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ calc'd $m / z$ 341.2, found $m / z$ 341.3. UV (1\% FA) $\lambda_{\max } 610$ and 660 nm .

Isotopically-labeled MTX-[ $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right] \mathrm{dR}$ was synthesized by the same method and purified using a sample-isolated HPLC column and injection port. Its identity was confirmed by LC/MS ${ }^{3}$ fragmentation patterns of the doubly charged species. LRMS ${ }^{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc'd $m / z 568.3$, found $m / z 568.3 \rightarrow 550.3,507.2,481.2,384.2,323.1$, 297.1, 211.2. LRMS $^{3}\left(\right.$ ESI $\left.^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}$ calc'd $m / z 284.7$, found $m / z 284.6 \rightarrow 507.2,481.3$ $\rightarrow$ 211.2, 445.4, 358.1, 275.8, 267.2, 254.0, 223.1, 211.3, 88.1.

## Synthesis of the reduced PIX-dR covalent adduct

Synthesized as a mixture of regioisomers using the procedure described above with the following modifications. After evaporation of the reaction, the crude product was dissolved in water and insoluble materials were removed by centrifuge filtration (Ultrafree ${ }^{\circledR}-\mathrm{MC}-\mathrm{HV}$ centrifugal filters; Durapore ${ }^{\circledR}$-PVDF $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Initial HPLC purification performed using Gradient 2. The product eluting at 8 min was dried by lyophilization and further purified using a smaller column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) of the same specifications by Gradient 3. The PIX-dR adduct eluted as a broad peak between $20-25$ minutes and was dried and characterized as described for the MTX-dR conjugate. See Appendix III figures for NMR labeling. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz} ; 0.1 \% \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 9.04$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, 1$ ), 8.90 (bs,
$1 \mathrm{H}, 2), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3), 6.97(\mathrm{ds}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7,8), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3$ ) $) 3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.2.4,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5^{\prime}\right), 3.56(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right), 2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right) . \mathrm{LRMS}^{2}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc'd $m / z 444.2$, found $m / z 444.3 \rightarrow 427.2,401.3,384.2,309.2,292.2,283.2,266.2$, 179.2, 162.2, 136.2. UV $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \lambda_{\max } 317 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Synthesis of the reduced DOXoI-dR covalent adduct

To a stirred solution of Doxorubicin hydrochloride ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.172 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( $3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added excess $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(32.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.862 \mathrm{mmol})$ under an argon atmosphere. The reaction proceeded at rt with vigorous bubbling and a rapid color change from bright red to a dark green. Once the color had returned to an orange/red color indicating dissolution of the borate-ester salts and full degradation of the reducing agent, the reaction solution was diluted to 20 mL with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and slowly neutralized with 1 N HCl (must be very careful not to over-acidify and degrade the glycosyl bond). The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite and washed with methanol ( MeOH ), and the filtrate was removed under reduced pressure by rotary evaporation. The bright orange film was taken up in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and appeared as one peak by HPLC Gradient 4. The resulting product was confirmed to be the $13-\mathrm{OH}$ reduced form of Doxorubicin by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and LRMS and carried forward. The DOXol-dR covalent conjugate was then synthesized as a mixture of diastereomers at $\mathrm{C}-13$ as described above and eluted as the first major peak appearing at 8 minutes by HPLC following Gradient 4. See Appendix III figures for NMR labeling. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) mixture of diastereomers: $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1), $7.86(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3), 5.49\left(\mathrm{bs}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\right), 5.48(\mathrm{bs}$,
$\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}, 1^{\prime}\right), 5.15$ (bs, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, 7$ ), 5.13 (bs, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, 7$ ), 4.26 (q, 1H, J = $\left.6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5^{\prime}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.96 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14$ ), $3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4$ ), $3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 14), 3.64$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5$ " $), 3.61$ (m, 1H, 3"), 3.57 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13$ ), 3.53 (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.1,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5^{\prime \prime}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4\right.$ "), $3.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}\right), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$, $J=20.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 "), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 10), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=20.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8), 2.29(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8), 2.17(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8), 2.01(\mathrm{dd}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8), 1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, 2'), 1.91 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}$ "), 1.75 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 1.31 ( $\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ ). LRMS $^{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc'd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 664.3$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 664.1 \rightarrow 646.2,399.0,363.2,248.2$. $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ $\lambda_{\max } 480,500 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Synthesis of the reduced EPIoI-dR covalent adduct

The reduced EPlol-dR conjugate was synthesized, purified, and analyzed as a mixture of diastereomers at the C-13 hydroxyl group using the procedure described above for the reduced DOXol-dR covalent conjugate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) mixture of diastereomers: $\delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{1}), 7.84(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.4 Hz, 3), $5.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1^{\prime}\right), 5.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1^{\prime}\right), 5.11(\mathrm{bs}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, 7)$, 5.09 (bs, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, 7$ ), $4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5\right.$ '), $4.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14)$, $3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3$ " $), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5$ "), 3.57 (dd, 1H, J = $3.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13$ ), 3.51 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5$ "), 3.44 (m, 1H, J = 4.4, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$ "), 3.27 (m, 1H, 3'), $3.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4^{\prime}\right), 3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 3.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}\right), 2.29(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
8), 2.18 (d, 0.5H, J = 4.8, 14.7 Hz, 8), 2.02 (dd, 0.5H, J = 4.4, 14.7 Hz, 8), $1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, 2"), 1.80 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ ), 1.79 (m, 1H, 2"), 1.35 (d, 3H, J = 6.2 Hz, 6'). LRMS $^{2}\left(E I^{+}\right)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calc'd $m / z 664.3$, found $m / z 664.1 \rightarrow 646.1,399.0,363.1,266.2,248.2$. UV $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \lambda_{\max }$ 480, 500 nm .

## Synthesis of reduced covalent adducts of anthracyclines with an AP-containing double-stranded 12mer oligonucleotide

A typical procedure is given for the reaction of MTX with the AP-containing 12 mer duplex. The uracil-containing 12 mer oligonucleotide ( $10 \mathrm{nmol}, 5^{\prime}$-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3') was combined with its complement oligonucleotide ( $10 \mathrm{nmol}, 5^{\prime}$-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3') in HEPES buffer (total volume was 0.5 mL ). The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and the strands were annealed by allowing the solution to cool slowly to ambient temperature. UDG ( 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added and the mixture heated to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. MTX ( 0.011 mL of a 0.002 M stock solution, 0.04 mM final concentration) and $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ( 0.028 mL of a freshly prepared 1 M solution in water, 50 mM final concentration) were mixed and added to the DNA solution. The reaction was allowed to incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, then purified by reversed-phase HPLC according to Gradient 5.

## Enzymatic digestion of DNA containing reduced anthracycline-AP covalent adducts

DNA ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was suspended in digest buffer. To this solution was added DNase 1 $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ and nuclease P1 $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 hours, phosphodiesterase $1(24 \mathrm{mU})$
and alkaline phosphatase ( 40 mU ) were added and incubation continued for 15 hours. Optionally (for improved SPE enrichment when needed), adenosine deaminase (12.5 mU ) was added at ambient temperature for 2 hours, after which digest solutions were then placed on ice for 5 minutes and 3 volumes of ice-chilled ethanol was added. The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour after which they were centrifuged at $21,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min . Supernatants were collected and vacuum centrifuged to dryness, then resuspended in LC/MS grade water (1 mL) for SPE encrichment.

## SPE enrichment of the reduced MTX-dR covalent conjugate

The MTX-dR resulting from modified DNA digestion was enriched by SPE employing a Sola HRP cartridge ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The cartridge was preconditioned with methanol containing $0.1 \% \mathrm{FA}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by $0.1 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{FA}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Samples were then loaded and washed with $0.1 \%$ aqueous FA containing $6 \%$ methanol ( 2 mL ). MTXdR was eluted from the cartridge with $50 \%$ methanol/0.05\% FA. Samples were dried by vacuum centrifugation at room temperature, reconstituted in $0.1 \%$ aqueous FA containing $10 \%$ acetonitrile $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, sonicated, and transferred to polypropylene autosampler vials for LC/MS analysis.

## Formulas used to condense data for generation of figures in Excel

HPLC chromatograms were plotted using every second data point from the exported analysis. Absorbance values were modified by dividing the raw data values by 1,000 for singular plots and by 5,000 for comparisons.

Retention time offset $\quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{OFFSET}\left(\$ \mathrm{~A} \$ 2,\left(\operatorname{ROW}\left(\mathrm{D}[N)^{*} 2\right)-1,0\right)\right.$
Absorbance offset $\quad y=\operatorname{OFFSET}\left(\$ C \$ 2,\left(\operatorname{ROW}(E[N])^{*} 2\right)-1,0\right)$ where A was the column containing raw time values, $B$ was the column containing raw absorbance values, C was the column containing modified absorbance values, D was the column containing offset time calculations, E was the column containing offset absorbance calculations, $N$ was the number corresponding to the row for each calculated value, and 1 was the row containing column labels.

The DOX-dR and EPI-dR chromatograms for ESI-LC/MS analysis of the digest hydrolysates for the reduced 12mer covalent conjugates (Fig. 2-10) were plotted as a combination of the maximum ion counts for the $m / z 662$ and 664 ions to represent total product formation according to the equations below:

## Chromatogram intensity modifier

Intensity $=\left(E[N / M A X(E: E))^{*} 100\right.$
(Eq. 2-03)

## Maximum intensity value selection

$\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{E})=\operatorname{INDEX}(\mathrm{C}[N]: \mathrm{D}[N], 0, \operatorname{MATCH}(\operatorname{MAX}(\mathrm{C}[N]: D[N]), \mathrm{C}[M]: D[N], 0))$
Time value selection for selected maximum intensity value
$x(F)=\operatorname{IF}(E[N]=C[N], A[N], B[N])$
where A was the column containing raw time values for $m / z 662$, B was the column containing raw time values for $m / z 664, \mathrm{C}$ was the column containing raw intensity values for $m / z 662$, D was the column containing raw intensity values for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 664$, E was the column containing selected maximum intensity values, F was the column containing selected time values correlating to selected maximum intensity values, $G$ was the column
containing modified maximum intensity values, and $N$ was the number corresponding to the row for each calculated value. Chromatograms for the unmodified nucleosides, MTXdR , and PIX- dR were plotted only using the intensity modifier.

Mass spectra were plotted using every fifth data point from the exported analysis according to the equations below. Relevant values for labeled data points were selected manually according to maximum intensity values and added to the modified data set. Ion count intensities were modified to fit a scale of 1-100\%.

Mass value offset $\quad x=\operatorname{OFFSET}\left(\$ A \$ 2,\left(\operatorname{ROW}\left(D[N]^{*} 5\right)-1,0\right)\right.$
Ion count intensity modifier $\quad$ Intensity $=(B[N] / M A X(B: B))^{* 1} 100$
(Eq. 2-07)
Relative intensity offset $y=\operatorname{OFFSET}\left(\$ C \$ 2,\left(\operatorname{ROW}\left(E[N]^{*} 5\right)-1,0\right)\right.$
(Eq. 2-08)
where A was the column containing raw $m / z$ values, $B$ was the column containing raw intensity values, C was the column containing ion intensity modifier calculations, D was the column containing $m / z$ value offset calculations, $E$ was the column containing modified ion intensity value offset calculations, $N$ was the number corresponding to the row for each calculated value, and 1 was the row containing column labels.
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## Chapter III

Unreduced Interactions of MTX, PIX, DOX, and EPI with AP Sites Contribute to DNA Damage

## Background

During our method development for the reductive amination between anthracyclines and AP sites in 12mer oligonucleotides, we noticed an unintended, competing reactivity between MTX and the AP site. Our initial reaction protocol was to incubate the anthracycline with the AP-12mer for 1-3 hours to maximize formation of the Schiff base before reduction, but this led to incomplete conversion to the MTX-12mer as well as several new products in the HPLC analysis (see Fig. 2-02). PIX produced less of these new products under the same reaction conditions, and they were not observed with DOX/EPI. The immediate solution was to co-treat the AP-12mer with MTX and $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ that was freshly prepared from a solid rather than a pre-made solution in THF, which prevented this side reaction in full and was also adopted for the PIX-12mer reduction protocol.

When a control reaction was performed by incubating MTX with the AP-12mer without $\mathrm{NaB}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{H}_{3}$, we found that AP -12mer was still consumed over the course of a day while the new products increased at the same rate. It was hypothesized that the oligonucleotide was undergoing fragmentation around the AP site via $\beta$ - and/or $\beta$-/ $\delta$ elimination, a reactivity that we had predicted due to formation of the Schiff base but occurring much more rapidly than expected. Purification of the various new peaks and
analysis by ESI-LC/MS confirmed this hypothesis, showing masses that matched those expected from both $\beta$ - and $\beta$ - $\delta$-elimination as well as partial hydrolysis of the terminal phosphate of the same fragments.


Figure 3-01. Reaction schemes for ssDNA and dsDNA scission reactions by anthracyclines. Initial conditions with scission performed at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{A})$ provided $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ curves outlined in Figures 3-07 A and 3-08 A. HPLC conditions to prevent complement strand peak overlap were not yet developed for this. ssEC ${ }_{50}$ curves for $\mathbf{B}$ conditions with updated HPLC elution methods are shown in Figure 3-07 B and the dsEC ${ }_{50}$ curves for $\mathbf{B}$ conditions with MTX and PIX via updated HPLC elution methods is shown in Figure 3-08 B.

## Anthracyclines Incise DNA at AP Sites with Varying Efficiencies

## HPLC Analysis of 12mer Oligonucleotide Scission by MTX, PIX, and DOX

Repeating this reaction with the other three anthracyclines on longer time scales and elevated drug concentrations by the methods outlined in Figure 3-01 showed that this reactivity is exhibited by all of them at varying efficiencies which paralleled the relative reductive amination reactivity (MTX > PIX > DOX). Each produced the same DNA fragment products in similar ratios over the course of the full reaction, albeit at slightly different rates, as seen in Figure 3-02. Observation of this reactivity was extremely promising for our overall goals as it provides further evidence that formation of the Schiff base between certain anthracyclines and AP sites is favorable and contributes to previously unrecognized DNA damage in a Topoisomerase-independent manner.


Figure 3-02. HPLC chromatogram of doublestranded scission reaction mixtures ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DNA) for MTX ( $150 \mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.), PIX (150 $\mu \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.$) , and DOX ( 200 \mu \mathrm{M}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$ ) with peaks labeled according to Fig. 3-03.

Figure 3-03. HPLC chromatograms of the double stranded MTX-12mer scission crude reaction mixture $(10 \mu \mathrm{M}$
DNA, $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MTX, $\left.37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by Gradient $3(\mathbf{A})$ and Gradient $1(\mathbf{B})$. Peaks that could be isolated were purified and
characterized by ESI-LC/MS resulting in the identities shown.

After seeing this reactivity in MTX at low drug concentration, we wanted to examine the efficiency of each compound to induce strand scission in our 12mer oligonucleotide in a more quantifiable manner. Initially, we hoped to be able to quench the reaction by adding excess methoxyamine since it is known to form stable covalent adducts at AP sites. However, due to how quickly MTX was able to cause strand scission, any quenching with known AP site binders was ruled out as an experimental method since it likely would not truly outcompete the anthracycline to give us an instantaneous snapshot of reaction progress. Quenching the reaction could alternatively be accomplished by flash-freezing small-scale reaction aliquots at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and storing for future analysis. Optimally, this analysis would be performed via gel electrophoresis with quantitation by fluorescence or ${ }^{32}$ P-radioactivity of 5'-labeled DNA strands. However, the 3'-fragment of the substrate strand is invisible in such experiments. We chose to qualitatively analyze the nicking reactions by LC/MS because this method will detect all fragment products and can give structural/sequence information.

For calculation of reaction efficiencies, we opted to use HPLC as a method to separate, identify, and quantify the products from anthracycline-induced DNA scission. Samples did not require further processing or cleanup and could be directly injected onto the column for analysis upon thawing. This prevented re-induction of the reaction process by initial separation of the reaction components on the solid phase before the solution could warm to room temperature. Additional reactions to label the DNA strands for detection were also avoided as UV detection through HPLC identified all fragments produced. The corresponding chromatographic peaks were quantified by peak areas for calculation of reaction efficiency. In ssDNA, efficiency was calculated by simply
comparing the ratio of fragment peaks to remaining AP-12mer. There were additional difficulties when attempting to quantify dsDNA products due to overlap of the complement strand with one of the fragment products via any number of similar elution methods (Fig. 3-03). Extended elution methods were developed to more optimally isolate individual fragment peaks, but it appears that significantly high concentrations of MTX stabilize interactions between some of the fragments and the complement strand, causing them to co-elute as a broad mixture of products when analyzing these samples. Isolation of the DNA from the anthracyclines by passing the crude reaction through a polyacrylamidebased spin column proved useful for LC/MS analysis but appears to suffer from similar binding interactions as recovery of DNA drops from $\sim 85 \%$ to $10-15 \%$ at high concentrations of MTX. This strong binding interaction reflects the significant DNA stabilization by MTX reported later herein and was prevented by heating the HPLC column to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during purification via another extended elution method.

## Mass Spectrometric Analysis of the 12mer Oligonucleotide Scission Products

As mentioned before, hydrolysis of the terminal phosphates as a DNA scission product was confirmed by ESI-LC/MS analysis (Figures 3-04 and 3-05). This is an atypical reaction for DNA scission at AP sites and caused concern that some reactivity was occurring with the HPLC buffer or on the column itself. A second indication for this was the presence of two peaks by HPLC when running pure commercial standards of the phosphorylated fragment products, but observing only mass peaks corresponding to the precursor oligonucleotide by ESI-MS. Crude analysis of the reaction mixture by ESILC/MS still showed significant presence of both phosphorylated and dephosphorylated fragments, suggesting this reactivity to either be occurring in solution or on the column used for tandem MS purification, although the former was unlikely due to the lack of dephosphorylation seen from the commercial standard via direct sample injection for ESIMS. Analysis of the crude reaction mixture without column separation was performed by passing the sample through a polyacrylamide-based size exclusion gel column to isolate the DNA from anthracyclines or buffer. Direct injection of this sample into the ESI-MS source showed significantly lower levels of dephosphorylated DNA fragments, shown in Figure 3-06. Although this reactivity does appear to be primarily due to column interactions rather than in situ processes, it can only occur upon scission of the DNA strand into these constituent fragments and thus does not affect our calculations for reaction efficiency as long as all fragments are identified and accounted for.


Figure 3-04. ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the single stranded MTX-12mer scission crude reaction analysis with detection for selected ion $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ corresponding to expected $12 \mathrm{mer} \beta$-and $\delta$-elimination oligonucleotide products.


Figure 3-05. ESI-LC/MS total ion scan mass spectrum of the MTX + ssAP-12mer scission crude reaction mixture after 5 minutes ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DNA, $150 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MTX}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).


Figure 3-06. ESI-MS total ion scan mass spectrum of the MTX + ssAP-12mer scission crude reaction mixture ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DNA, $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MTX, $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$.) via direct injection after desalting with Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR}$ gel columns. Calculated fragment $m / z$ shown in Figure 3-05.

## Calculation of Differential Scission Efficiencies for MTX, PIX, and DOX in both the Single- and Double-stranded 12mer Oligonucleotides

Reaction efficiency by HPLC analysis could be calculated by comparing the ratio of fragment products to remaining AP-12mer as described above for both ssDNA and dsDNA. Alternatively, efficiency for the duplex reactions could be calculated using the complement strand as an internal reference and monitoring the depletion of the AP-
 addition of any anthracycline. This method was preferred when possible as it allowed us a static reference point for quantitative measurement. By this method, peak areas also had to be corrected for differences in absorbance by dividing each by their calculated extinction coefficient. Initially, reactions were performed by incubating $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DNA with drug concentrations ranging from $0.001-1 \mathrm{mM}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Results were plotted as $\log [$ Anthracycline] vs fraction of DNA cleaved after 10 minutes for ssDNA and 30 minutes for dsDNA (Fig. 3-07). EC50 values were determined for each compound based on the sigmoidal fit equation generated by the KaleidaGraph ${ }^{\circledR}$ software.

Figure 3-07. ssAP-12mer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ scission efficiency curves for MTX, PIX, and DOX/EPI after (A) 10 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
and after (B) 20 minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3-08. dsAP-12mer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ scission efficiency curves for MTX, PIX, and DOX after $(\mathbf{A}) 30$ minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and
for MTX and PIX after (B) 240 minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

An interesting observation was the significant difference in reactivity between DOX and the anthracenediones similar to that seen in the reductive amination reaction to form the reduced 12 mer adducts. MTX exhibited the greatest reaction efficiency of the compounds (Fig. 3-07), followed by PIX, then DOX/EPI which both required extreme concentrations of drug to effect similar levels of strand scission in ssDNA over the same time period and showed little reaction at all at lower temperatures. These results indicate a structural inhibition to the interactions of the glycosyl amines of DOX and EPI with AP sites in our DNA sequence. Both classes of compounds are known to preferentially intercalate at GC sequences of DNA, and the significantly higher reactivity shown by the anthracenediones may be attributed to the greater conformational freedom of the hydrocarbon chains allowing more frequent interactions between amines and AP sites in this particular sequence. These reactivity differences in ssDNA initially suggested a chemical perspective for interpreting such trends rather than a structural one since intercalation would not play a role, but studies have shown the ability of DOX to still interact strongly with GC sequences in ssDNA as well as weaker electrostatic interactions with AT sequences along the phosphodiester backbone that do not require intercalation ${ }^{1,2}$. Both types of interactions would inhibit the amine-AP site interactions in a similar manner to dsDNA, potentially explaining the equivalent trend for both in our model system.

Although the relative trends between the different drugs were similar in both dsDNA and ssDNA, there was also a significant difference in reactivity observed for each compound between the two systems. As can be seen in Figures 3-07 A and 3-08 A, achieving the same reaction efficiency for MTX in dsDNA as in ssDNA required about $3 x$
the reaction time at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This observation of the difference between single-stranded and double-stranded reactivities was actually underestimated due to the later realization that the strands of the dsAP-12mer dissociate at much lower temperatures than its undamaged counterpart. The $T_{m}$ of the AP-12mer is only $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, meaning that under our reaction conditions the so-called duplex DNA was $\sim 80 \%$ single-stranded. Recent revisions of these experiments at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggest an even more drastic reactivity difference for MTX and PIX with dsDNA, requiring nearly $12 x$ as long to achieve the same scission levels as with ssDNA (Fig. 3-08).

While the ssDNA reaction itself appears to follow simple temperature:rate convention (where the reaction efficiency doubles with a $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increase), dsDNA clearly does not. Our current investigations do not provide an explanation for this, but it is likely a stability phenomenon wherein intercalation of the anthracyclines prevents the catalysis of $\beta$ - and $\beta$-/ס-elimination even when formation of the Schiff base may be rapid. $A$ summary of the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values, defined as the concentration of drug at which half of the original amount of AP-12mer has been cleaved, can be found in Table 3-01 more clearly delineating the differences in reactivity between these systems.

|  | $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ssEC}_{50}(\mathrm{mM}) \\ & 10 \text { minutes } \end{aligned}$ | dsEC50 (mM) <br> 30 minutes | $\mathrm{ssEC}_{50}(\mathrm{mM})$ <br> 20 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dsEC } 50(\mathrm{mM}) \\ & 240 \text { minutes } \end{aligned}$ |
| MTX | 0.024 | 0.043 | 0.035 | 0.037 |
| PIX | 0.138 | 0.561* | 0.102 | 0.438* |
| DOX | 0.606* | Minimal rxn. | Minimal rxn. | N/A |

Table 3-01. Summary of reaction efficiencies for scission of the AP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX, PIX, and DOX. EC50 values were calculated using sigmoidal curve fit equations generated by KaleidaGraph ${ }^{\circledR}$ software and outlined in the Experimental Procedures.
*Likely overestimates due to non-Sigmoidal nature of data set at relevant concentrations.

## Identification of a Long-lived, Stable Covalent Adduct Between PIX and the AP-

## Containing DNA Scission Product

Our combined HPLC-MS approach also allowed us to identify a long-lived PIX-AP site covalent adduct of a $\beta$-elimination fragment without the need for reduction. While the Schiff base itself is unstable to hydrolysis, the characteristic primary amine of Pixantrone that creates it likely allows for greater stability of the hemiaminal formed upon ring closure of the PIX-AP site adduct (Fig. 3-09). This is supported by the fact that we have not seen evidence of a stable adduct resulting from reaction of PIX with dR alone, suggesting the necessity of an $\alpha / \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde for this stability. Thus, it is unlikely that we will be able to isolate and characterize PIX-DNA adducts within the full oligonucleotide without further reduction of the Schiff base as performed before. The major adduct we see by ESI-LC/MS in Figure 3-10 is the hydrated form [5'-GTT GC(AP + PIX + H2O)-3' from Fig. 3-09] that we predict to form at $\mathrm{C}-3$ ' of the sugar and be stabilized by tautomerization of the resulting alkene to the imine and further cyclization to the hemiaminal, a process that is unlikely with MTX due to its Schiff base forming from a secondary amine. We performed basic NMR, ESI-MS, and UV experiments to determine if this stable adduct was potentially formed by MTX as well at previously undetectable levels, but no evidence was seen by any method that would be representative of biological reactivity.


Figure 3-09. Proposed mechanism for the formation of a stable PIX-DNA adduct at the AP site of the fragment resulting from $\beta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.

Fragment analysis by ESI-LC/MS²/MS ${ }^{3}$ methodology further confirmed the identity of these products as meta-stable covalent adducts between PIX and the fragment sequence 5'-GTT GC(AP)-3'. Shown in Figure 3-11, the major fragments at $m / z 785.50$ and $m / z 834.42$ resulting from $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ analysis of the major peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 997.18$ correspond to the DNA sequences $5^{\prime}$-GTT GCp-3' and $5^{\prime}$-GTT GC(AP)-3' as identified in Figure 305. These characterizations were further confirmed by $\mathrm{MS}^{3}$ analysis of the corresponding peaks and comparison of the selected ion CID spectrum to that of both the purchased standards and previously analyzed fragments from the reaction with MTX outlined in Appendix V. Although stable enough to allow for adduct purification and characterization, the unreduced bond between PIX and the AP site is clearly not as stable as the reduced form since the PIX-12mer reduced covalent conjugate reported in Chapter II does not exhibit the same ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ fragmentation pattern. The CID spectrum for the latter, shown in Appendix II, does not contain any peak corresponding to the parent AP-12mer as would be expected if the compounds were of similar stability. This is unsurprising from a chemical perspective as the non-reduced C-N bond is still relatively weak in any of its equilibrium congeners when compared to the reduced structure and would be labile to both hydrolysis and energetic dissociation.


Figure 3-10. ESI-LC/MS total ion scan mass spectrum of the purified PIX-DNA fragment adduct sequence 5'-GTT GCX-3' resulting from $\beta$-elimination of the AP12mer (structure shown in Fig. 3-09).


Figure 3-11. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 997$ selected ion $\mathrm{ESI} / \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ spectrum with CID fragmentation for the 5'-GTT GC(AP + PIX + $\left.\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)$-3' unreduced adduct after purification by HPLC.

Although clearly less stable in this non-reduced form, the fragment adducts observed form extremely quickly and persist over the course of multiple days in reaction solution, long after the parent AP-12mer has been degraded. HPLC chromatograms for the full reaction between $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ssAP-12mer and $250 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PIX}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after $5,30,90$ minutes and 24 hours are shown in Figure 3-12 where the meta-stable fragment adducts appear with retention times between 17-18 minutes. These peaks reach a maximum after ~60 minutes, tracking with the disappearance of the AP-12mer peak that elutes at 11.2 minutes. However, they remain present in measurable quantities for about five days thereafter with their gradual reduction corresponding to the subsequent growth of peaks containing the 5'-fragments observed much sooner with MTX-induced scission. While PIX does not react as efficiently as MTX with AP sites in our oligonucleotide, its ability to form these long-lived covalent adducts is nonetheless intriguing if the drug has a sustained nuclear presence over the course of treatment and warrants further investigation. With the knowledge that 3'-terminal AP sites are a common intermediate of the natural BER pathway as mentioned in Chapter I, adducts of this sort could present yet another target for enhancement of chemotherapeutic cytotoxicity.


Figure 3-12. HPLC chromatograms of the crude reaction mixture for the ssAP-12mer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with PIX $(250 \mu \mathrm{M})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without reduction after $5,30,90$ minutes, and 24 hours.


Figure 3-13. Measure of the 5'-GTT GC(AP+PIX)-3' unreduced covalent adduct peak areas in reaction solution ( 0.01 mM ssAP-12mer, 0.25 mM PIX, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as a fraction of total DNA peak area by HPLC from 5 minutes to 96 hours.

Structural analysis of the reduced 12 mer conjugates through NMR or crystallography may provide better insight into the mechanism of these reactions, detailing what molecule acts as the catalytic base for elimination and how it is affected by overall DNA structure. Such studies could also provide significant detail as to the positioning of reactive elements of the anthracenediones and anthracyclines within our DNA sequence, helping to improve experiment design to better study this type of reactivity for more structurally confined compounds. This data could also help in development of structural analogs to better take advantage of the positioning of individual reactive elements.

## Changes in the Thermal Stability of Duplex DNA Oligonucleotides due to Interactions with Anthracyclines

Thermal melting ( $T_{m}$ ) analysis of MTX with the dsAP-12mer confirmed that anthracycline-induced DNA scission was occurring in situ and not due to interactions during purification. The presence of an AP site greatly reduces the duplex stability, represented by a decrease in $T_{m}$ to $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the representative THF analog (THF-12mer) from $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the canonical duplex (G-12mer). Significant stabilization was re-introduced upon incubation of the THF-12mer with one equivalent of MTX as seen in Figure 3-14. This was expected as a common effect from DNA intercalators and replicated to a lesser degree with both PIX and DOX, and the same experiment was then run with the AP12mer.


Figure 3-14. Anthracyclines differentially stabilize the THF-12mer by $T_{m}$ analysis with MTX having the largest effect and PIX having the smallest.

## Evidence of Anthracycline-induced Scission from a Progressive Decrease in Stability of the AP-containing Oligonucleotide

Over the course of two melting and re-annealing runs for a $1: 1$ mixture of MTX and the dsAP-12mer, the slightly lower melting temperature (Fig. 3-15) for run 2 indicated destabilization of the DNA duplex, suggesting some fraction of fragmented DNA. This shift was also seen in the re-annealing curves both within a single run and between runs, providing further confirmation that the reaction was continuous in solution. Upon repeating this experiment with a 2.5 -fold excess of MTX, the destabilizing shift was more pronounced within a single run as shown in Figure 3-16. The more amorphous character of the re-annealing curve is representative of a large amount of fragmented AP-12mer with extremely low thermal stability while there is still some evidence of the undamaged duplex from the sharp absorbance decrease around $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These shifts were not seen with either the canonical duplex or the THF-12mer analog, further confirming that scission was due solely to the interaction between MTX and the AP site in a concentrationdependent manner.


Figure 3-15. Changes to thermal stability of the AP-12mer duplex over the course of two runs ( $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.) upon incubation with one equivalent of MTX. The leftward shift of the $\Delta \mathrm{Abs}_{\mathrm{Max}}$ and amorphous nature of the curve indicate a growing abundance of DNA fragments from $\beta$-and $\delta$-elimination at the AP site.


Figure 3-16. Changes to thermal stability of the AP-12mer duplex upon incubation with 2.5 equivalents of MTX $\left(5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Increases in Stability of the THF-12mer AP-analog Conferred by Increasing Ratios of MTX, PIX, and DOX

In addition to confirming that the scission reaction occurs in solution, these $T_{m}$ studies also showed the significant stabilizing effect that MTX has on the damaged DNA duplex. As mentioned, introduction of one equivalent of MTX to the THF-12mer raises the $T_{m}$ value to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, nearly matching that of the canonical duplex (G-12mer). As outlined in Figure 3-17 and Table 3-02, this trend is detectable at lower MTX:DNA ratios as a biphasic $T_{m}$ curve with two inflection points in the calculated first derivative. These maxima likely corresponded to the unbound duplex THF-12mer ( $29{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the intercalation of one equivalent of MTX into the duplex structure $\left(\sim 48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Further stabilization at higher concentrations of MTX is observed and prescribed to either secondary intercalation points or electrostatic interactions between the drug and the DNA phosphate backbone that have been previously reported with DOX ${ }^{1,3}$. Thermal melting stabilization is also exhibited in the canonical duplex as a slight increase in $T_{m}$ from $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon incorporation of one equivalent of MTX (Fig. 3-18). This data matched well with preliminary results from Andrew Kellum ${ }^{4}$ of the Stone lab at Vanderbilt University, giving similar $T_{m}$ values for the unmodified duplex and THF analog while the reduced MTX-12mer duplex had a $T_{m}$ of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to their reports.


Figure 3-17. Calculated first derivatives of the thermal stability curves for $(\mathbf{A})$ the THF-12mer,
(B) a 1:1 ratio of the THF-12mer and MTX, and (C) a $2: 1$ ratio of the THF-12mer and MTX.

The Stone group also measured the $T_{m}$ of the PIX-12mer reduced covalent adduct and determined it to be $43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the same DNA sequence, which is significantly higher than that of the purely intercalative effect seen with a $1: 1$ ratio of PIX and the THF-12mer ( $T_{m}=35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Table 3-02). While the stabilizing effect of PIX intercalation does not appear to be significant up to one equivalent, the $T_{m}$ increases much more (as much as $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the presence of 2-5 equivalents of drug in much the same manner as MTX. The thermal melting properties of the DOX-12mer reduced covalent adduct have not yet been experimentally determined, but we do observe the same pattern of stabilizing effects from intercalation with the duplex THF-12mer. DOX appears to do this with an efficiency between that of MTX and PIX with a $1: 1$ ratio of drug to DNA raising the $T_{m}$ back to 45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and higher ratios (up to a 5 -fold excess of DOX) shifting this as high as $49{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Drug Equivalents | MTX $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | ${\text { PIX } \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)}^{\text {DOX } \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 0.25 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 0.5 | 29,50 | 29 | 29 |
| 0.75 | 29,50 | 32 | 29,41 |
| 1 | 50 | 35 | 45 |
| 1.6 | 53 | 38 | 45 |
| 2 | 58 | 42 | 46 |
| 5 |  |  | 49 |

Table 3-02. Comparison of thermal melting stabilization for the duplex THF-12mer (5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3') with increasing ratios of MTX, PIX, and DOX. $T_{m}$ with "0 equivalents" represents the measured melting temperature of the parent THF12 mer .

## Anthracyclines also Stabilize Undamaged DNA via the Canonical 12mer Duplex

The trend in relative stabilizing effects (MTX $>$ DOX $>$ PIX) was also seen in $1: 1$ ratios with the canonical duplex as represented in Figure 3-18. Structurally, we can thus assume that presence of the AP site is not a requirement for this pattern in the DNA sequence used even though the effect is drastically reduced with the G-12mer. Intriguingly, this does not match the trend we see in reactivity for either the reductive aminations or the strand scission. The trends seen in thermal stabilization also do not match those seen in various calculations of anthracycline-DNA binding affinity ${ }^{5-8}$. Although no singular report compares these three compounds by the same experimental methods, a general order of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ values in CT-DNA can be extrapolated as PIX > DOX > MTX. This can be attributed to the importance of secondary interactions due to conformational changes in CT-DNA that are not present in our 12-base pair oligonucleotide. Electrostatic interactions with the DNA backbone ${ }^{1,3}$, sequence specificity ${ }^{5,9,10}$, and even molecular self-aggregation ${ }^{11}$ have all been shown to impact binding affinity calculations and likely have varying impacts in the experiments reported here as we change drug-DNA ratios. It is also possible that the space generated by the presence of an AP site changes the manner of intercalation for the different types of molecules and allows for new structural interactions that could either enhance or inhibit stability. In contrast to DOX where the anthracycline core is intercalated and the glycosidic portion is formed to the minor groove of DNA ${ }^{7}$, the structure of MTX and PIX actually prevents incorporation of the full molecule ${ }^{5}$, and MTX and its analogs have even been shown to induce DNA condensation initiated by secondary structure destabilization ${ }^{11}$. This effect could be negated by the induction of an AP site, allowing the typically non-
interacting hydrocarbon arms to fit more neatly into the overall DNA structure and consequently placing the reactive amines in closer proximity to the aldehydic carbon.


Figure 3-18. Stabilization of the canonical duplex (5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3'; G-12mer) upon introduction of one equivalent of MTX, PIX, and DOX.

Thermal melting stability of duplex DNA with non-covalently bound intercalators has not been reported in great detail, but Akhter et al. reported a $\Delta T_{m}$ of $+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the interaction of DOX with a 24-base pair duplex oligonucleotide containing a GC-rich internal sequence in a 0.9:1 drug/DNA ratio ${ }^{12}$. A more common practice seems to be measuring the thermal stability induced by covalently binding intercalators to the terminus of an oligo as is reported by Garbesi et al. wherein DOX induced a $+10-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \Delta T_{m}$ in a triplex oligo when attached at various positions ${ }^{13}$. These values are more significant than those that we see in either the duplex AP-12mer or the canonical duplex with a similar ratio of DOX to DNA, differences that are most likely due to the length and sequence of the oligos in question. The effect on trends in stability incurred by the presence of the AP site cannot be overlooked, and the correlation between the structural characteristics that cause this and the trends in reactivity for both reductive amination and DNA scission should be studied in much greater detail.

## Experimental Procedures

## Chemicals and Reagents

Oligonucleotide sequence 5'-GTT GCp-3' was purchased without additional purification from Bio-Synthesis Inc. (Lewisville, TX). All other oligonucleotide sequences were purchased with prior HPLC purification from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased as a solid from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was purchased as a solid from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Pierce ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Concentrator, PES, 10 kDa mwc filters were purchased from Thermo Scientific. Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR}$ 30 Tris gel columns were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA). KaleidaGraph ${ }^{\circledR}$ software Version 4.0 developed by Synergy Software (Reading, PA).

## Buffer Compositions

HEPES buffer: 0.1 M HEPES free-base, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}=7.40$
$\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ buffer: $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}$ EDTA, $\mathrm{pH}=7.10$

## UV Spectroscopy

UV analysis was performed using a Cary 3500 Compact Peltier UV-Vis spectrophotometer with heating capability purchased from Agilent Technologies. Analysis of anthracycline standards was performed using $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ black-walled quartz cuvettes ( 1 cm path length) purchased from Fisher Scientific. Analysis of oligonucleotide samples
was performed using 1 mL clear quartz cuvettes ( 1 cm path length). All single scan measurements were done over a range of 225-800 nm with an averaging time of 0.048 seconds, a data interval of 0.5 nm , and a spectral bandwidth of 2.00 nm (scan rate 625 $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Variable temperature studies were conducted with detection at 260 nm over a range of $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ (followed by the reverse process after a 1 -minute hold at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with an averaging time of 2.000 seconds and a spectral bandwidth of 2.00 nm . A continuous flow of industrial-grade compressed nitrogen gas was introduced to the sample chamber for all variable temperature studies to prevent condensation.

## Chromatography

Oligonucleotide reaction solutions were monitored and analyzed utilizing HPLC instrumentation, mobile phases, and columns identical to those for oligonucleotide analysis outlined in Chapter II.

Gradient 1: Initially 0\% B; 8 min linear gradient to $10 \%$ B; isocratic at $10 \%$ B for $7 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $80 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $80 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at 0\% $\mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

Gradient 2: Initially 0\% B; 8 min linear gradient to 10\% B; isocratic at 10\% B for 4 min; 5 min linear gradient to $20 \%$ B; isocratic at $20 \%$ B for 3 min; 2 min linear gradient to $80 \%$ $\mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $80 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min ; 2 min linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min . Gradient 3: Initially 0\% B; 15 min linear gradient to 10\% B; 5 min linear gradient to 20\% B; isocratic at 20\% B for 5 min ; 2 min linear gradient to $80 \%$ B; isocratic at $80 \%$ B for 3 min ; 2 min linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

Gradient 4: Column heated to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Initially $0 \%$ B; 10 min linear gradient to $5 \%$ B; isocratic at $5 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 7 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $7 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $7 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 0.5 min ; 2.5 min linear gradient to $10 \%$ B; isocratic at $10 \%$ B for $10 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $80 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $80 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $0 \%$ B; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min.

Gradient 5: Column heated to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Initially $0 \%$ B; 10 min linear gradient to $5 \%$ B; isocratic at $5 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 7 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $7 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $7 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 0.25 min ; 2.5 min linear gradient to $10 \%$ B; isocratic at $10 \%$ B for $2.25 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $20 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $20 \%$ B for $6 \mathrm{~min} ; 2$ min linear gradient to $80 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $80 \% \mathbf{B}$ for $3 \mathrm{~min} ; 2 \mathrm{~min}$ linear gradient to $0 \% \mathbf{B}$; isocratic at $0 \% \mathbf{B}$ for 3 min .

## Mass Spectrometry

All oligonucleotides and resulting fragments were analyzed by high resolution MS according to ESI-LC/MS² procedures outlined in Chapter II. For crude analysis of oligonucleotide scission without in-line purification, reaction solutions were de-salted by passing twice through Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR} 6$ gel columns containing Tris buffer according to provided procedures for buffer exchange to elute the samples in LC/MS grade water. Samples were immediately analyzed by ESI-MS without further preparation to prevent further reaction.

## General method for the reaction of anthracyclines with AP-containing singlestranded 12mer oligonucleotides

A generalized method is given for the reaction of varying concentrations of anthracycline compounds with 12mer oligonucleotides containing a single AP site. Total volumes and amounts of DNA and UDG used will vary based on the number of samples required for each drug. Each set of reactions was run in triplicate for all compounds.

## $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

To a $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of the uracil-containing 12 mer oligonucleotide (5'-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3') in HEPES buffer was added 0.25 units/ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of UDG and the solutions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The solution was then portioned out into $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots, to which an appropriate amount of HEPES buffer was added to bring the volume of each sample to $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ upon further addition of anthracycline stock solutions. These new DNA solutions ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at final volume) were placed back at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and brought to temperature before adding the necessary volume of anthracycline solution from 0.1 , 1 , and 5 mM stock solutions in HEPES buffer. Reaction mixtures were vortexed and incubated for 10 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of each were taken and all samples were immediately stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These aliquots were thawed at ambient temperature 5 minutes prior to HPLC analysis and run via Gradient 1.

Table 3-03. Anthracycline concentrations used ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the ssAP-12mer scission reactions performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| MTX | PIX | DOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.096 | 2.740 | 10.96 |
| 1.644 | 5.480 | 27.41 |
| 2.193 | 10.96 | 54.81 |
| 2.740 | 21.93 | 87.7 |
| 4.390 | 32.89 | 109.6 |
| 5.480 | 43.85 | 137.0 |
| 8.220 | 65.76 | 164.4 |
| 10.96 | 87.7 | 219.3 |
| 16.44 | 109.6 | 328.9 |
| 21.93 | 137.0 | 438.5 |
| 27.41 | 164.4 | 657.8 |
| 32.89 | 219.3 | 767.4 |
| 43.85 | 274.1 | 877.0 |
| 54.81 | 328.9 | 986.6 |
| 65.76 | 438.5 |  |
| 76.74 | 657.8 |  |
| 87.70 | 767.4 |  |
| 98.66 |  |  |
| 109.6 |  |  |
| 137.0 |  |  |
| 164.4 |  |  |
| 219.3 |  |  |
| 328.9 |  |  |
| 438.5 |  |  |
| 657.8 |  |  |

## $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

To a $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of the uracil-containing 12 mer oligonucleotide (5'-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3') in HEPES buffer was added 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ of UDG and the solutions were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The solution was allowed to cool to ambient temperature then portioned out into $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots, to which an appropriate amount of HEPES buffer was added to bring the volume of each sample to $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ upon further addition of anthracycline stock solutions. These new DNA solutions (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at final volume) were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and brought to temperature before adding the necessary volume of anthracycline solution from 0.1, 1, and 5 mM stock solutions in HEPES buffer (also incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to addition). Reaction mixtures were vortexed and incubated for 20 minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of each were taken and all samples were immediately stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These aliquots were thawed at ambient temperature 5 minutes prior to HPLC analysis and run via Gradient 1 for MTX and DOX or Gradient 2 for PIX.

Table 3-04. Anthracycline concentrations used ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the ssAP-12mer scission reactions performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| MTX | PIX | DOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.000 | 1.000 | 50.00 |
| 2.500 | 2.500 | 75.00 |
| 5.000 | 5.000 | 100.0 |
| 7.500 | 7.500 | 150.0 |
| 10.00 | 10.00 | 200.0 |
| 15.00 | 15.00 | 250.0 |
| 20.00 | 20.00 | 300.0 |
| 25.00 | 25.00 | 350.0 |
| 30.00 | 30.00 | 400.0 |
| 35.00 | 40.00 | 450.0 |
| 40.00 | 50.00 | 500.0 |
| 45.00 | 62.50 | 550.0 |
| 50.00 | 75.00 | 600.0 |
| 62.50 | 87.50 |  |
| 75.00 | 100.0 |  |
| 87.50 | 112.5 |  |
| 100.0 | 125.0 |  |
| 112.5 | 150.0 |  |
| 125.0 | 175.0 |  |
| 150.0 | 225.0 |  |
| 200.0 | 300.0 |  |
|  | 375.0 |  |
|  | 450.0 |  |
|  | 525.0 |  |
|  | 600.0 |  |

## General method for the reaction of anthracyclines with AP-containing doublestranded 12mer oligonucleotides

A generalized method is given for the reaction of varying concentrations of anthracycline compounds with duplex 12 mer oligonucleotides containing a single AP site. Total volumes and amounts of DNA and UDG used will vary based on the number of samples required for each drug. Each set of reactions was run in triplicate for all compounds.

## $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The uracil-containing 12mer oligonucleotide (5'-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3') was combined with its complement oligonucleotide (5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3') in HEPES buffer ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each oligonucleotide). The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and the strands were annealed by allowing the solution to cool slowly to ambient temperature. UDG ( 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added and the solutions were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The solution was then portioned out into $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots, to which an appropriate amount of HEPES buffer was added to bring the volume of each sample to $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ upon further addition of anthracycline stock solutions and an additional dilution made as a control sample for to analysis. These new DNA solutions (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ at final volume) were placed back at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and brought to temperature before adding the necessary volume of anthracycline solution from 0.1 , 1 , and 5 mM stock solutions in HEPES buffer. Reaction mixtures were vortexed and incubated for 30 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of each were taken and all samples were immediately stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These aliquots were thawed at ambient temperature 5 minutes prior to HPLC analysis and run via Gradient

## 3.

Table 3-05. Anthracycline concentrations used ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the dsAP-12mer scission reactions performed at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| MTX | PIX | DOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.096 | 2.740 | 10.96 |
| 2.190 | 5.480 | 43.85 |
| 2.740 | 10.96 | 82.22 |
| 5.480 | 16.44 | 164.4 |
| 10.96 | 21.93 | 219.3 |
| 16.44 | 27.41 | 274.1 |
| 21.93 | 43.85 | 328.9 |
| 27.41 | 60.29 |  |
| 43.85 | 82.22 |  |
| 60.29 | 109.6 |  |
| 82.22 | 137.0 |  |
| 109.6 | 164.4 |  |
| 137.0 | 219.3 |  |
| 164.4 | 274.1 |  |
| 191.8 | 328.9 |  |
| 219.3 | 356.3 |  |
| 246.7 | 383.7 |  |
| 274.1 | 438.5 |  |
| 328.9 | 493.3 |  |
| 438.5 | 548.1 |  |
| 493.3 | 602.9 |  |
|  | 657.8 |  |
|  | 712.6 |  |
|  | 767.4 |  |

## $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The uracil-containing 12 mer oligonucleotide ( $5^{\prime}$-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3') was combined with its complement oligonucleotide (5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3') in HEPES buffer ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each oligonucleotide). The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and the strands were annealed by allowing the solution to cool slowly to ambient temperature. UDG ( 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L})$ was added and the solutions were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The solution was then allowed to cool to ambient temperature, then further to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ensure re-annealing of the DNA strands. This DNA sample was portioned out into $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots, to which an appropriate amount of HEPES buffer was added to bring the volume of each sample to $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ upon further addition of anthracycline stock solutions and an additional dilution made as a control sample for to analysis. These new DNA solutions ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at final volume) were incubated at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and brought to temperature before adding the necessary volume of anthracycline solution from $0.1,1$, and 5 mM stock solutions in HEPES buffer (also incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to addition). Reaction mixtures were vortexed and incubated for 4 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of each were taken and all samples were immediately stored at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These aliquots were thawed at ambient temperature 5 minutes prior to HPLC analysis and run via Gradient 4 for MTX or Gradient 5 for PIX.

Table 3-06. Anthracycline concentrations used ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the dsAP-12mer scission reactions performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| MTX |  | PIX |  | DOX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.000 | 110.0 | 1.000 | 150.0 | N/A |
| 1.750 | 125.0 | 2.500 | 175.0 |  |
| 2.500 | 150.0 | 5.000 | 200.0 |  |
| 3.750 | 175.0 | 7.500 | 250.0 |  |
| 5.000 | 200.0 | 10.00 | 300.0 |  |
| 7.500 | 250.0 | 15.00 | 350.0 |  |
| 10.00 | 300.0 | 20.00 | 400.0 |  |
| 15.00 | 400.0 | 25.00 | 500.0 |  |
| 20.00 | 500.0 | 30.00 | 600.0 |  |
| 30.00 |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| 40.00 |  | 40.00 |  |  |
| 50.00 |  | 50.00 |  |  |
| 60.00 |  | 62.50 |  |  |
| 70.00 |  | 75.00 |  |  |
| 80.00 |  | 125.00 |  |  |
| 90.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 100.0 |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of reaction efficiency in ss12mer oligonucleotides from HPLC peak areas

Chromatographic peaks detected at $\lambda_{260}$ were defined manually according to known DNA products and total peak areas were taken from System Gold Software analysis. For reactions with MTX and DOX, reaction efficiency for each sample was calculated as the sum of the fragment peak areas divided by the peak area of the remaining AP-12mer. For reactions with PIX, the added absorbance due to PIX incorporation in some fragment strands was taken into account by dividing all peak areas by the corresponding extinction coefficients based on peak identification with purchased oligonucleotide standards. Reaction efficiency was thus calculated as follows:

Fraction DNA cleaved $=\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 1+\mathrm{A} 4}{58900}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 2+\mathrm{A} 3}{44500}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 6}{44500+10000}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{103200}\right)}$
where $A 1-A 6$ are the peak areas associated with peaks $1,2,3,4$, and 6 as assigned in Figure 3-12 and $A P$ is the peak area of the unreacted AP-12mer. Extinction coefficients for the fragmented DNA strands and AP-12mer were calculated using the OligoAnalyzer ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Tool provided by IDT's website and the extinction coefficient for Pixantrone was calculated by UV analysis.

## Calculation of reaction efficiency in ds12mer oligonucleotides from HPLC peak

 areasChromatographic peaks detected at $\lambda_{260}$ were defined manually according to known DNA products and total peak areas were taken from System Gold Software
analysis. Reaction efficiency for each sample was calculated as the change in the ratio of AP-12mer to its complement strand with correction for extinction coefficients according to Equation 3-03 as follows:
$A / C=\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{103200}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{Comp} .}{114100}\right)}$

Fraction DNA cleaved $=1-\frac{A / C_{R}}{A / C_{0}}$
where AP is the peak area of the unreacted AP-12mer, Comp. is the peak area of the complement oligonucleotide strand, " $R$ " denotes the peak area ratio of the reaction, and " 0 " denotes the peak area ratio of the control sample.

The resulting data from these calculations was transferred to a KaleidaGraph ${ }^{\circledR}$ spreadsheet and used to plot $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ curves with line fitting for sigmoidal functionality. For data averaging purposes, the software's statistical analysis was used to calculate mean values and standard error for each data set. Sigmoidal curves were fit based on Equation 3-04 with estimates made for each variable based on the data generated.
$y=m 1+\frac{m 2-m 1}{1+\left(\frac{x}{m 3}\right)^{m 4}}$
(Eq. 3-04)

Values for $m 1$ and $m 2$ were taken directly from the data sets in question while $m 3$ was estimated visually and values for m4 were calculated by deriving the slope between the two points adjacent to $50 \%$ reaction completion based on maximum reaction efficiency. The EC50 values for MTX, PIX, and DOX in each reaction system was taken as $\log (\mathrm{m} 3)$ from the post-fit generated equation.

## Monitoring the reaction between PIX and the ssAP-12mer.

In order to monitor the appearance/depletion of the 5'-AP-PIX covalent fragment adduct at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the uracil-containing 12mer oligonucleotide identified above (10 nmol) was combined with UDG ( 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) in HEPES buffer ( 0.4 mL total volume) and the mixture incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. After cooling to room temperature, additional HEPES buffer was added ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{mM}$ DNA) and the diluted solution incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, after which $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 5 mM stock solution of PIX was added ( 0.25 mM reaction concentration). The solution was vortexed and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquots were taken at various time points from 5 minutes to 7 days and stored at -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with additional reactions prepared as necessary for extended sampling. Aliquots were analyzed by HPLC according to Gradient 2 and peak areas were recorded for reaction efficiency calculations as described above. The fraction of the 5'-AP-PIX adduct present in reaction solution was calculated according to Equation 3-05.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Fraction 5'-AP-PIX }=\frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 6}{44500+10000}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 5+\mathrm{A} 4}{58900}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{A} 2+\mathrm{+a}}{44500}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{103200}\right)} \tag{Eq.3-05}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A 1-A 6$ and $A P$ follow the labeling convention outlined for Equation 3-01.

## Thermal melting analysis of unmodified duplex 12 mer oligonucleotides with noncovalent anthracycline interactions

Either the constituent 12mer oligonucleotide (5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3', 1.69 nmol) or the THF-12mer AP-analog (5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3', 1.69 nmol ) was annealed with its complementary oligonucleotide (5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3', 1.69 nmol )
in $T_{m}$ buffer $(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ according to established protocol, allowing the samples to cool to 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than ambient temperature. Baseline absorbance at $\lambda_{260}$ was measured using $T_{m}$ buffer at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the DNA sample and an appropriate volume of anthracycline stock solution ( 0.5 mM ) were added to a final cuvette volume of $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}(1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DNA). The sample was mixed well and allowed to stand for 5 minutes while equilibrating to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Absorbance readings were taken at 260 nm every 30 seconds with temperature ramping from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The temperature was held at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, then the reverse process was performed to analyze oligonucleotide reannealing.

## Thermal melting analysis of duplex 12mer oligonucleotides containing an AP site reacting with MTX

The uracil-containing 12mer oligonucleotide (5'-GTT GCU CGT ATG-3', 5 nmol) was annealed with its complementary oligonucleotide (5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3', 5 nmol) in $T_{m}$ buffer ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) according to established protocol. Once cooled to ambient temperature, UDG ( 0.25 units $/ \mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added and the DNA solution was incubated at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was immediately passed through a 10 kDa mwc filter with centrifugation at $15,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 minutes to remove the UDG and the collected filtrate was cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The duplex oligonucleotide concentration was re-calculated by UV measurement based on absorbance values from a known concentration of duplex THF12 mer. Baseline absorbance at $\lambda_{260}$ was measured using $T_{m}$ buffer at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the DNA sample and an appropriate volume of anthracycline stock solution ( 0.5 mM ) were added to a final cuvette volume of $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}(1.3 \mu \mathrm{M} D \mathrm{DA})$. The sample was mixed well and UV analysis was immediately begun. Absorbance readings were taken at 260 nm every 30
seconds with temperature ramping from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The temperature was held at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, then the reverse process was performed to analyze oligonucleotide reannealing. Where necessary, a second round of UV analysis was immediately begun upon the first run reaching $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Formulas used to plot data in Excel

HPLC chromatograms and ESI-LC/MS spectra were plotted as described in Chapter II. The first derivatives of the melting curves for $T_{m}$ analysis were calculated as follows:
$\Delta \mathrm{Abs}_{260}=(\mathrm{B}[N]-\mathrm{B}[N+8]) / 4$
where B was the column containing raw absorbance values and $N$ was the number corresponding to the row for each calculated value.
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Appendix I:
UV Analysis of MTX, PIX, and DOX for Analytical Applications; HPLC and ESILC/MS ${ }^{2}$ Characterization for Anthracycline-dR Synthetic Standards in Chapter II


Representative UV spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{M}$ MTX in water from $225-800 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Calibration curve for MTX generated from UV absorbances at $\lambda=678 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $5-80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions in $0.1 \%$ formic acid with calculated extinction coefficient of $\sim 9180 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Overlaid graph of calculated extinction coefficients for $5-80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of MTX in $0.1 \%$ formic acid shows the isosbestic point to be 678 nm .


HPLC trace of the purified MTX-dR synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard.

m/z 282 selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.


Assigned fragments for $\boldsymbol{m} / \mathbf{z} 282$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard.

$m / z 563$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.


Assigned fragments for $m / z 563$ CID ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ peaks of the MTX-dR synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the MTX- $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dR}$ isotopically labeled synthetic standard.

$m / z 284$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the MTX- $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dR}$ isotopically labeled synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.


[^0]
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 568$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the MTX- $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dR}$ isotopically labeled synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.

Assigned fragments for $m / z 568$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the MTX- $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dR}$ isotopically


HPLC trace of the purified MTX-dR $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the MTX-dR2 synthetic standard.

m/z 681 selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the MTX-dR $R_{2}$ synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.


Assigned fragments for $m / z 681$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the MTX-dR ${ }_{2}$ synthetic standard.


Representative UV spectrum of $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PIX in water from 200-800 nm.


Calibration curve for PIX generated from UV absorbances at $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=517 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $10-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions in water with calculated extinction coefficient of $\sim 2360 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


HPLC trace of the purified PIX-dR synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard.

m/z 444 selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.

Assigned fragments for $m / z 444$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the PIX-dR synthetic standard.


Representative UV spectrum of $20 \mu$ M DOX in water from 200-800 nm.


Calibration curve for DOX generated from UV absorbances at $\lambda=480 \mathrm{~nm}$ for 1-20 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions in water with calculated extinction coefficient of $\sim 11000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


HPLC trace of the purified DOXoI-dR synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the DOXol-dR synthetic standard.

$m / z 664$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the DOXoI-dR synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.

m/z: 399.11
m/z: 363.09


оे H
m/z: 664.26

m/z: 248.15


m/z: 646.25

Assigned fragments for $m / z 664$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the DOXoI-dR synthetic standard.


HPLC trace of the purified EPIol-dR synthetic standard.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the EPIoI-dR synthetic standard.

m/z 664 selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum of the EPIol-dR synthetic standard with CID fragmentation.


Assigned fragments for $m / z 664$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the EPIol-dR synthetic standard.

## Appendix II:

HPLC and ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ Characterization and Sequencing for Anthracycline-12mer Oligonucleotide Reduced Covalent Adducts in Chapter II


HPLC chromatogram of the dsMTX-12mer reduced conjugate crude reaction solution.

MTX-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP-MTX] CGT ATG-3'
Complement: 5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3'

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purified MTX-12mer reduced conjugate showing the total ion chromatogram
(top) and selected ion chromatograms for $m / z 796$ and 995 .


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the purified MTX-12mer reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-MTX] CGT ATG-3') at retention time 9.20 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\underline{\text { z }}$ | a-B | w | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 324.546 | 284.563 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 320.380 | 293.725 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 316.044 | 296.053 | 315.541 |
| 5 | -1 | 1363.224 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 318.444 | 302.451 | 310.040 |
| 6 | -1 | 2096.470 | 1885.301 | 1805.335 | 2177.444 |
|  | -2 | 1047.731 | 942.146 | 902.163 | 1088.218 |
|  | -3 | 698.151 | 627.761 | 601.106 | 725.142 |
|  | -4 | 523.361 | 470.569 | 450.577 | 543.605 |
|  | -5 | 418.487 | 376.254 | 360.260 | 434.682 |
|  | -6 |  | 313.377 | 300.049 | 362.067 |
| 7 | -1 | 2275.480 | 2508.511 | 2428.545 | 2466.490 |
|  | -2 | 1137.236 | 1253.752 | 1213.769 | 1232.741 |
|  | -3 | 757.821 | 835.498 | 808.843 | 821.491 |
|  | -4 | 568.114 | 626.372 | 606.380 | 615.866 |
|  | -5 | 454.289 | 500.896 | 484.902 | 492.491 |
|  | -6 | 378.406 | 417.245 | 403.917 | 410.241 |
|  | -7 |  | 357.494 | 346.071 | 351.491 |
| 8 | -1 | 2564.526 | 2797.557 | 2717.591 | 2795.542 |
|  | -2 | 1281.759 | 1398.275 | 1358.292 | 1397.267 |
|  | -3 | 854.170 | 931.847 | 905.192 | 931.175 |
|  | -4 | 640.375 | 698.633 | 678.642 | 698.129 |
|  | -5 | 512.099 | 558.705 | 542.712 | 558.302 |
|  | -6 | 426.581 | 465.419 | 452.092 | 465.083 |


|  | -7 | 365.497 | 398.787 | 387.363 | 398.499 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | -8 |  | 348.812 | 338.817 | 348.561 |
| 9 | -1 | 2893.578 | 3126.610 | 3046.644 | 3099.588 |
|  | -2 | 1446.285 | 1562.801 | 1522.818 | 1549.290 |
|  | -3 | 963.854 | 1041.531 | 1014.876 | 1032.524 |
|  | -4 | 722.638 | 780.896 | 760.905 | 774.141 |
|  | -5 | 577.909 | 624.515 | 608.522 | 619.111 |
|  | -6 | 481.423 | 520.261 | 506.934 | 515.758 |
|  | -7 | 412.504 | 445.794 | 434.371 | 441.934 |
|  | -8 | 360.815 | 389.944 | 379.948 | 386.566 |
|  | -9 |  | 346.505 | 337.620 | 343.502 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1 | 3197.624 | 3430.655 | 3350.689 | 3412.645 |
|  | -2 | 1598.308 | 1714.823 | 1674.840 | 1705.818 |
|  | -3 | 1065.203 | 1142.880 | 1116.224 | 1136.876 |
|  | -4 | 798.650 | 856.908 | 836.916 | 852.405 |
|  | -5 | 638.718 | 685.324 | 669.331 | 681.722 |
|  | -6 | 532.097 | 570.936 | 557.608 | 567.934 |
|  | -7 | 455.939 | 489.229 | 477.806 | 486.656 |
|  | -8 | 398.821 | 427.950 | 417.954 | 425.698 |
|  | -9 | 354.395 | 380.288 | 371.403 | 378.287 |
|  | -10 |  | 342.158 | 334.161 | 340.357 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1 | 3510.681 | 3734.701 | 3654.735 | 3716.691 |
|  | -2 | 1754.837 | 1866.846 | 1826.863 | 1857.841 |
|  | -3 | 1169.555 | 1244.228 | 1217.573 | 1238.225 |
|  | -4 | 876.914 | 932.919 | 912.928 | 928.417 |
|  | -5 | 701.330 | 746.134 | 730.140 | 742.532 |
|  | -6 | 584.273 | 621.610 | 608.282 | 618.608 |
|  | -7 | 500.662 | 532.664 | 521.241 | 530.092 |
|  | -8 | 437.953 | 465.955 | 455.960 | 463.704 |
|  | -9 | 389.179 | 414.070 | 405.185 | 412.069 |
|  | -10 | 350.161 | 372.563 | 364.566 | 370.762 |
|  | -11 |  | 338.602 | 331.332 | 336.964 |



HPLC chromatogram of the dsPIX-12mer reduced conjugate crude reaction solution.

## PIX-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP-PIX] CGT ATG-3'

Complement: 5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3'

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purified PIX-12mer reduced conjugate showing the total ion chromatogram
(top) and selected ion chromatograms for $m / z 772$ and 966 .


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the purified PIX-12mer reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-PIX] CGT ATG-3') at retention time 9.17 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\underline{Z}$ | a-B | W | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 324.546 | 284.563 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 320.380 | 293.725 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 316.044 | 296.053 | 315.541 |
| 5 | -1 | 1363.224 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 318.444 | 302.451 | 310.040 |
| 6 | -1 | 1977.420 | 1885.301 | 1805.335 | 2058.394 |
|  | -2 | 988.206 | 942.146 | 902.163 | 1028.693 |
|  | -3 | 658.468 | 627.761 | 601.106 | 685.459 |
|  | -4 | 493.599 | 470.569 | 450.577 | 513.842 |
|  | -5 | 394.677 | 376.254 | 360.260 | 410.872 |
|  | -6 |  | 313.377 | 300.049 | 342.225 |
| 7 | -1 | 2156.430 | 2389.461 | 2309.495 | 2347.440 |
|  | -2 | 1077.711 | 1194.227 | 1154.244 | 1173.216 |
|  | -3 | 718.138 | 795.815 | 769.160 | 781.808 |
|  | -4 | 538.351 | 596.609 | 576.618 | 586.104 |
|  | -5 | 430.479 | 477.086 | 461.092 | 468.681 |
|  | -6 | 358.565 | 397.403 | 384.076 | 390.400 |
|  | -7 |  | 340.487 | 329.064 | 334.484 |
| 8 | -1 | 2445.476 | 2678.507 | 2598.541 | 2676.492 |
|  | -2 | 1222.234 | 1338.750 | 1298.767 | 1337.742 |
|  | -3 | 814.487 | 892.164 | 865.508 | 891.492 |
|  | -4 | 610.613 | 668.871 | 648.879 | 668.367 |
|  | -5 | 488.289 | 534.895 | 518.902 | 534.492 |
|  | -6 | 406.739 | 445.578 | 432.250 | 445.242 |
|  | -7 | 348.489 | 381.780 | 370.356 | 381.492 |

$\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrr} & & -8 & & 333.931 & 323.935\end{array}\right) 333.679$


HPLC chromatogram of the DOX-12mer reduced conjugate crude reaction solution.

DOX-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP-DOX] CGT ATG-3'
AP-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP] CGT ATG-3'
Complement: 5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3'

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purified DOX-12mer reduced conjugate showing the total ion chromatogram (top) and selected ion chromatograms for $m / z 816$ and 1020.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the purified DOX-12mer reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-DOX] CGT ATG-3') at retention time 9.49 minutes.
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## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\square}$ | Z | a-B | w | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 324.546 | 284.563 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 320.380 | 293.725 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 316.044 | 296.053 | 315.541 |
| 5 | -1 | 1363.224 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 318.444 | 302.451 | 310.040 |
| 6 | -1 | 2197.460 | 1885.301 | 1805.335 | 2278.434 |
|  | -2 | 1098.226 | 942.146 | 902.163 | 1138.713 |
|  | -3 | 731.814 | 627.761 | 601.106 | 758.806 |
|  | -4 | 548.609 | 470.569 | 450.577 | 568.852 |
|  | -5 | 438.685 | 376.254 | 360.260 | 454.880 |
|  | -6 |  | 313.377 | 300.049 | 378.899 |
| 7 | -1 | 2376.470 | 2609.501 | 2529.535 | 2567.480 |
|  | -2 | 1187.731 | 1304.247 | 1264.264 | 1283.236 |
|  | -3 | 791.485 | 869.162 | 842.506 | 855.154 |
|  | -4 | 593.361 | 651.619 | 631.628 | 641.114 |
|  | -5 | 474.487 | 521.094 | 505.100 | 512.689 |
|  | -6 | 395.238 | 434.077 | 420.749 | 427.073 |
|  | -7 |  | 371.922 | 360.498 | 365.919 |
| 8 | -1 | 2665.516 | 2898.547 | 2818.581 | 2896.532 |
|  | -2 | 1332.254 | 1448.770 | 1408.787 | 1447.762 |
|  | -3 | 887.833 | 965.510 | 938.855 | 964.839 |
|  | -4 | 665.623 | 723.881 | 703.889 | 723.377 |
|  | -5 | 532.297 | 578.903 | 562.910 | 578.500 |
|  | -6 | 443.412 | 482.251 | 468.923 | 481.915 |
|  | -7 | 379.924 | 413.214 | 401.790 | 412.926 |


| -8 |  |  | 361.436 | 351.440 | 361.184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -1 | 2994.568 | 3227.600 | 3147.634 | 3200.578 |
|  | -2 | 1496.780 | 1613.296 | 1573.313 | 1599.785 |
|  | -3 | 997.517 | 1075.194 | 1048.539 | 1066.187 |
|  | -4 | 747.886 | 806.144 | 786.152 | 799.388 |
|  | -5 | 598.107 | 644.713 | 628.720 | 639.309 |
|  | -6 | 498.254 | 537.093 | 523.765 | 532.589 |
|  | -7 | 426.931 | 460.221 | 448.798 | 456.361 |
|  | -8 | 373.439 | 402.568 | 392.572 | 399.190 |
|  | -9 |  | 357.726 | 348.841 | 354.723 |
| 10 | -1 | 3298.614 | 3531.645 | 3451.679 | 3513.635 |
|  | -2 | 1648.803 | 1765.318 | 1725.335 | 1756.313 |
|  | -3 | 1098.866 | 1176.543 | 1149.888 | 1170.540 |
|  | -4 | 823.897 | 882.155 | 862.164 | 877.653 |
|  | -5 | 658.916 | 705.522 | 689.529 | 701.920 |
|  | -6 | 548.929 | 587.767 | 574.440 | 584.766 |
|  | -7 | 470.366 | 503.656 | 492.233 | 501.084 |
|  | -8 | 411.444 | 440.573 | 430.578 | 438.322 |
|  | -9 | 365.616 | 391.509 | 382.624 | 389.508 |
|  | -10 |  | 352.257 | 344.260 | 350.456 |
| 11 | -1 | 3611.671 | 3835.691 | 3755.725 | 3817.681 |
|  | -2 | 1805.332 | 1917.341 | 1877.358 | 1908.336 |
|  | -3 | 1203.218 | 1277.891 | 1251.236 | 1271.888 |
|  | -4 | 902.162 | 958.167 | 938.175 | 953.664 |
|  | -5 | 721.528 | 766.332 | 750.338 | 762.730 |
|  | -6 | 601.105 | 638.442 | 625.114 | 635.440 |
|  | -7 | 515.089 | 547.092 | 535.668 | 544.519 |
|  | -8 | 450.577 | 478.579 | 468.583 | 476.328 |
|  | -9 | 400.401 | 425.292 | 416.406 | 423.290 |
|  | -10 | 360.260 | 382.662 | 374.665 | 380.861 |
|  | -11 |  | 347.783 | 340.513 | 346.145 |



HPLC chromatogram of the EPI-12mer reduced conjugate crude reaction solution.

## EPI-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP-EPI] CGT ATG-3'

AP-12mer: 5'-GTT GC[AP] CGT ATG-3'
Complement: 5'-CAT ACG CGC AAC-3'

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purified EPI-12mer reduced conjugate showing the total ion chromatogram (top) and selected ion chromatograms for $m / z 816$ and 1020.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the purified EPI-12mer reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-EPI] CGT ATG-3') at retention time 9.58 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\square}$ | Z | a-B | w | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 324.546 | 284.563 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 320.380 | 293.725 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 316.044 | 296.053 | 315.541 |
| 5 | -1 | 1363.224 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 318.444 | 302.451 | 310.040 |
| 6 | -1 | 2197.460 | 1885.301 | 1805.335 | 2278.434 |
|  | -2 | 1098.226 | 942.146 | 902.163 | 1138.713 |
|  | -3 | 731.814 | 627.761 | 601.106 | 758.806 |
|  | -4 | 548.609 | 470.569 | 450.577 | 568.852 |
|  | -5 | 438.685 | 376.254 | 360.260 | 454.880 |
|  | -6 |  | 313.377 | 300.049 | 378.899 |
| 7 | -1 | 2376.470 | 2609.501 | 2529.535 | 2567.480 |
|  | -2 | 1187.731 | 1304.247 | 1264.264 | 1283.236 |
|  | -3 | 791.485 | 869.162 | 842.506 | 855.154 |
|  | -4 | 593.361 | 651.619 | 631.628 | 641.114 |
|  | -5 | 474.487 | 521.094 | 505.100 | 512.689 |
|  | -6 | 395.238 | 434.077 | 420.749 | 427.073 |
|  | -7 |  | 371.922 | 360.498 | 365.919 |
| 8 | -1 | 2665.516 | 2898.547 | 2818.581 | 2896.532 |
|  | -2 | 1332.254 | 1448.770 | 1408.787 | 1447.762 |
|  | -3 | 887.833 | 965.510 | 938.855 | 964.839 |
|  | -4 | 665.623 | 723.881 | 703.889 | 723.377 |
|  | -5 | 532.297 | 578.903 | 562.910 | 578.500 |
|  | -6 | 443.412 | 482.251 | 468.923 | 481.915 |
|  | -7 | 379.924 | 413.214 | 401.790 | 412.926 |


| -8 |  |  | 361.436 | 351.440 | 361.184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -1 | 2994.568 | 3227.600 | 3147.634 | 3200.578 |
|  | -2 | 1496.780 | 1613.296 | 1573.313 | 1599.785 |
|  | -3 | 997.517 | 1075.194 | 1048.539 | 1066.187 |
|  | -4 | 747.886 | 806.144 | 786.152 | 799.388 |
|  | -5 | 598.107 | 644.713 | 628.720 | 639.309 |
|  | -6 | 498.254 | 537.093 | 523.765 | 532.589 |
|  | -7 | 426.931 | 460.221 | 448.798 | 456.361 |
|  | -8 | 373.439 | 402.568 | 392.572 | 399.190 |
|  | -9 |  | 357.726 | 348.841 | 354.723 |
| 10 | -1 | 3298.614 | 3531.645 | 3451.679 | 3513.635 |
|  | -2 | 1648.803 | 1765.318 | 1725.335 | 1756.313 |
|  | -3 | 1098.866 | 1176.543 | 1149.888 | 1170.540 |
|  | -4 | 823.897 | 882.155 | 862.164 | 877.653 |
|  | -5 | 658.916 | 705.522 | 689.529 | 701.920 |
|  | -6 | 548.929 | 587.767 | 574.440 | 584.766 |
|  | -7 | 470.366 | 503.656 | 492.233 | 501.084 |
|  | -8 | 411.444 | 440.573 | 430.578 | 438.322 |
|  | -9 | 365.616 | 391.509 | 382.624 | 389.508 |
|  | -10 |  | 352.257 | 344.260 | 350.456 |
| 11 | -1 | 3611.671 | 3835.691 | 3755.725 | 3817.681 |
|  | -2 | 1805.332 | 1917.341 | 1877.358 | 1908.336 |
|  | -3 | 1203.218 | 1277.891 | 1251.236 | 1271.888 |
|  | -4 | 902.162 | 958.167 | 938.175 | 953.664 |
|  | -5 | 721.528 | 766.332 | 750.338 | 762.730 |
|  | -6 | 601.105 | 638.442 | 625.114 | 635.440 |
|  | -7 | 515.089 | 547.092 | 535.668 | 544.519 |
|  | -8 | 450.577 | 478.579 | 468.583 | 476.328 |
|  | -9 | 400.401 | 425.292 | 416.406 | 423.290 |
|  | -10 | 360.260 | 382.662 | 374.665 | 380.861 |
|  | -11 |  | 347.783 | 340.513 | 346.145 |

Appendix III:
${ }^{1}$ H NMR, COSY, and HSQC Spectra for the Reduced Covalent Anthracycline-dR Synthetic Standards in Chapter II

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ from 2-4.75 ppm


COSY spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$ with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


COSY spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$ from 2-4.75 ppm with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


HSQC spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$.
Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey


HSQC spectrum of the MTX-dR synthetic standard in pyridine-d5 from 2-4.75 ppm.
Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the MTX-dR2 ${ }_{2}$ synthetic standard in pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the MTX-dR2 ${ }_{2}$ synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$ from 2-4.5 ppm


COSY spectrum of the MTX-dR2 synthetic standard in pyridine- $d_{5}$ with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


COSY spectrum of the MTX-dR2 synthetic standard in pyridine-d $d_{5}$ from 2-4.75 ppm with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


HSQC spectrum of the MTX-dR2 synthetic standard in pyridine-d5 Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey


HSQC spectrum of the MTX-dR2 synthetic standard in pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ from 2-4.75 ppm Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid


COSY spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


COSY spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid from $1.5-4 \mathrm{ppm}$ with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


HSQC spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid


HSQC spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid from 6-10 ppm

Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey


HSQC spectrum of the PIX-dR synthetic standard in $0.1 \%$ deuterated formic acid from 6-10 ppm

Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the DOXol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$



COSY spectrum of the DOXoI-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


COSY spectrum of the DOXoI-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ from 1-5.6 ppm with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


HSQC spectrum of the DOXol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey


HSQC spectrum of the DOXol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ from 1-4.5 ppm Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the EPlol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the EPlol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ from 1.5-4.2 ppm


COSY spectrum of the EPlol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


COSY spectrum of the EPlol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ from 1.5-4.2 ppm with two-bond ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ correlations labeled


HSQC spectrum of the EPIol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey


HSQC spectrum of the EPlol-dR synthetic standard in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ from 1.5-4.2 ppm Positive phasing in black; negative phasing in grey

# Appendix IV: <br> ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ Characterization of Anthracycline-dR Reduced Covalent Adducts Isolated from Enzymatic Digestion of AP-modified 12mer Oligonucleotides in Chapter II 

See Appendix I for Anthracycline-dR CID Fragmentation Product Identifications

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of a prepared solution of dC, dT, dA, dG, and the MTX-dR synthetic standard in
equivalent ratios.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 12.7 minutes from analysis of the prepared solution of unmodified dNs + MTX-dR.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the hydrolysate resulting from enzymatic digestion of the purified MTX-12mer
reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-MTX] CGT ATG-3').


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 11.8 minutes from the SPE-enriched MTX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

m/z 282 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enriched MTX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

$m / z 563$ selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enrichedMTX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the hydrolysate resulting from enzymatic digestion of the purified PIX-12mer
reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-PIX] CGT ATG-3').


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 9.6 minutes from the SPE-enriched PIX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.


ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the hydrolysate resulting from enzymatic digestion of the purified DOX-12mer
reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-DOX] CGT ATG-3').


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 13.8 minutes from the SPE-enriched DOX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

$m / z 664$ selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enriched DOX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 14.1 minutes from the SPE-enriched DOX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

m/z 662 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enriched DOX-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the hydrolysate resulting from enzymatic digestion of the purified EPI-12mer
reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-EPI] CGT ATG-3').


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 13.9 minutes from the SPE-enriched EPI-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

m/z 664 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enriched EPI-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 14.2 minutes of the SPE-enriched EPI-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

$m / z 662$ selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the SPE-enriched EPI-12mer enzymatic digestion hydrolysate.

## Appendix V:

HPLC and ESI-LC/MS² Characterization of the Purchased 12mer Oligonucleotide $\beta$-/ठ-elimination Fragment Standards in Chapter III


HPLC chromatogram of the purchased 5'-GTT GCp-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5 '-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ $\delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer. Two peaks are a result of column-induced dephosphorylation to the 5'-GTT GC-3' oligo.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purchased $5^{\prime}$ '-GTT GCp-3' oligonucleotide standard for the $5^{\prime}$ '-fragment
resulting from $\beta-/ \delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer. Two peaks are a result of column-induced
dephosphorylation to the $5^{\prime}$-GTT GC-3' oligonucleotide.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 8.5-9 minutes of the purchased 5'-GTT GCp-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ठ-elimination of the AP-12mer.


HPLC chromatogram of the purchased 5'-GTT GC-3' oligonucleotide standard for the $5^{\prime}$-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ס-elimination of the AP-12mer.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purchased 5 '-GTT GC-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5 '-fragment
resulting from $\beta-/ \delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 8.9 minutes of the purchased 5'-GTT GC-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ठ-elimination of the AP-12mer.



HPLC chromatogram of the purchased 5'-GTT GC(idSp)-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purchased 5'-GTT GC(idSp)-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 8.8 minutes of the purchased 5'-GTT GC(idSp)-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 5'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.



HPLC chromatogram of the purchased 5'-pCGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3 '-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ס-elimination of the AP-12mer. Two peaks are a result of column-induced dephosphorylation to the 5'-CGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purchased 5 '-pCGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3 '-fragment
resulting from $\beta-/ \delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer. Two peaks are a result of column-induced
dephosphorylation to the 5'-pCGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 8.3-8.8 minutes of the purchased 5'-pCGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ठ-elimination of the AP-12mer.


HPLC chromatogram of the purchased 5'-CGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3 '-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ $\delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the purchased 5'-CGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3 '-fragment
resulting from $\beta-/ \delta$-elimination of the AP-12mer.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 8.8 minutes of the purchased 5'-CGT ATG-3' oligonucleotide standard for the 3'-fragment resulting from $\beta$-/ठ-elimination of the AP-12mer.


## Appendix VI

HPLC and ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2} /$ MS $^{3}$ Characterization of Anthracycline-induced 12mer Oligonucleotide Fragments in Chapter III

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the crude reaction solution from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with
MTX $\left(0.15 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10\right.$ min.) after passing twice through a Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR}$ desalting spin column.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum for retention time 9.3 minutes of the crude reaction solution from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( 0.15 mM , $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$.) after passing twice through a Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR}$ desalting spin column.


ESI-MS total ion mass spectrum of the crude reaction solution from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.15 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 10 min .) after passing twice through a Bio-Spin ${ }^{\circledR}$ desalting spin column without LC separation.


HPLC chromatogram of the crude reaction mixture from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the HPLC purified peak 1 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with
MTX ( $\left.0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 1 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9.5 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\text { z }}$ | a-B | w | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 288.038 |
|  | -2 |  |  |  | 143.515 |
| 2 | -1 | 466.040 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 617.090 |
|  | -2 | 232.516 | 324.546 | 284.563 | 308.041 |
|  | -3 |  |  |  | 205.024 |
| 3 | -1 | 795.092 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 921.136 |
|  | -2 | 397.042 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 460.064 |
|  | -3 | 264.358 | 320.380 | 293.725 | 306.373 |
|  | -4 |  |  |  | 229.528 |
| 4 | -1 | 1099.138 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1234.193 |
|  | -2 | 549.065 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 616.592 |
|  | -3 | 365.707 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 410.725 |
|  | -4 | 274.028 | 316.044 | 296.053 | 307.792 |
|  | -5 |  |  |  | 246.032 |
| 5 | -1 | 1412.195 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1538.239 |
|  | -2 | 705.593 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 768.615 |
|  | -3 | 470.059 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 512.074 |
|  | -4 | 352.293 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 383.803 |
|  | -5 | 281.632 | 318.444 | 302.451 | 306.841 |
|  | -6 |  |  |  | 255.533 |


ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the HPLC purified peak 2 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with
MTX $\left(0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 2 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9-9.4 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\text { z }}$ | a-B | w | Y | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 386.014 | 306.048 | 328.044 |
|  | -2 |  | 192.503 | 152.520 |  |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 715.066 | 635.100 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 357.029 | 317.046 | 315.541 |
|  | -3 |  | 237.683 | 211.028 |  |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 1019.112 | 939.146 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 509.052 | 469.069 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 339.032 | 312.376 | 311.373 |
|  | -4 |  | 254.022 | 234.030 |  |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1323.157 | 1243.191 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 661.074 | 621.091 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 440.380 | 413.725 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 330.033 | 310.042 | 315.541 |
|  | -5 |  | 263.825 | 247.832 |  |


ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the HPLC purified peak 3 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with
MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ).


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 3 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9-9.3 minutes.


## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{n}$ | $\underline{z}$ | $\underline{c}-\mathrm{B}$ | $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{y}-H 2 O$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 195.002 | 115.036 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 484.048 | 404.082 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 241.520 | 201.537 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 813.100 | 733.134 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 406.046 | 366.063 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 270.361 | 243.706 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1117.146 | 1037.180 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 558.069 | 518.086 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 371.710 | 345.055 | 421.057 |
| 5 | -4 |  | 278.530 | 258.539 | 315.541 |
|  | -1 | 1363.224 | 1421.192 | 1341.226 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 710.092 | 670.109 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 473.058 | 446.403 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 354.542 | 334.550 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 283.432 | 267.439 | 310.040 |



## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{n}$ | $\underline{z}$ | $\underline{a}-\mathrm{B}$ | $\underline{w}$ | $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{d-H 2 O}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -1 |  | 213.022 | 133.056 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 502.068 | 422.102 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 250.530 | 210.547 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 831.120 | 751.154 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 415.056 | 375.073 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 276.368 | 249.713 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1135.166 | 1055.200 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 567.079 | 527.096 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 377.717 | 351.061 | 421.057 |
| 5 | -4 |  | 283.035 | 263.044 | 315.541 |
|  | -1 | 1363.224 | 1439.212 | 1359.246 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 719.102 | 679.119 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 479.065 | 452.410 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 359.047 | 339.055 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 287.036 | 271.043 | 310.040 |


ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the HPLC purified peak 4 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 4 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9-9.4 minutes.

m/z 745 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum with CID fragmentation of the HPLC purified peak 4 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time $9-9.4$ minutes. Peaks at $m / z 690$ and 670 not predicted by software; identity determined from ChemDraw structure.

## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{n}$ | $\underline{z}$ | $\underline{a}-B$ | $\underline{w}$ | $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{d-H 2 O}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -1 |  | 306.048 | 226.082 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 635.100 | 555.134 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 317.046 | 277.063 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 939.146 | 859.180 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 469.069 | 429.086 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 312.376 | 285.721 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1243.191 | 1163.225 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 621.091 | 581.108 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 413.725 | 387.070 | 421.057 |



[^2]
## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{n}$ | $\underline{z}$ | $\underline{a}-\mathrm{B}$ | $\underline{w}$ | $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{d-H 2 O}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 346.054 | 266.088 | 288.038 |
| 2 | -1 | 386.074 | 650.100 | 570.134 | 617.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 324.546 | 284.563 | 308.041 |
| 3 | -1 | 715.126 | 963.157 | 883.191 | 921.136 |
|  | -2 | 357.059 | 481.074 | 441.091 | 460.064 |
|  | -3 |  | 320.380 | 293.725 | 306.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1019.172 | 1267.203 | 1187.237 | 1234.193 |
|  | -2 | 509.082 | 633.097 | 593.114 | 616.592 |
|  | -3 | 339.052 | 421.729 | 395.073 | 410.725 |
| 5 | -4 |  | 316.044 | 296.053 | 307.792 |
|  | -1 | 1332.229 | 1596.255 | 1516.289 | 1538.239 |
|  | -2 | 665.610 | 797.623 | 757.640 | 768.615 |
|  | -3 | 443.404 | 531.413 | 504.757 | 512.074 |
|  | -4 | 332.301 | 398.307 | 378.316 | 383.803 |


ESI-LC/MS chromatogram of the HPLC purified peak 5 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with
MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ).


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 5 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with MTX ( $0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9-9.4 minutes. Instability of the AP-12mer leads to in-source fragmentation to the constituent $\beta$-/ $\delta$-elimination products.


HPLC chromatogram of the crude reaction mixture from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with PIX ( $0.25 \mathrm{mM}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 90 min ). Fragment labels match those for MTX-12mer scission.


ESI-LC/MS total ion mass spectrum of the HPLC purified peak 6/7 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with PIX ( $0.25 \mathrm{mM}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9.45 minutes.

m/z 997 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum with CID fragmentation of the HPLC purified peak 6/7 from scission of the ssAP-12mer ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with PIX ( $0.25 \mathrm{mM}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9.5 minutes. Peaks at $m / \mathbf{1 0 3 4}, 710$, and 681 result from secondary fragmentation of $\boldsymbol{m} / \mathbf{z} 785$.

$m / z 997 \rightarrow 834$ selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{3}$ spectrum with CID fragmentation of the HPLC purified peak 6/7 from scission of the ssAP-12mer (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with PIX ( $0.25 \mathrm{mM}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$ ) at retention time 9.5 minutes. Peaks at $m / z 785,759,745$, and 386 not predicted by software; identity determined from ChemDraw structure.

## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{z}$ | a-B | w | צ | d-H2O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  | 195.002 | 115.036 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 484.048 | 404.082 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 241.520 | 201.537 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 813.100 | 733.134 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 406.046 | 366.063 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 270.361 | 243.706 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1117.146 | 1037.180 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 558.069 | 518.086 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 371.710 | 345.055 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 278.530 | 258.539 | 315.541 |
| 5 | -1 | 1363.224 | 1421.192 | 1341.226 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 710.092 | 670.109 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 473.058 | 446.403 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 354.542 | 334.550 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 283.432 | 267.439 | 310.040 |



## Calculated CID Fragments

| $\underline{n}$ | $\underline{z}$ | $\underline{a}-\mathrm{B}$ | $\underline{w}$ | $\underline{y}$ | $\underline{d-H 2 O}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -1 |  | 502.132 | 422.166 | 328.044 |
| 2 | -1 | 426.080 | 791.178 | 711.212 | 632.090 |
|  | -2 |  | 395.085 | 355.102 | 315.541 |
| 3 | -1 | 730.126 | 1120.230 | 1040.264 | 936.135 |
|  | -2 | 364.559 | 559.611 | 519.628 | 467.563 |
|  | -3 |  | 372.738 | 346.083 | 311.373 |
| 4 | -1 | 1034.171 | 1424.276 | 1344.310 | 1265.187 |
|  | -2 | 516.582 | 711.634 | 671.651 | 632.090 |
|  | -3 | 344.052 | 474.087 | 447.431 | 421.057 |
|  | -4 |  | 355.313 | 335.321 | 315.541 |
|  | -1 | 1363.224 | 1728.322 | 1648.356 | 1554.233 |
|  | -2 | 681.108 | 863.657 | 823.674 | 776.613 |
|  | -3 | 453.736 | 575.435 | 548.780 | 517.406 |
|  | -4 | 340.050 | 431.324 | 411.333 | 387.802 |
|  | -5 |  | 344.858 | 328.865 | 310.040 |



Appendix VII
Anthracycline-induced Scission Efficiency Plots for Reactions of MTX, PIX, and DOX with the 12mer Oligonucleotide in Chapter III


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.001 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-0.65 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.


| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m} 1+(\mathrm{m} 2-\mathrm{m} 1) /\left(1+(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{m} 3)^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} 4\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value | Error |
| m 1 | 0.050896 | 0.0062181 |
| m 2 | 1.0024 | 0.005094 |
| m 3 | -4.6117 | 0.0064822 |
| m 4 | 25.443 | 0.84305 |
| Chisq | 0.003935 | NA |
| R | 0.99951 | NA |

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX (0.001-0.65 mM) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.


| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m} 1+(\mathrm{m} 2-\mathrm{m} 1) /\left(1+(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{m} 3)^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} 4\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value | Error |
| m 1 | -0.060635 | 0.030169 |
| m 2 | 1.0484 | 0.03133 |
| m 3 | -4.3705 | 0.034241 |
| m 4 | 11.757 | 1.1642 |
| Chisq | 0.019954 | NA |
| R | 0.99671 | NA |

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.


Plot of $\log [D r u g]$ vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.0025-0.75 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.0025-0.75 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.0025-0.75 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX (0.0025-0.75 mM) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX ( $0.01-1 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.

$\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX (0.01-1 mM) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX (0.010.325 mM ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathbf{3 0}$ minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX ( $0.01-0.325 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX ( $0.001-05 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 240 minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with MTX (0.001-0.5 mM) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 240 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.001-0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.


| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m} 1+(\mathrm{m} 2-\mathrm{m} 1) /\left(1+(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{m} 3)^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} 4\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value | Error |
| m 1 | 0.023191 | 0.0074003 |
| m 2 | 0.83831 | 0.01025 |
| m 3 | -3.9913 | 0.0083983 |
| m 4 | 26.827 | 1.4749 |
| Chisq | 0.0089217 | NA |
| R | 0.99833 | NA |

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.001-0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX ( $0.001-0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 240 minutes.

$E C_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the dsAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with PIX (0.001-0.6 mM) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 240 minutes.


Plot of log[Drug] vs fraction of DNA cleaved for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX ( $0.05-0.6 \mathrm{mM}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.


| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m} 1+(\mathrm{m} 2-\mathrm{m} 1) /\left(1+(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{m} 3)^{\wedge} \mathrm{m} 4\right)$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value | Error |
| m 1 | 0.0039823 | 0.0016087 |
| m 2 | 0.046811 | 0.0025119 |
| m 3 | -3.5621 | 0.022152 |
| m 4 | 33.717 | 6.8938 |
| Chisq | $5.8295 \mathrm{e}-5$ | NA |
| R | 0.9918 | NA |

$\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ curve for the reaction of the ssAP-12mer ( 0.01 mM ) with DOX (0.05-0.6 mM) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes.

## Appendix VIII

Thermal Melting Curves for Interactions of the Double-stranded AP-12mer, THF12mer, and G-12mer Oligonucleotides with MTX, PIX, and DOX in Chapter III


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.25 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.25 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.75 equivalents MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.75 equivalents MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1.6 equivalents MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1.6 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 2.5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 2.5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 5 equivalents MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability comparison with increasing MTX concentration from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.25 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.25 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.5 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.5 equivalents PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.8 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.8 equivalents PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1.6 equivalents PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1.6 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 2 equivalents PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 2 equivalents PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 5 equivalents PIX added from 5-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 5 equivalents PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0 ~ n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability comparison with increasing PIX concentration from 5-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.25 equivalents DOX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.25 equivalents DOX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0 ~ n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.5 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.5 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 0.75 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 0.75 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0 ~ n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent DOX added from 5-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent DOX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1.6 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1.6 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 2 equivalents DOX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 2 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability with 5 equivalents DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 5 equivalents DOX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(idSp) CGT ATG-3' (THF-12mer) thermal melting stability comparison with increasing DOX concentration from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) thermal melting stability from 5$95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent MTX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent MTX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GCGCGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent PIX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent PIX added from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent DOX added from 5-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GCG CGT ATG-3' (G-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent DOX added from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent MTX added ( $\mathrm{t}_{0}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent MTX added ( $t_{0}-3 h$ ) from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) thermal melting stability with 1 equivalent MTX added (3-6h) from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 1 equivalent MTX added (3-6h) from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) thermal melting stability with 2.5 equivalents MTX added ( $\mathrm{t}_{0}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) from $5-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 2.5 equivalents MTX added ( $\mathrm{t}_{0}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0 ~ n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) thermal melting stability with 2.5 equivalents MTX added (3-6h) from $5-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at $\mathbf{2 6 0} \mathbf{n m}$.


5'-GTT GC(AP) CGT ATG-3' (AP-12mer) first derivative of the melting curve with 2.5 equivalents MTX added (3-6h) from 5-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with absorbance measured at 260 nm .


[^0]:    Assigned fragments for $m / z \mathbf{2 8 4}$ selected ion ESI-MS ${ }^{2}$ CID peaks of the MTX- $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dR}$ isotopically
    labeled synthetic standard.

[^1]:    m/z 1020 selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ mass spectrum with CID fragmentation of the purified DOX-12mer reduced conjugate (5'-GTT GC[AP-DOX] CGT ATG-3'). Peaks at $m / z$ 1229, 1099, 921, 983, and 917 not predicted by software; identity determined from ChemDraw structure. See Appendix I for structure of glycone fragment from DOX.

[^2]:    $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 902$ selected ion ESI-LC/MS ${ }^{2}$ spectrum with CID fragmentation of the HPLC purified peak 4 from
    scission of the SSAP-12mer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ with MTX $\left(0.04 \mathrm{mM}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ at retention time $9-9.4$ minutes. Peaks at $m / \mathbf{z 8 4 7}$ 827, 730, and 722 not predicted by software; identity determined from ChemDraw structure.

