To my beloved parents, Debatosh and Swapna Ghosh, had you not been by my side,			
This work would not have been possible.			

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for the following financial support without which this work would not have been possible: from the United States Department of Defense (W81XWH-06-1-0015 for providing me with stipend), National Institute of Diabetes & Digestive & Kidney Diseases (RO1DK60957 and RO1DK059142 to Susan Kasper), the Frances Williams Preston Laboratories of the T. J. Martell Foundation (to Susan Kasper).

I want to express my heartfelt thanks to the following people, without whose support and constant encouragement I would not have made through graduate school. First and foremost, I wish to convey my deepest gratitude for a very dedicated individual, Dr. Susan Kasper, for her guidance and faith in me. She gave me direction and much needed advice in the development and refinement of the project. I would like to thank Dr. Robert Matusik for the long hours that he spent with me discussing various projects. His advise and insightful critiques encouraged me to constantly re-evaluate my work and have undoubtedly made me a better scientist. I would also like to thank Dr. Robert Coffey for his enthusiasm and constant encouragement, without which this work would not have been possible. My sincere thanks to Dr. Neil Bhowmick for his thoughtful suggestions and immense help in designing experiments and interpreting results, and preparing manuscripts. If not for him, this work would have been much less than it is.

I would like to thank all members of the Kasper Lab for being such great colleagues. I will be ever grateful to Dr. Guangyu Gu for all his help during my stay in the lab. I have learnt the nuances of molecular biology more from him than from anyone else. I would like to express my most earnest thanks to Jialing Yuan. Her presence in the

lab was one of the most assuring things in my graduate career. Whatever the problem was, Jialing always had the solution. In more ways than one, she was the reason we could function and eventually...graduate. I would like to thank one of my best friends - Erin Tillman for being such a tremendous support. Be it any problem, scientific or personal, I knew I could always fall back on her. In more ways than I can ever list here, she kept me going through the frustrations and stress associated with being in graduate school. I would like to thank each and every member of the Vanderbilt Prostate Cancer Center for being such wonderful people to work with. The camaraderie that is nurtured in this group is truly amazing. I will miss working with you all.

And finally, I want to thank my family for being there for me. I thank my parents Dr. Debatosh and Swapna Ghosh, my brother Kaushik Ghosh, sister-in-law Papia Ghose and of course the youngest member of the Ghosh clan, "Bubka", for their continuous support and encouragement. Last but not the least, I am grateful to my wife, Sucheta, for making life so beautiful. Had it not been for you, I would have achieved much less.

PREFACE

According to the American Cancer Society, an estimated 218,890 new cases of prostate cancer will be diagnosed in United States in 2007. Prostate cancer remains the second leading cause of cancer related deaths in men in the United States, lung cancer being responsible for most number of cancer deaths. Over the years, a tremendous amount of research effort has resulted in groundbreaking discoveries, which improved our understanding of this disease. These advances of the scientific community have identified molecular targets for rational drug design, which can potentially lead to improved clinical management of prostate cancer. Unfortunately, in spite of all available treatment options, the fact remains that prostate cancer reappears after initially regressing following therapeutic intervention. This stage of the cancer, referred to as Androgen Independent Prostate Cancer (AIPC), is untreatable and ultimately metastasizes to a number of distant organs, resulting in morbidity and mortality. The major sites of prostate cancer metastasis are bone, lung, lymph nodes and liver. The molecular events driving this progression and metastasis of prostate cancer is not yet fully understood. In this project, we studied the role of stathmin, a microtubule destabilizing protein, in the progression of prostate cancer. Stathmin is upregulated in a variety of human malignancies like leukemia, breast and ovarian cancer. Previous reports suggest that stathmin expression is elevated in poorly differentiated prostate cancer. We have shown that increased stathmin expression correlates with high Gleason pattern in human prostate cancer. We have also established that androgens and anti-androgen treatment can modulate stathmin phosphorylation – a mechanism that can potentially drive progression

to AIPC. Furthermore, we have also demonstrated a role for stathmin in epithelial cell homeostasis. Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF β) is one of the most enigmatic molecules in the context of normal development and tumorigenesis, acting as growth inhibitor in normal cells and growth stimulator in cancer cells. We have shown that stathmin can modulate TGF β signaling to induce epithelial to mesenchymal transition in prostate cancer cells – a mechanism that makes the cancer cells more invasive, eventually leading to metastasis. Hence, this project establishes the regulation of stathmin expression and activity as a novel mechanism for prostate cancer progression to a more aggressive phenotype.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
PREFACE	v
LIST OF TABLES.	x
LIST OF FIGURES.	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.	xiii
Chapter	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Prostate Structure and Biology.	
Ductal Branching Morphogenesis	
Epithelial-Mesenchymal-Transition (EMT)	
Diseases of the Prostate	6
Prostatitis	
Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH)	
Prostate Cancer (PCa)	
Molecular Genetics of Progression of Prostate Cancer	
Loss of Heterozygosity	
Androgen Receptor (AR) in Prostate Cancer	
Ras Mutations in Prostate Cancer.	
Insulinlike Growth Factor, Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase and Phosphatase and	
Homologue Pathway in Prostate Cancer	
Transforming Growth Factor Beta (TGFβ) Pathway in Prostate Cancer	
TGFβ Signaling Through Smad Molecules	
TGFβ Signaling Through Non-Smad Molecules	
Stathmin	
Stathmin as a Potential Target for Anti-Cancer Therapy	
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS	29
Animals and tissues	29

Antibodies and Reagents	30
Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis and Mass Spectrometry	31
Cell Culture	
Primary Prostate Epithelial Cell Culture	33
Western Blot Analysis	
Real-Time RT-PCR Analysis.	
Tissue Recombination Assay	
Gleason Pattern Tissue Microarray (TMA)	
CMFDA Staining	
Alamar Blue assay	
Invasion Assay	
Transfection	
Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Immunofluorescence (IF) and Confocal	
Microscopy	30
III. PROTEINS DRIVING DEVELOPMENT ALSO DRIVE TUMORIGENESIS	40
III. I ROTEINS DRIVING DEVELOTMENT ALSO DRIVE TOMORIGENESIS	72
Stathmin expression is up-regulated during prostate morphogenesis and tumorigen	nesis
IV. STATHMIN EXPRESSION AND DIFFERENTIAL PHOSPHORYLATION PROMOTE PROSTATE CANCER PROGRESSION Stathmin is elevated during PIN and adenocarcinoma development in the NeoTag tissue recombination model. Increased Stathmin expression occurs in human PCa	5 52 53 ndent 57
V. EPITHELIAL-TO-MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION IN PROSTATE CANCER	t64
EMT in primary human prostate tissue culture	69
Signaling pathways activated in EMT phenotype in primary human prostate tissue	
culture	
VI. STATHMIN MODULATES TGFβ MEDIATED EMT FORMATION IN	
PROSTATE CANCER CELLS BY ACTIVATING p38MAPK	83
•	
DU145 cells as a model to study stathmin -regulated EMT phenotype	83
Stathmin regulates TGFβ-mediated EMT in DU145 cells	

Stathmin regulates TGFB-mediated EMT through a Smad-independent mechanism is	n
DU145 cells	.89
Stathmin modulates p38MAPK activity to regulate TGFβ-mediated EMT formation	in
DU145 cells	.92
Stathmin modulates TGFβ-mediated EMT formation in murine normal mammary	
NMuMG cells	.95
VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE DIRECTION	
Stathmin in prostate cancer development and progression	
Stathmin regulates epithelial cell homeostasis	104
REFERENCES. 1	108

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Tissue Array Representing BPH and PCa	63
2. EMT in Human Primary Prostate Culture	76
3. Genes Differentially Modulated in the TGFβ Pathway During EMT	77
4. Genes Differentially Modulated in the MAPK Pathway During EMT	79
5. Genes Differentially Modulated in the Wnt Pathway During EMT	80
6. Genes Differentially Modulated in the EMT Pathway	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page
1. The Adult Prostate and Surrounding Structures
2. Zonal Architecture of the Human Prostate2
3. TGFβ-Mediated EMT Mechanism 5
4. Insulin-like Growth Factor, Phosphoinositide-3 Kinase (PI3K) and PTEN Pathway16
5. Wnt Signaling in Prostate Cancer
6. Smad-dependent TGFβ Signaling
7. Smad-independent TGFβ Signaling
8. Role of Stathmin in the Regulation of Microtubule (MT) Dynamics
9. Identification of Stathmin in Normal Prostate and Prostate Tumor Development45
10. Immunohistochemical (IHC) Analysis of Stathmin Expression in Normal Prostate and 12T-7f Prostate Tumors
11. Real Time RT-PCR Analysis of Stathmin Expression in CD-1 Normal and 12T-7f Tumor Prostates During Development
12. Stathmin Expression in NeoTag1 and NeoTag2 Tumors Generated by Tissue Recombination
13. Stathmin Localization in Benign Human Prostate and Human Prostate Tumor Tissue
14. Analysis of Stathmin Expression During Human Prostate Cancer Progression56
15. Stathmin Expression and Phosphorylation at N-terminal Serine Residues in Human and Mouse Prostate Cell Lines
16. Regulation of Stathmin Phosphorylation by Androgen and Anti-androgen Treatment
17. EMT Like Cells Emerge from Primary Human Prostate Tissue Culture

18. EMT Cells Lost Epithelial Characters and Acquired Mesenchymal Characters and Both HPE and EMT Cells Retain Secretory Phenotype
19. EMT Cells are More Invasive and Have a Greater Rate of Proliferation than HPE Cells
20. Microarray Analysis to Compare Gene Expression Profiles of EMT and HPE Cells 74
21. Pathway Analysis to Compare Gene Expression Profiles of EMT and HPE Cells75
22. TGFβ-Induced EMT in PCa Cells
23. TGFβ Induces EMT and Destabilizes Stathmin in DU145 Cells
24. RNAi Mediated Downregulation of Stathmin Induces EMT in DU145 Cells without TGFβ Treatment
25. Stathmin Downregulation in DU145 Cells Results in EMT-like Cells with Decreased E-cadherin and Increased Vimentin Expression
26. TGFβ Induced Smad2/3 and P38 Phosphorylation in DU145 Cells Transfected with Control or Stathmin siRNA
27. Stathmin Modulates Smad2 Cellular Localization in Response to TGFβ Treatment.92
28. Blocking P38 Activity Inhibits EMT Phenotype in Stathmin siRNA-Transfected DU145 Cells
29. Inhibiting P38 Phosphorylation Restores Epithelial Phenotype in DU145 Cells Transfected with Stathmin-siRNA
30. Overexpression of Stathmin in NMuMG Cells Prevents TGFB-Mediated EMT97

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR	Androgen Receptor
AD	Androgen Dependent
AI	Androgen Independent
AIPC	gen Independent Prostate Cancer
ВРН	Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia
CK	Cytokeratin
CHX	Cycloheximide
DHT	Dihydrotestosterone
EMTEpith	elial-to-mesenchymal Transition
HGPINHigh-grade P	Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia
HPE	Human Prostate Epithelial (Cells)
LGPIN Low-grade P	Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia
LUTS	.Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms
OHF	Hydroxy-flutamide
PB	Probasin
PCa	Prostate Cancer
PINPi	rostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia
PSA	Prostate Specific Antigen
RTKs	Receptor Tyrosine Kinases
TGFβT	ransforming Growth Factor-beta
TMA	Tissue Microarray