When Nazi leadership ultimately embraced the notion of hosting the 1936 Summer Olympic Games in Berlin, the decision entailed an undertaking to which an inherently militaristic society would seem ill-suited: orchestrating an opening ceremony, a celebration grounded in the principles of peace and harmony, that could withstand the scrutiny of a leery global audience. Through a dynamic approach that steeped the ceremony's routine proceedings in rich symbolic gestures, the Nazis' audition on the international stage generally succeeded in establishing an outwardly benign atmosphere. However, a thorough appraisal reveals that the regime could not bring itself to fully suppress its martial disposition, which consequentially colored a number of the day's events.
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In light of their status as the most successful Olympics up to that point in time, the 1936 Berlin Summer Games came very close to not taking place at all. The International Olympic Committee (IOC) awarded the Games to the German capital over Barcelona in a close 1931 contest. Soon thereafter, the ailing Weimar Republic suffered its final collapse, giving way to rule by the National Socialist Party. The Nazi party's history was laced with contempt for the Olympic movement, stemming mainly from the Olympic ideals of world peace and international understanding, and speculation abounded that the Nazis would call the Games off of their own accord. At the same time, international figures concerned with the fascists' radical policies opened a dialogue that explored selecting a new host city or, if that effort failed, boycotting.

However, Adolf Hitler and his associates soon perceived the tremendous utility afforded by a staged gathering of athletes and spectators from all corners of the globe. Indeed, the modern Olympics are conspicuous examples of what sociologist Maurice Roche and others in his field refer to as “mega-events,” occasions that “are large-scale cultural (including commercial and sporting) events which have a dramatic character, mass popular appeal and international significance,” and which “are typically organised by variable combinations of national governmental and international non-governmental organisations and thus can be said to be important elements in ‘official’ versions of public culture.”

One of the ways in which the Berlin Olympics built upon its 1932 Los Angeles predecessor was the scope of media coverage: the Games drew an unprecedented 3,000 or so journalists from television, radio, and print media, a fact that gave the Nazis’ mega-event a truly global reach.

While the organizers went to great lengths to regulate various aspects of the Olympic proceedings so as to leave foreign and domestic observers alike with a favorable impression of Nazi Germany, one episode above all afforded them extensive latitude to disseminate an image of their own making: the opening ceremony. As opposed to the athletic competitions, where the results were uncertain, the formal welcome and launch of the Games was meticulously planned and rehearsed in advance. The Nazis were especially well-suited to this component because they “carried the use of rituals, theatrical practices, and certain symbols to an extreme” in a manner that would leave an indelible impact on Germany.

An analysis that approaches this aspect of a mega-event as a heavily premeditated piece of political theater can yield considerable insight into the face the authorities wanted to present to the public. In the case of the 1936 opening ceremonies, the Nazis went to great lengths to establish an atmosphere of peace and friendship, even though, under the surface, the regime's militarism still simmered.

MIXED SIGNALS: GREAT WAR COMMEMORATION GIVES WAY TO PARTY-RALLY ATMOSPHERE
The big day, August 1, began with services at the Berlin Cathedral and Saint Hedwig's Church for the IOC's Protestant and Catholic officials, respectively. Later, Belgian aristocrat
Following a lunch at the Royal Palace, the entourage traveled the Via Triumphalis by car to reach the Olympiastadion, the colossal track and field stadium that would host the remainder of the day’s celebrations. Beyond the National Socialist Motor Corps and members of the SS, the SA, and the Berlin policemen that lined the route, eager onlookers were lined up “five to forty deep” along the ten-mile stretch to the stadium, some of those further back fashioning periscopes of a sort in an effort to get a glimpse of the action. Los Angeles Times reporter Grantland Rice was fatefully left reflecting upon how the trip, “with mile upon mile, wave upon wave of a uniformed pageant, looked more like two World Wars than the Olympic Games,” a sentiment that contrasted sharply with the Olympic ideal of peace that had been carefully fostered through the morning’s activities.

In an article previewing the Olympics, columnist John Kieran stressed, “Officially, Chancellor Hitler has no more to do with the Berlin games of 1936 than Herbert Hoover, then President, had to do with the Los Angeles games of 1932. Which was little or nothing, as Olympic officials noted at the time with some slight disapproval.” However, if there had been any doubt up until then, the voyage to the stadium is where Hitler clearly emerged as the star of the proceedings. Even as the IOC made its way into the stadium, the broadcast emitted by metal speakers every thousand feet along the route reinforced Hitler’s prestige to the captive audience, hundreds of thousands strong: “We await the Fuehrer every moment. Never would this great field have been erected except for the Fuehrer. It was created by his will.” When the announcer finally proclaimed Hitler’s entrance, as denoted by a trumpet fanfare and the raising of the Führerstandarte—a red swastika on a purple field—he was drowned out by a chorus of boisterous “heils” from the stadium spectators, who leapt to their feet. After a relatively tranquil start to the day, the cavalcade to the stadium showcased the militaristic zeal of Nazi public life. While the party likely did not want this militarism to come across as strongly as perceived by Grantland Rice, they surely hoped foreign authorities would take note of the people’s devotion to the regime. At the same time, the spectacle was also for the benefit of the host population; by immersing the mass energy of a party rally in the international competition of the Olympics, the Nazis strove to augment the German people’s unity and national pride, embodied first and foremost by the Fuehrer.

Hitler paused to receive a flower bouquet from five-year-old Gudrun Diem, the blond daughter of the German Olympic Committee’s (GOC) general secretary, as his entourage made its way across the arena, a moment that numerous journalists noted. Aside from the obvious significance of the girl’s Aryan features, this staged interaction ingratiated the Fuehrer with international and domestic observers alike by depicting him as a benevolent father figure. Shortly thereafter, Hitler and company took their place in the Honor Loge and the orchestra began to play the national anthem, “Deutsch-
landlied, while the flags of the participating countries were slowly hoisted in anticipation of their teams’ march into the stadium.

THE ACT OF SEEING AND BEING SEEN: OLYMPIA AND THE PARADE OF NATIONS

Apart from the various newspaper articles that chronicled the opening of the 1936 Olympics, another source stands to provide noteworthy insight into the official opening of the games: Olympia, the now-iconic Olympic film directed by Leni Riefenstahl and commissioned at Hitler’s request. Comprised of two installments—“Festival of Nations” and “Festival of Beauty”—the critically acclaimed documentary furnishes a unique perspective on the Berlin Olympics, as it sought to capture the essence of the Games as envisioned by their Nazi organizers. While it thus cannot be taken as an objective account, it merits close consideration for its conscious outlook on the proceedings as acts of political theatre. Several details substantiate the importance of the opening ceremonies in the Nazis’ eyes. In the agreement struck with Riefenstahl, the first clause identifies the instances designated for filming, referring explicitly to many opening-day events. Furthermore, Riefenstahl deliberately placed the body of “Festival of Nations” between footage depicting the ceremonial launch of the Games and the evening festival play that brought the opening day to a conclusion, a framework which bears out the ceremony’s significance.

For a number of reasons, the procession of competing nations through the Olympiastadion constituted a source of considerable anticipation in the lead-up to the Games and a source of considerable media coverage afterwards. The members of each team were expected to address the Fuehrer’s box as they marched through the stadium. In the words of English journalist Frederick Birchall, “the crowd carefully noted each salute as indicating the degree of sympathy for the Nazi regime betokened by it,” and this, in turn, “had a manifest influence upon the volume of applause received.” Indeed, the manners of salutation were the product of extensive debate from the various nations’ Olympic committees, and it did not help matters that the traditional Olympic salute and the Nazi salute were quite similar, the former being with the arm extended up high and slightly to the side, and the latter being with the arm extended directly to the front. Teams from a range of nations that included Afghanistan, Bolivia, Bulgaria, Italy, and—perhaps most surprisingly—France garnered warm applause for giving the Nazi salute (or at least what the assembled spectators took for the Nazi salute, although some delegations claimed to have given the Olympic salute). Conversely, the British decided well in advance that they would simply cast “eyes right.” The Americans followed suit, also doffing their caps and placing them over their hearts. However, the Americans suffered additional criticism as the only team not to lower their flag to the Fuehrer, an incident that apparently made him feel personally insulted. Olympia corroborates the various press accounts of the entry procession, as well as the political gravity of the various styles of acknowledging Hitler; the footage during this sequence cuts between the marching athletes, the stoic but attentive Fuehrer, and the animated crowd.

The sequence of this footage is interesting, in part, because it represents one of the few facets of the opening ceremony that was outside direct Nazi control. In addition, the spectators were not wrong in judging the respect each nation conferred on the regime according to the manner of its salute. According to the thinking of historian Andreas Daum, who assessed John F. Kennedy’s famous 1963 visit to Berlin from the standpoint of political theater, the parade into the Olympic stadium exemplified “the mutual process of seeing and being seen as a political statement,” a process in which “actors are to be seen as observers as well as the objects of observation.” Despite his minor “official” role in the ceremony, press reports and Riefenstahl’s footage establish that Hitler as-observer was clearly a focal point. The march served as something of a barometer enabling the foreign audience, the German masses, and Hitler himself to ascertain the Nazi regime’s international prestige—one where greater capitulation to the Fuehrer assured immediate gratification in the form of a warm reception from the spectators (if also, perhaps, some minor degree of admonishment in the international press). While the British and American performances undoubtedly disappointed Hitler, the fact that “nearly half of the delegations, numbering 24, gave varying forms of the Nazi salute with right arms extended upraised” amounted to a good overall showing for the German authorities.
MARTIAL INSINUATIONS: OLYMPIC TORCHBEARERS AND STRAUSS’ HYMN
After the crowd erupted at the entry of the German host team, who followed on the heels of the Americans, the orchestra struck up the national anthem and the Nazi Party’s anthem, the “Horst Wessel Lied,” in succession. GOC President Theodor Lewald proceeded to give a lengthy speech that contained several revealing lines. Lewald prepared the audience for the imminent arrival of the final torchbearer, explaining that the Olympic flame the runner would light in the stadium would create “a real and spiritual bond of fire between our German fatherland and the sacred places of Greece founded nearly 4,000 years ago by Nordic immigrants.”22 Lewald also enjoined that only so long as sport embodied “discipline and devotion to a higher ideal, dare it to be the object of such a festival.”23 Hitler then stepped up to the microphone and uttered the customary line allotted him, “I proclaim open the Olympic games of Berlin, celebrating the Eleventh Olympiad of the modern era,” at which point an Olympic flag was hoisted at centerfield, accompanied by the booms of a twenty-one-gun salute and the release of thousands of carrier pigeons. Native composer Richard Strauss took the stage to conduct the musicians in a new Olympic hymn of his own composition. At the song’s conclusion, the anticipated torchbearer appeared at the steps of the stadium’s East Gate, descending the infield to light the brazier beneath Marathon Gate.24 This sequence of events, which unfolded in a manner of minutes, was rich in symbolic meaning.

“... in the Nazi mind, the torch relay symbolized... their inheritance of ancient Greece’s legacy of martial athletic competition and, more broadly, the society’s legacy as an enduring center of contemporary civilization.”

Although modern audiences are quite familiar with the concept of the Olympic torchbearers, the Nazis introduced this tradition at the Berlin Games. After kindling the Olympic flame in a solemn ceremony at the site of the ancient games in Greece, more than 3,000 runners proceeded to relay it over a 2,000-mile route crossing six countries.25 This meticulously planned rite resonated deeply with the Nazi leadership in the manner briefly disclosed by Lewald’s above statement, in which Birchall dubbed “the one lone discordant racial note heard throughout the proceedings.”26 The Nazis saw themselves as heirs to the ancient Greeks, who contested the games not in the name of international peace and understanding, but as a testament to the athletic prowess pivotal to survival on the battlefield (their “higher ideal,” in the terminology used by Lewald), in much the same way that the Nazis’ mass rallies, military reviews, and civic organizations like the Hitler Youth functioned to ready the German people for war. Riefenstahl’s Olympia fosters the same connection: the opening clips show a Greek statue of a discus thrower “come to life,” and the footage then captures two other men practicing the shot put and the javelin. Sporting only loincloths, their training mimics the practice of the Greek athletes, who competed in the nude. Shortly thereafter, the film shows an identically-clad man light a torch from the mountain’s fire and begin the trek that would carry it to Berlin. Soaring through the clouds, the viewer then gains a crow’s eye view as the landscape gives way, and bold text announces the cities and countries through which the flame moved before arriving at Berlin.27 While the actual relay did not unfold exactly as Olympia suggests, the film clearly captures the Nazi vision.28 Regardless, it proved to be a public relations boon for its creators. At virtually every stop on its twelve-day journey, the relay was the subject of welcoming ceremonies, drawing crowds of enthusiastic administrators and locals, and the enterprise also captured the German imagination, being “minutely followed” by radio and newspaper outlets.29 When the relay reached German soil, the Nazi touch immediately asserted itself: from there on, one runner recalled that on top of being trim and fit, “[e]very one of us had to be a Super-Aryan. Only blue-eyed blondes were acceptable.”30 A wild success by any measure, in the Nazi mind, the torch relay symbolized, above all, their inheritance of ancient Greece’s legacy of martial athletic competition and, more broadly, the society’s legacy as an enduring center of contemporary civilization—a model for Hitler’s “thousand-year Reich.”
On the surface, however, the ceremonies concluded with the same peaceful note that had generally characterized the day's events. Spiridon Louis, who had led the Greek delegation into the stadium, had achieved instant stardom in his home country by winning the marathon at the first modern-era Olympics, held in Athens, Greece, in 1896. Now, the elderly shepherd was escorted to the dais, where he presented a sprig of olive from the hallowed grove of Mount Olympus to the Fuehrer, declaring, “I present to you this olive branch as a symbol of love and peace. We hope that the nations will ever meet solely in such peaceful competition.” A grateful Hitler accepted the token in yet another act that lent credence to the Nazis’ claim on the Olympics. Once again, the interaction had been carefully arranged by the GOC, who had invited Louis to Berlin and covered all his expenses. Once German weightlifter Rudolph Ismayr, a medalist at the 1932 games, took the Olympic oath on behalf of all the athletes, a swastika flag clutched between his hands, the traditional ceremonies were concluded, and the athletes filed out.

CONCLUSION
In the denouement to his comprehensive article on the first official day of Olympic activity, Frederick Birchall wrote, “[The Games] seem likely to accomplish what the rulers of Germany have frankly desired from them, that is, to give the world a new viewpoint from which to regard the Third Reich. It is promising that this viewpoint will be taken from an Olympic hill of peace.” While the games did provide a new perspective on the host country’s regime for their sixteen-day duration, Birchall’s “Olympic hill of peace” would fade soon and quickly. Just over three years later, the world would again be at war—one of Nazi Germany’s making. Knowing this, it is all too easy to examine accounts of the 1936 opening ceremonies and see the harbingers of World War II leaping off the page. For contemporary observers, the Third Reich’s peace overtures as outlined in this paper might well have come across as heartfelt; the regime’s innate militarism, however, always lurked beneath the surface.
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