I shall return it to you all." Perhaps the best parallel is found in the letter of Biridiya of Megiddo (near Taanach) to the Pharaoh: ți zurata laqi-mi kasp̄ āqāt̄su / ina qāt̄su / ba-de₄-u,²⁶ "And Zurata received his ransom (bribe) price in his hand / in his hands."²⁷

The failure of the docket to record the exact amount of the payment is surprising. However, the omission is understandable if the payment were in fact a bribe agreed upon by the principals, or if the payment were a predetermined sum, a fine, levy, or even a temple impost.

INSTANCES OF MOBILITY AMONG MARI ARTISANS

JACK M. SASSON

It should require no more than a hurried glance through the thirteen volumes of the Archives royales de Mari¹ to render it quite evident that Mari society was indeed an active one. As a city strategically located on the Euphrates, Mari played an important role in the distribution of goods among the ancient powers. These included the Cypro-Minoan west, shipper of the elegant pot and the rough copper; the Syro-Anatolian north, exporter of woods, stones, minerals, and some agricultural products; the Mesopotamian south, manufacturer of finished commodities; and the Elamite east, distributer of tin. For this reason, it surprises us little to discover that Mari’s community had achieved a significant division of its labor force.

This paper will focus on a class of workers possessed of a certain skill which, as a rule, was obtained after a period of apprenticeship. Further, our attention will be directed to that portion of this class which seems to have, willingly or otherwise, shuttled from one city, one region, or even one country to another. The positions held by these ambulating artisans will vary enormously, ranging from those of Salomes, who performed with seven veils (ARMT XIII: 22: 41), to those of diviners. Except for the purpose of presenting what seems to be a curious—but perhaps incidental—phenomenon, there will be no attempt at differentiating between the Samsi-Adad and Zimri-Lim periods. Messengers, army personnel, or merchants whose professions naturally required extensive travelling will not be considered.

It is possible to arrange the documents at our disposal in three categories. The first deals with single individuals whose talents were sought involves groups of workers, usually not as skilled as the members of the within the confines of Mari and its immediate dependencies. The second

²⁶ We are to read the gloss /badēw/ <bayadēhū, “into his hands.” One may also read “from his hands,” in spite of Akk. ina qāt̄su. bd is not infrequently used in this sense at Ugarit. E.g., see PRU V, 59: 18, 21 “take (lq̄h) from the hand of PN.” South Canaanite ladēw may also have been ambiguous.
²⁷ TA 245, 33-35.
¹ (A)rchives (R)oyales de (M)ari, volumes I-IX, XI-XIII, XV, Paris, 1950-1964. As a matter of convenience, see ARM XV, 318, sub METIERS.
first division, whose corvée duties demanded their presence at the capital. The third and last category comprises instances in which Mari citizens were recorded as employed by foreign dominions. The opposite, alien artisans within Mari spheres of influence, fall into this final class.

One reason for the transfer of artisans within the territories of a kingdom is connected with the political status of a district. It seems that a region designated as *pattum* was not permitted to remain for long with certain posts vacant. Thus ARM II: 15: 5-29, a letter from Išme-Dagan to his brother, reads:

> As to Naram-Sin, the diviner, concerning whom you wrote to me, the king [Šamsi-Adad] has assigned him to the district of Šitullum. That district, you know, is a *pattum*. I have now written to the king: ‘Since Narām-Sin left Yasmah-Adad, Yasmah-Adad has written the following to me: ‘Ībāl-pi-El is the diviner who is (now) with my lord [Šamsi-Adad]’. In addition to Narām-Sin, there is no diviner who is at my disposal. Let the king send a diviner . . . The city of Šitullum is a *pattum* and there is no *pattum* without a diviner. The king has already assigned a diviner for this district.’

That this concept lasted beyond Assyrian rule may be inferred from ARM XIII: 147: 27-33, a letter which was probably addressed to Zimri-Lim. In it a ruler of Talḥayum, Yawi-Ilā, writes:

> It is in a *pattum* city for my lord that I dwell. The physician died on ‘Lamentation for the Dead (qi-ir-bu-ti BA.TIL) day. Let my lord not restrain a physician from establishing himself at the ‘house’ (palace?) here in your city. Let him heal your servants, the citizens of Talḥayum.

Much more common, however, were instances in which the artisan’s round of duty involved him with the king’s five palaces, located at Mari, Terqa, Sagarātim, Dūr-Yaḥdun-Lim, and Qattunan (VII: 277: v: 2-7; 190: 4-6, 8, 22-3). Collectively, these were known as the ‘Palaces on the bank of the Euphrates (ARM V: 27: 6-7). During the Assyrian period the range had been increased to include Šubat-Enlil and Ekkāltūm.

Requests for mobile units of artisans were usually filed by a palace intendent in writing either directly to the king or to one of his officers. For example, ARM VI: 55, a letter from Baḥdi-Lim to Šunulḫraḥalu reads:

> Mannatan has collected and sent me, as a group, artisans (mārē ummēni) who cannot go on the road (girru). He did not send to me a mobile unit (gābam aliktam). Now then, I have sent a tablet to the king. Bring the matter to the king’s attention so that he can register all the men whom he designates. Let him send to me a mobile unit, and let them bring their provision.

But most documents in this category deal with artisans, usually acting singly, who were summoned to fill a post or to complete a task. A typical letter illustrating this situation is ARM II: 101: 8-31. Yaqqim-Addu, governor of Sagarātim, writes to Zimri-Lim:

> The day on which I send this, by tablet, to my lord, 7 lengths (qdndt) of Sagarātim’s wall collapsed, (namely), the bastion (sulhum) to the north of the city has fallen.

---

2 For restorations see Falkenstein, BO, 11 (1954), 15; CAD E, 328: b, 21; and CAD B, 124, 3’, b’. The translation in the last differs from the one offered above. For Šitullum, see Kraus, *Ein Edikt . . . Ammi-ṣaduqa*, 80-81.


4 But cf. CAD A, 346 (sub aliktu).
In the district there is no house-builder. Dagan-ašraya[. . .] who has now been dead for quite a while. But even when he was living, the man knew nothing. When my lord commissioned me to make an ice-house (ṣuripum), I requested from my lord a house-builder. I was granted Aḫum, the house-builder, and I have had him make the ice-house[. . .]. Then this man went to Mari. Now there are no house-builders for Dūr-Yahdun-Lim, Sagarātim, and the (other) two palaces. Let my lord command that a housebuilder of su[perior abil][ity], who can repair the two walls of the two palaces, be sent to me.


As in the case of Aḫum quoted above, a number of skilled workers managed to acquire a reputation, for our documents show them to be requested by name. Thus, for example, a letter written to Šamsi-Adad was sent from Mari (I: 115: 4-18):

Concerning Mēturum, the physician, who was to come here, I have already spoken to daddy. Now [. . .] Riṣiya is [about to die]. He is gravely sick. If it [plea]ses daddy, let Merānum promptly arrive here and let him cure Riṣiya. Let him not die.

Again, in ARM I: 102: 9-18 Šamsi-Adad writes to his son at Mari:

In truth, I should like to dispatch to you Śilli-Ea, the boat-builder. [Write to] Mari, so that they will bring to you Śilli-Ea. Then build 60 boats in Tuttul.

⁶ But see Birot, Syria, 41 (1964), 47.
⁸ Cf. VII: 225: 7; 226: 47.
⁹ ARM XIII, pp. 165-166 (sub 56,1.4) where sinnatum is translated ‘lance’ on the basis of an unpublished text. For CAD’s opinion(s), see G, 137b, and Ş, 201.

It is possible to compare, however, sinnatum with Hebrew šinnā, a large shield carried by Goliath, among others.

¹⁰ Warad-ilisu, the DIM GAL of III: 47, is called aḥānu in V: 28. CAD gives no specific meaning for aḥānu (A, 169). Should both texts be referring to the same Warad-ilisu, then aḥānu could be the Akkadian equivalent to DIM GAL. Both texts deal with construction. [Or a-ha-ni may mean “other (s)”]?

¹¹ For lurak/qūm see CAD Z, 73-74 (sub zarzaru). Von Soden, AHw 564, translates ‘ein Schaussteller??’ ARM XV, 217, renders ‘fakir(?),’ charmeur de serpents (?).’


¹³ CAD A, 192. AHw, 21, ‘Lehrling (?)’
Lastly, ARM V: 32, a letter written to Yasmah-Addu by Tarim-Šakīm:
Concerning ıpiq-Sin [the physician], I have once or twice said to my lord: “this man is very [able] . . . let my lord not pass him by.”

Possibly because of their distinction, some artisans were permitted to choose a region in which to work. ARM XIII: 16: 11-15, is a letter from Mukannišum to Zimri-Lim:
Ibbi-Adad, the smith (URUDU.NAGAR) who is to make the saḥirtum is not here. He has gone [to Ḫ]anat.

For this reason, the central office sometimes seems to have lost knowledge of their whereabouts. Bahdi-Lim writes to his lord in ARM VI: 24: 11-15:
Concerning Y[am]ṣi-ḥadnu [the cook] about whom [my lord] has written to me. [This man] has not come to me.

Having a reputation to preserve, some artisans often travelled widely either to study a certain herb (IV: 65: 13-18) or to collect supplies needed for their profession (II: 136: 4-13). It was probably because of such exertions that Mari acquired distinction in the field of chariot-building. This is clearly shown by Išme-Dagan’s letter, ARM IV: 79: 5-14, sent to his brother:
Ili-gamil [a functionary at Ekallatūm] is being pestered concerning the palace’s chariot-making. Mari’s chariots are better than those of the home-country [Assyria]. Send an apprentice cartwright able to manufacture chariots in the Mari fashion.

As one can expect, excellence was rewarded, sometimes bountifully. This is the case in ARM V: 73: 13-19, a letter from Ur-Šamana, addressing his lord Yasmah-Addu:
Nanna-manse is available. [Because] he has learned the art of [si]nging well, I have caused him to meet with my lord. My lord has issued instructions that they give a house (to him), but they have not given (it) to him.

Occasionally, an artisan or a functionary became so valuable that his lord was loath to permit him to travel. We thus read in ARM I: 58: 5-10, a letter from Šamsi-Adad to the viceroy of Mari:
I have written five times concerning Sin-idinnam. I have waited (?), I have not (received) him. I will not give him the [unwanted?] post concerning which I had spoken to him.

From another tablet, ARM I: 109: 5-19, we learn that Sin-idinnam was to be appointed as circuit governor of Razamā, Burullē, and Ḫaburātūm. For this reason, Yasmah-Addad interfered:
If I send Sin-idinnam to daddy, who will be the trustworthy man who remains here and establishes the organization of this palace?

14 ARM VII: 225: 7’; 226: 47, if same person.
15 CAD E, 286: 3’, eriqqu.
16 SE5. KI-ma-an-sē. For the passage, cf. CAD A, 181: 3’. CAD A, 177: 4b restores [ṭup-ša]ru-tam, the ‘art of writing,’ on the basis of the artisan’s Sumerian name. If so, this is corroborated by VII: 263: ii: 7, where a Nanna-mansum is listed among other functionaries.
17 CAD I, 237-238: 2’. See also Etel-pi-šamaš’ reply when asked to supply two reed-mat braidors to Ekallatum, ARM XIII: 139: 7’-9’.
At times complications were encountered in the search for people qualified to fill certain posts. We gain this impression from ARM XIII: 44, a letter written to Zimri-Lim who sought an experienced leather-worker:

I have supplied an able, even an outstanding leather-worker [writes Yasîm-Sumû]. . . . He is an experienced (SU.GI.A) person, for whom, among the messengers, the deportees (ZI.GA), even the Suteans we kept on searching.

But once found, an artisan was not permitted long periods of idleness. This is reflected in ARM II: 2, a letter from Šamsî-Addad:

Ilî-Dagan (cf. V: 28: 5, 7], the house-builder, why is he there? Why is he idle? the house is not even built there. . . . Send him to Šubat-Enlil. He will not be transferred here permanently. His people will remain there. As to him, he will, as before, supervise your own (team of) house-builders. Send him to Šubat-Enlil. At Šubat-Enlil, the temple of E[nlil] is to be built. Let him build that temple.

If, as it seems, an employer could and did protest against indolence, we have indications that the artisans also voiced their irritation, sometimes directly to the king. Thus, in ARM V: 67: 4-15, Meqibum, a functionary, protested to Yasmaḥ-Addad:

Concerning the plucking of sheep in Ašima, the king (Šamsî-Addad) had given the following instructions to Iskur-zikalamma: “Go, and until Meqibum comes to you, wash the sheep; then pluck them.” And now (continues Meqibum), I have arrived, but there are no pluckers (here). The sheep could not be plucked. Yasîdanum has sent me 150 men yesterday. Can one shear so many sheep with 150 men? Let my lord send a strong message to Yasîdanum so that he will send me a unit [of workers]. Have him gather 300 to 400 men from among the Tamakumeni (?) with travel provisions enough for two days. Let my lord send (them) to me. On the third day let them pluck.

From ARM V: 47: 5-24, one even surmises some freedom for the unhappy worker to argue his case directly at the royal palace. This is a letter to Yašmaḥ-Addu from Išar-Lim, who seems to protest his innocence a bit too strenuously:

Concerning Idin-Sin, the carpenter, about whom my lord has written me, I have never seen that man. Since my lord has commanded me, I have not revealed the matter to anyone. I was told as follows: “He has gone to Šuba[t-Enlil] to denounce you.” I have never written to this man, and I have never seen him. . . . Let my lord write so that they bring that man to my lord. Let him not meet with the king (Šamsî-Addad) in order to denounce me.

Often artisans who were sent on missions were replaced by skilled workers of equal status. Sometimes they were exchanged for a larger number of men with lesser abilities. Examples from the former category can be found in ARM I: 99: 3'-9', and IV: 79: 16-17. For the latter type, we can point to ARM I: 44: 10-20.

18 CAD G, 38: b (sub gamru).
19 ARM II: 140 is concerned with further problems in sheep-plucking. See also CAD B, 212: 2' (sub bêru B). Cf. CAD, B, 97: 4' (sub baqamu).
20 Cf. CAD A, 255: 6d. Note also ARM V: 31 which reads: “Yasmaḥ-Dagan, the scribe, argued (?) [with . . .]yasibum and left.” In this case, however, an order for his arrest was issued.
It is perhaps not out of place to direct attention to a very puzzling, yet striking divergence between the texts stemming from the Assyrian occupation and those of Zimri-Lim's era. Except for one example, ARM XIII: 41: 31-35, in itself an unclear text, all documents referring to the escape of artisans date to Assyrian times. In almost all these cases, the escapees, physicians (I: 28: 5-6; IV: 63: 5-11), woodworkers (?) (I: 63: 5-8), singers (II: 4: 4; I: 12: 5-21), barbers (II: 4: 5), and scribes (IV: 63: 5-10; V: 31: 5-15), seem to have headed toward the "big city," Mari. It is possible that they intended to reach Yamhadian territory, where the Sumu-Epuh dynasty, hostile to Assyria, could have provided refuge. At any rate, once in Mari, the escapees were not above spending a night at a local tavern, drinking and carousing with impudence (ARM I: 28).

It is amusing to note that according to the available documents, cooks displayed high propensity for flights to freedom (I: 14; I: 28: 5-6). In addition, they were quite willing to persuade others to follow suit. In ARM I: 89, we read Šamsi-Adad's letter to his son:

The female servant Rešat-[Aya (?)] has escaped. This servant was [aided (?)] by your cook who had brought fish to me... If she is not found have the cook, who had brought fish to me, sent to me.

It is not impossible, of course, that more than a love for liberty impelled our cook to spread sedition.

The fate of the artisans who were unlucky enough to have been captured is not very clear. Still, ARM IV: 63, a letter from Išme-Dagan to his brother, can slightly clarify the matter:

Concerning the escapees who fled from Nurrugum (a city east of the Tigris), about whom you have written to me, send the scribe to me, and retain a physician for yourself. Keep as many as you want from among the escapees. Have their [leader (?)] brought to me. From now on, concerning the escapees who come to you, keep him who comes to you. Those you do not (intend to) keep, have them brought to me so that I can disperse them wherever is needed (cf. ARM V: 61).

From ARM II: 103, where artisans belonging to Sumuditana, son of Hammurapi (of Babylon?) are to be arrested by Zimri-Lim's functionary, and from the above-cited example, it is possible to conjecture that there existed an entente among the powers concerning the recovery of escaped artisans. Such an agreement is well-known from the treaties of later times. KBo V: 4: 36-40, a Hittite treaty with Ḥapalla, can be paraphrased as follows:

Fugitives who are free men shall not be extradited, but fugitives who are farmers, weavers, carpenters, leather-workers, or craftsman of any kind shall be extradited.

Yet, it should be noted that ARM VII: 33 and 35, texts from the Assyrian occupation, disclose that 10 shekels of ointment were released

---

21 Both tablets deal with the same person, a singer from Ešnunna, Sin-iq̄ash. See below.
21a But for lines 23-24 cf. CAD E, 328: 1, 2'.
21b J. Friedrich, Staatsverträge (MVAG, 31), 1926, 58 (§ 7). A good example is to be found in IV: 5; a Turukku-man had escaped from Babylon. Following the request of its king, Šamsi-Adad gives instructions for this man's arrest and deportation.
to fugitives (*munnabtu*).\(^{22}\) This is an amount equal to rations received by messengers and heralds (*mubassiru*). One cannot be certain, of course, whether these fugitives were escaped artisans or important defectors from the enemy camp.

Falling into the second classification are those documents which show that large groups of artisans, skilled and semi-skilled, moved into Mari. Apparently, they were brought from nearby villages in order to fulfill their *corvée* duty. Occasionally, as is the case in ARM VI: 31, a small number would be directed to a secondary palace, such as the one at Terqa. But the majority would be sent to the capital. Once in Mari, they were provided with room and board in quarters especially reserved for their use.\(^{23}\) Although under optimum conditions these men were to be gainfully employed at all times, there are indications that a good portion were placed on ‘reserve’ (*DIRIG GA* = *wattaru*).\(^{24}\) The number within this last group was increased by levies. This is indicated by ARM IV: 86: 32-36, a letter written to Yasmaḫ-Adad by his brother:

> As for all those (men) for whom there is no contract, and therefore cannot cultivate, you will take for yourself as replacement for the reserve. These, in truth are *wattaru*-reserve. Let them continuously receive wheat, oil, and wool from the palace.\(^{25}\)

Together with the unassigned workers (*LŪ DIL DIL*), and their replacements (*LŪ EGIR*), the reserves were liable to be sent out to outlying districts (ARM III: 26: 22-26).\(^{26}\) There, the district governors were permitted to employ them in capacities which often disregarded the workers’ special talents. In addition to ARM III: 26, we possess an example in ARMT XIII: 40: 7-46 which speaks of carpenters and a smith recruited for the harvest. Another instance may be found in ARM II: 140 in which even local chieftains were drafted for sheep-plucking, a task that demanded a very large labor force (ARM V: 67; II: 140; XIII: 30).

In the third and last category we classify those itinerant artisans who chose to seek fame and fortune outside of their native lands. Citizens of Mari are known to have been engaged by Babylon (XIII: 30: 15-16; 45: 17-21),\(^{27}\) in Turukku territory (II: 64: 16-18)\(^{28}\) and possibly by Qatna (I: 46: 31-33). ARM VI: 21: 5-9 reports the return from Babylon of one of Mari’s citizens, Yasim-Dagan the barber. He presumably brought back with him the latest in hair-styling and beard-curling designs.

---

\(^{22}\) See also Bottéro, ARMT VII, § 23 (p. 193).

\(^{23}\) Cf. ARM XIII: 30: 11, and p. 56, n. 1. From II: 18: 10-13, it is clear that *corvée* workers sometimes escaped from Mari. In this case, replacements were provided.

\(^{24}\) CAD E, 286: 5'.

\(^{25}\) CAD B 212: 2' *sub bēru B*.

\(^{26}\) Text unclear in XIII: 45, but it appears that a gardener was sent to Babylon.

\(^{27}\) Arriwaz (or Arriyuk), author of this letter, calls himself a ‘son of Zimri-Lim.’ He was thus perhaps a vassal. But in view of the territory’s distance from Mari, it might be better to consider him Zimri-Lim’s son-in-law.
ARM I: 83 is an interesting tablet, for its shows Šamsi-Adad at his wily best, responding to a request from his vassal, Aplaḥ(a)-anda, king of Carchemish. To Ḫasmah-Adad, his son, he writes.

As to the narū-singer, . . . give him (Aplaḥanda) (one) of your (own) eštalu-singers. All of your eštalu-singers are (equally) excellent; one of these eštalu-singers is no less indispensable (than the other). As to the female narū-singer whom he [has requested of you], look around and [give him one who is expendable].

The traffic in artisans was, as can be expected, a two-way affair. We have evidence of foreign artisans working within the kingdom of Mari. From Yamḥad came a carpenter who was engaged to manufacture lamassu-statues (ARM XIII: 42: 5-14). Gurruru, a barber from Ešnunna, was brought to Šamsi-Adad at Talḥayum (ARM II: 4: 5).

As so many had done before him, he also tried, in vain, to flee from the Assyrian monarch. Another unhappy artisan was Sin-iqisam, who was a native of Ešnunna (II: 4: 4). Disenchanted with life at Mari, he started back toward his homeland. According to ARM I: 12: 5-25, he failed to reach it.

Those foreign artisans with whom Mari atmosphere agreed were apparently well-treated. A special dwelling area was set aside for them. ARM XII: 747 groups together skilled workers from Hazor, Yamḥad, Carchemish, and Ėmar, to mention only a few. Choice cuts of meats, wine, oil, wheat, even ice, usually imported for royal use, were made available to them (ARM XII: 747; XIII: 31: 6-7; 32: 6-9; 57: 4-24). ARMT XIII: 57 records the anger of Zimri-Lim upon hearing that the Babylonian contingent was badly treated (also, XIII: 123: 5-11). In addition, there exists evidence to suggest that foreigners, at Mari, were sometimes permitted to conduct legal matters in accordance with their own system. ARM VIII: 67 is a text which employs non-Mesopotamian legal terminology, one which was, however, familiar to the Syrian region. In addition, all those witnessing the document were aliens.

Despite all the brave attempts, it was nearly impossible to satisfy everyone at all times. This is reflected in ARMT XIII: 32: 5-23, a letter written to Zimri-Lim by one of his officials:

According to what my lord wrote to me, [I have provid]ed the Elamites with a jar of wine, 2 good rams, and the ice which was brought here for my lord. But as my lord [had writ]en to me thus: . . . “Concerning (their) meal, concerning their gifts, they are unhappy. Either you must (examine) the problem, or some other
friends of your staff must examine it for you." Having sent Yatar-Addu \[to parole.\]

them concerning the boat and their provision, (I know) that they are troubled neither over the gifts nor over the meals. They are troubled solely on account of the ‘affair of the palace.’

This ‘affair’ can be clarified from ARMT: 31: 14-20. The Elamites, eager to move towards other destinations, remark:

How long shall we remain here? Why are w[e] not se[nt a]way? In addition when the [son] of our lord wen[t t]o Terqa, why did we not accompany the son of our lord? \[\]

It is hoped that the aim of this paper, to focus attention on the trade in services, has to some degree been satisfied. Although only the documents from Mari have been consulted, there is little doubt that those of other ancient Near Eastern societies, when examined, would reveal similar institutions.\[\]

On a wider scale, such diffusion of artisans must have helped to create an atmosphere in which foreign practices, ideas, and traditions were able to find easier acceptance.

---

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES,**

**MAY 3, 1968**

The regular spring meeting of the Trustees was held at the Harvard Club, 27 West 44th Street, New York, on May 3, 1968, beginning at 2:30 P.M. Present of the Trustees were: Messrs. Campbell, Colt, Crowell, Detweiler, Fowler, Hamilton, Howell, Montgomery, Phelps, Reed (for the Society of Biblical Literature and Treasurer), Reynolds, Sachs (for the American Oriental Society), Wilkinson, and Wright (President); of Associate Trustees: Messrs. King, Lamberg-Karlovsky, and Marks; in other capacities: Messrs. Hansen (Second Vice-President), Grabar (Third Vice-President), Pritchard (Secretary), Newman (Administrative Director), Tucker (Evaluation Committee), Mendenhall and Williams (Jerusalem School Committee), Finkelstein (Baghdad School Committee), Sanders (Dead Sea Scroll Committee), Adams (Resident Director, Baghdad School), Schoonover (Director of Jerusalem School, 1968-69), Seiler (Accountant).

President Wright presented the agenda for the meeting, which were approved. The minutes of the December meeting of the Trustees as mailed to the Trustees were approved.

In reporting to the Trustees, the President announced that, after an affirmative telephone vote of the Executive Committee, he had retained Thomas D. Newman as
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