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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Dopamine Receptors and Dopaminergic Signaling Pathways

In the late 1950's, Carlsson reported dopamine as a potential neurotransmitter associated with natural reward. ${ }^{1,2}$ Shortly after, Parkinson's disease was found to be caused by deficient dopamine concentrations in two of the three regions of the basal ganglia (putamen and caudate nucleus). This lead to the treatment of Parkinson disease by replacement therapy using levadopa, a metabolic precursor to dopamine. ${ }^{3}$ Dopamine is in a class of slow acting neurotransmitters meaning it induces a cascade of biochemical reactions making it vital to long lasting regulation. ${ }^{4,5}$

Dopamine modulates the signaling pathway of target neurons by changes in the various neuronal processing mechanisms and alteration of synaptic plasticity, which is a synapse's ability to strengthen or weaken from changes in activity. Voltage-gated ion channels are regulated by dopamine through phosphorylation by protein kinase $A$ (PKA) of these channels or proteins of interest. Dopamine increases the phosphorylation of certain transcription factors which in turn, increases activity and expression of immediate early genes activating the expression of late genes. These late genes are believed to be key in long term modulation of synaptic plasticity induced by dopamine. ${ }^{6}$ It was known that dopamine receptors were targets of neuroleptic agents; however, two distinctive types of dopamine receptors were classified once was revealed that neuroleptic compounds were acting as antagonists towards certain dopamine receptors. ${ }^{5}$ The two classes are $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ which respectively stimulate and inhibit adenylyl cyclase. When these receptors were cloned, further subtypes of dopamine
receptors were identified: $D_{1}$-like receptors $\left(D_{1}\right.$ and $\left.D_{5}\right)$ and $D_{2}$ like receptors $\left(D_{2}, D_{3}\right.$, and $\left.D_{4}\right) .{ }^{6}$
$D_{1}$ like receptors are coupled to $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{lf}}$ proteins and can increase the production of cyclic AMP. D2 like receptors have the opposite effect; they too are associated with Gai/o proteins, but inhibit the production of cAMP. Dopamine receptor modulate cAMP production, therefore regulating proteins activated by cAMP e.g. PKA. ${ }^{7}$


Figure 1. Dopamine $D_{1}$ receptor (D1R) activation of signaling cascades inducing production of cAMP. D5R and D1R: D2R are dopamine $D_{5}$ receptor and dopamine D1D2 receptor heteromer respectively ${ }^{8}$


Figure 2. Dopamine $D_{2}$ receptor (D2R) activation of signaling cascades inducing inhibition of cAMP. 8

The multifunctional dopamine and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein (DARPP32/PPP1R1B) is one of many PKA substrates. Phosphorylation of this substrate by PKA results in DARPP-32 responding as a negative regulator of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1). Phosphorylation by cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5) inhibits PKA in a response to D1 receptor activation. (Figure 1 and 2). ${ }^{8}$ PKA and DARPP-32 play
important roles in dopaminergic signaling; evidence suggest contribution of PKA and DARPP-32 to dopamine receptor physiological function. ${ }^{9,10}$

### 1.2 Dopamine D4 Receptor

$D_{4}$ is unique from other dopamine receptors in that it exhibits an atypical polymorphism in exon 3 consisting of an open reading frame with 48 base pairs that is repeated in tandem up to ten times per allele. ${ }^{11,12}$ These polymorphisms can be translated producing receptors that differ from each other by as many as 128 amino acids. ${ }^{13}$ These variable receptor proteins are responsible for and have unique effects on the coupling of $G$ proteins. It was important to characterize pharmacological profile and identify second messenger coupling for $D_{4}$ as a putative $G$ protein coupled receptor. Enough protein was produced from heterologous expression to demonstrate the $G$ coupling and found that activation of $D_{4}$ receptor opens the kir3 potassium channel, activates kinases ERK1 and 2, and lowers functional $\gamma$-aminobutryic acid type A receptor levels along with inhibition of cyclic AMP production. ${ }^{14}$

### 1.3 Localization and Therapeutic Relevance

Clozapine, an antipsychotic used for the treatment of schizophrenia, has a high affinity for $D_{4}$ receptor which spurred the initial interest in development of compounds for $D_{4}$ modulation as a treatment for schizophrenia. ${ }^{15}$ The issue with this lies in the highly polymorphic nature of the $D_{4}$ receptor. The variations in DRD4 gene lead to a high number of proteins of differing pharmacological and signaling properties. ${ }^{1}$ Understanding of the role dopamine has in neuronal response elucidates its purpose in various mental ailments such as Parkinson disease, attention deficit disorder, schizophrenia and drug dependence. ${ }^{6}$

The location of dopamine $D_{4}$ receptor in the brain is paralleled by its function in cognitive function and memory. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ receptors are distributed in the amygdala and hippocampus. ${ }^{16}$ The amygdala especially is key in the connection between learning through emotional stimuli. ${ }^{16,17} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ receptor agonists improve performance of cognitive task. ${ }^{18}$ Drug seeking behavior is often brought on by external stimuli which is why the $D_{4}$ receptor is the focal point regarding drug dependence. ${ }^{1}$

Drd4 knockout mice were used in an effort to understand $D_{4}$ receptor role in locomotion activities. It was found that the mice lacking the receptor were highly sensitive to locomotor activity induced by ethanol, methamphetamine and cocaine. Compared to the wild type counterparts, the knockout mice had elevated levels of dopamine synthesis and turnover in dorsal striata of their basal ganglia. From this study, it was concluded that dopamine $D_{4}$ receptor modulates the locomotor activities in both normal and drug stimulated mice. ${ }^{19}$

Hypersensitivity of $D_{4}$ deficient mice to stimulant induced hyperlocomotion demonstrated that $D_{4}$ receptor may be responsible for predisposition to addiction. ${ }^{20} D_{4}$ knockout mice and wild type have similar response to cocaine. ${ }^{21}$ In studies of selfadministration of nicotine, a D4 antagonist (L-745,840) was shown to have no effect; this may suggest that $D_{4}$ is not in fact responsible for drug dependence; however, this is not the case. ${ }^{22}$ L-745,840 has an affinity to $D_{2}, D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ with Ki respectively $0.43,960$ and 2300 with no binding to $D_{1}$ or $D_{5}$ receptors. ${ }^{23} A$ known issue with $D_{2}$ antagonist is that when a drug dose is decreased, rats can compensate by increasing their response rate for the decreased dosage. ${ }^{24,25} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ receptors do not share in this problem. ${ }^{26}$ In a larger context, Dopamine $D_{4}$ receptors should not be viewed as targets for drug
dependence as they may actually induce drug usage. Combined results from the $D_{4}$ knockout mice and $D_{4}$ receptor antagonist show that $D_{4}$ antagonists do not increase drug usage and could potentially be a target for development of therapeutic for drug addiction. ${ }^{1}$

Stimulant drug dependence is a prolonged relapsing condition that can be exhibited by reinstatement animal models. Reinstatement is a reliable and highly predictive animal model for stimulant dependence. ${ }^{27}$ For this model, the animal is trained to self- administer a drug with a response. The response is then extinguished by removing the self-administered drug with no consequences.


Figure 3. Effect of DRD4 antagonist L-745,870 on the mean $\pm$ SEM reinstatement of nicotine-seeking behavior in rats. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ versus vehicle pre-treatment. Student's paired t -test $\# \# \mathrm{p}<0.01$; \#\#\#p<0.001 versus the baseline (BL). ${ }^{28}$

Once the response is completely extinguished, conditions are introduced which reinstates the response. A dopamine $D_{4}$ receptor antagonist, L-745, 870, is shown to interrupt the reinstatement of stimulant seeking when induced by introduction of the associated drug or cues. ${ }^{28}$ Exposure to nicotine resulted in reinstatement of response to pre-extinguished levels; the dosing of L-745,870 significantly reduced the number of active lever presses induced by injection of nicotine ( $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ s.c.; $\mathrm{n}=23$ ). L-745,870 considerably diminished the number of active lever presses triggered by cues associated with nicotine ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) (Figure 3). ${ }^{28}$ Findings from L-745,870 demonstrate that D4 antagonist prolong abstinence and do not increase self-drug administration making $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ antagonists viable for treatments of drug dependence. Stimulation of dopamine $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ receptor is not rewarding on its own meaning it may not have an additive potential making another reason it is a viable target for drug dependence. ${ }^{28}$

## CHAPTER 2

## LEAD OPTIMIZATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOPAMINE D4 RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS

### 2.1 Methodology for Accessing $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ active Chiral Morpholine Scaffold.

Methodology for enantioselective synthesis was developed as a means of accessing enantiopure C2- functionalized morpholines and piperazines using a chiral pyrrolidine catalyst. ${ }^{29}$



Scheme 1. Organocatalytic approach for enantioselective synthesis of benzyl protected morpholines and orthogonally protected piperazines. ${ }^{29}$

The enantioselective chlorination of aldehyde (1) was achieved to produce the alpha chlorinated aldehyde (2). No purification methods were necessary. Reductive amination gives the alcohol (5) or the protected amine (6) followed by base induced
cyclization renders the final products chiral morpholine (7) or orthogonally protected piperazine (8). The three step synthetic pathway (Scheme 1) has a few limitations. The yields were low, less than $50 \%$ in most cases. Epimerization was another drawback. The ee\% is highly variable. Hemiaminal formation can further compromise product yields. ${ }^{29}$ The restrictions with the methodology led to the use of Jørgensen methodology where the alpha chlorinated aldehyde is immediately reduced to an alcohol to prevent epimerization. ${ }^{30,31}$


Scheme 2. Five step synthetic pathway for achieving chiral morpholine in up to $98 \%$ ee and up to 60\% overall yields.

Starting with the aldehyde (1), enantioselective alpha chlorination was achieved by utilization of a chiral diphenylpyrrolidine. Reduction of the aldehyde with sodium borohydride produced the alcohol (9). The alcohol (9) was converted to a triflate and displaced by the benzyl protected amine to give the either the alcohol (5) or Boc protected amine (6). Deprotonation with Potassium tert-butoxide gives the enantiospecific chiral morpholine (7) or chiral piperazine (8) (Scheme 2). The overall yields for the enantiospecific cyclization ranging from 35 to $46 \%$ overall yield which is
considerably better than the previous method (Scheme 1) with 13 to $19 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{29}$

### 2.2 Discovery of ML398: Potent and Selective D $_{4}$ Receptor Antagonist



Scheme 3. Synthesis of chiral morpholine (10), a reported (patented) dopamine D4 receptor antagonist

The enantiopure morpholine synthesized previously was reported in a patent to be a specific Dopamine $D_{4}$ receptor antagonist although the potency and stereochemistry were not disclosed. ${ }^{32}$ The morpholine analogue was subjected to evaluation against dopamine receptors $\left(D_{1}-D_{4}\right)$ to find that $(R)-11$ is highly selective for subtype $\mathrm{D}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Ki}=0.07 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.18 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.


| Receptor |  | $( \pm)$ | $(S)$ | $(R)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 10.8 | 25.9 | 15.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | 31.8 | 76.4 | 46.2 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.14 | $>100$ | 0.07 |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | 0.36 | $>100$ | 0.18 |

Figure 4. Initial hit of activity and structure. Values in $\mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{33}$

Racemic and enantiopure forms of 11 were completely inactive against $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ subtypes $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ appears to lie completely with the $(R)$ - enantiomer $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=180 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=70 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Activity against $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ is also observed for this patented molecule. This hit lead to the use of the phenethyl morpholine scaffold to further explore the structure activity relationship and lead optimization for development of a dopamine $D_{4}$ receptor antagonist. ${ }^{29}$ A number of analogues were made around the phenethyl morpholine scaffold and assayed against $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ (Table 1) .

Table 1. SAR Evaluation of the $\mathbf{N}$ - Morpholine Analogues ${ }^{33}$


| Entry | R | $\mathrm{D}_{4}(\% \mathrm{inh} . @ 10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  | 94 |
| b |  | 98 |
| c | ${ }^{2}$ | 97 |
| d | 1 | 54 |
| e |  | 88 |
| f |  | 88 |
| g |  | 74 |
| h |  | 51 |
| i |  | 23 |
| j |  | 35 |
| k | $1-N$ | 13 |
| 1 |  | 17 |
| m |  | 5 |
| n | $N$ | 17 |
| o |  | 5 |
| p |  | 2 |

All assays performed on human receptor. ${ }^{34}$

Various substituted benzyl analogues were synthesized and assayed (12a-12h) exhibiting up to $98 \%$ inhibition against $D_{4}$. All pyridyl derivatives were inactive (12i-12k). Direct arylation of the morpholine ( $\mathbf{1 2 m} \mathbf{- 1 2 n}$ ) and modification of the linker to an amide (12I) were inactive. The urea (120) and sulfonamide (12p) were also not tolerated. All of this suggests that the flexibility of the between the morpholine and substituent plays a crucial factor in SAR. ${ }^{33}$

Table 2. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Evaluation of Active Benzyl Analogues ${ }^{33}$

| compd | $\mathrm{D}_{4}(\% \text { inh. @ } 10 \mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $( \pm)-12$ a | 94 | 0.16 | 0.043 |
| $(R)-12$ a | 96 | 0.23 | 0.065 |
| $( \pm)-12 \mathbf{~ b}$ | 98 | 0.17 | 0.046 |
| $(R)-12$ b | 86 | 0.10 | 0.028 |

Selectivity Profile ${ }^{a}:>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{25}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$
$\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.5 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=5.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{D}_{3}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.17 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=2.77 \mu \mathrm{M}$
( $\pm$ )-12 c
97
0.29
0.081
(R)-12 c
96
0.13
0.036

Selectivity Profile ${ }^{a}:>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~S}}, \mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{D}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$

| $( \pm)-12 \mathrm{~d}$ | 54 | 3.68 | 1.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $( \pm)-12 \mathrm{e}$ | 88 | 0.39 | 0.11 |
| $( \pm)-12 \mathrm{f}$ | 88 | 1.14 | 0.32 |
| $( \pm)-12 \mathrm{~g}$ | 74 | 1.48 | 0.41 |
| $( \pm)-12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 51 | 3.88 | 1.07 |

All assays performed on human receptor. ${ }^{34}$

The active substituted benzyl compounds were evaluated for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$. A trend emerged. The most potent compounds contained a meta- or paratrifluoromethoxybenzyl group (12a and 12e), a para- methoxybenzyl (12b) or a para-
chlorobenzyl (12c). The racemic compounds (12a-12c) were resolved to give the pure enantiomers of each. The enantiomerically pure analogues were evaluated and it was found that $(R) \mathbf{- 1 2 b}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{1 2} \mathbf{c}$ were the most potent antagonists with the highest binding affinities. These analogues were evaluated against the full array of dopamine receptors. $(R)$-12c displayed the best selectivity; it was inactive ( $>20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) against other dopamine receptors. Based on the selectivity, binding affinity and potency, $(R)-12 \mathrm{c}$ was stated to be a MLPCN probe (ML398). The DMPK properties of ML398 were determined; the compound is unstable towards oxidative metabolism and is predicted to have a higher than ideal clearance. It was also active against five other targets adrenergic, $\alpha_{1} \mathrm{~A}(77 \%)$; histamine, $\mathrm{H}_{1}(93 \%)$; sigma, $\sigma_{1}$ (99\%); dopamine transporter, DAT (72\%); norepinephrine transporter, NET (68\%). The cocaine induced hyperlocomotion assay was used to evaluate PK/PD relationship of ML398. Cocaine is known to induce hyperlocomotion meaning the cocaine is increasing the rate at which dopamine is transported; ML398 was shown to significantly reduce this locomotion. Further optimization of ML398 is necessary to address the pharmacokinetic issues with stability and clearance. ${ }^{33}$

## CHAPTER 3

## Lead Optimization of $D_{4}$ Antagonist

### 3.1. Chiral Phenylether Morpholine Library Synthesis



$$
\text { ML398: }(R)-12 \mathrm{c}
$$

Figure 5. ML398: Potent and Selective Dopamine D4 receptor antagonist.

Starting from the lead compound, ML398, efforts have been made to further explore the SAR around the phenethyl linkage which has otherwise been uncharted. A library around a phenylether scaffold was synthesized.

Synthesis of phenyl ether scaffold


Scheme 4. General synthetic pathway phenyl ether morpholine scaffold.

The alcohol (13) and phenol (14) react under mitsunobu conditions to give Boc protected morpholine (15). Deprotection of the Boc- group and basic workup produced the free amine (16) which can be analogued as desired.
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Figure 6. Analogues synthesized around chiral morpholine scaffolds with phenyl ether linkage.

Modification of the phenethyl linkage to a phenyl ether resulted to the first set of libraries to see if the ether linkage would be tolerated (Figure 6). The active enantiomer was previously determined to be $R$ from the compounds analogued from the discovery of the ML398 probe. ${ }^{33}$ Based on optical rotation, it was predicted that the $(S)$ enantiomer would be active in the phenyl ether series. Compound 17 was analogued giving the pyrazolopyrimidine (17a), imidazolopyridine (17b), and indazole (17c)
derivatives. The $(R)$ - enantiomer (18) was analogued giving the presumed inactive disubstituted benzene (18a) and indole (18b) derivatives.

Another set of libraries were synthesized with various substitutions around the phenyl ring (Table 3). Substitution at the meta- position of the phenyl with a fluorine afforded two analogues (19 and 20). Fluorine at the para- position resulted in a new scaffold that was further analogued to give two compounds (21 and 22). Two analogues were made with a para - cyano group (23 and 24).

Table 3. List of synthesized N - Morpholine analogues with varying head and tail groups

Entry

To further explore the structure activity relation, the phenyl ring was modified to a pyridine. The pyridine ether scaffold afforded two analogues (25 and 26); 2fluoropyridinyl also gave two analogues (27 and 28). The substituted phenol scaffolds were all synthesized under the general mitsunobu conditions (Scheme 4); however the pyridine scaffolds were afforded under alternative conditions.

Synthesis of Pyridinylether Scaffold


Scheme 5. General synthetic pathway for pyridinyl ether morpholine scaffold The alcohol (13) and pyridine (29) react under nucleophilic aromatic substitution conditions to give boc protected morpholine (30). Deprotection of the Boc- group and basic workup produced the free amine (31) which can be analogued as desired.

### 3.2 Chiral Pyridinyether Morpholine Library Synthesis

Issues with the morpholine analogues lie with the stability and pharmacokinetic properties. In an effort to eliminate susceptibility to reductive processes and improve metabolic stability, a difluoropiperidine scaffold was explored.


Scheme 6. General synthetic pathway for difluoropiperidine scaffolds
The synthesis is a five step pathway to get to the scaffold of interest (Scheme 6). Appel lodination of the alcohol gives the iodide (33) used for alkylation to arrive at the carboxylate ester (35). A Krapcho Decarboxylation gives the ketone (35) which can be fluorinated to provide benzyl protected amine (37). Hydrogenation to cleave the benzyl group produces the free amine (38) that can undergo reductive aminations to make various racemic analogues (Table 4-6).

From the data available, it appears the fluoro- substitution on the phenyl ring is well tolerated. The 6 -fluoro indole tail has the highest inhibition of all the derivatives. Chiral resolution and pharmacokinetic data is needs to be acquired of the analogues with $>85 \%$ D4 inhibition (Table 4-6).

Table 4. SAR Evaluation of 2-methoxy and 3-methoxy difluoropiperidine analogues.


Entry

Table 5. SAR Evaluation of 4-methoxy and 2-fluoro difluoropiperidine analogues.
41

42

Entry

Table 6. SAR Evaluation of 3-fluoro and 4-fluoro difluoropiperidine analogues.
43

44

Entry

## CHAPTER 4

## EXPERIMENTAL

### 4.1 Materials and Methods

Contents:
1-(2-iodoethyl)-2-methoxybenzene
1-(2-iodoethyl)-3-methoxybenzene
1-(2-iodoethyl)-3-methoxybenzene
1-(2-iodoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene
1-fluoro-2-(2-iodoethyl) benzene
1-fluoro-3-(2-iodoethyl) benzene
1-fluoro-4-(2-iodoethyl) benzene
1-benzyl-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-3-(2-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-3-(3-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-3-(4-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine

4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine
tert-butyl (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate
tert-butyl (S)-2-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate
tert-butyl (S)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate
tert-butyl (S)-2-((3-cyanophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate
1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine
4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium
2,2,2-trifluoroacetate
4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(2fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2trifluoroacetate
4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2trifluoroacetate
4,4-difluoro-1-((6-methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate
4,4-difluoro-1-((6-methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-6-methoxy-1H-indole 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole

3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-4-fluoro-1H-indole
4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium
2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate
4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1 H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium2,2,2trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate
(S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-ylmethyl)morpholine
(S)-4-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine
(S)-4-((1H-indazol-3-yl)methyl)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine
(S)-4-(3-chloro-4-methoxybenzyl)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine
(S)-4-(4-chloro-3-methoxybenzyl)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine (S)-3-((4-(4-chloro-3-methoxybenzyl)morpholin-2-yl)methoxy)benzonitrile

General. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 MHz instrument. Data reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{doublet}, \mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{quartet}$, $\mathrm{br}=\mathrm{broad}, \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{multiplet})$, integration, coupling constant (Hz). Low resolution mass spectra were obtained on an Agilent 1200 series 6130 mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization. High resolution mass spectra were obtained from a Waters QTOF API-US. Sorbtech silica gel GF 250 micron plates were used for analytical thin layer chromatography.

## Synthesis of aryl ethyl iodides:

1-(2-iodoethyl)-2-methoxybenzene. To a solution of 2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 65.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole $(4.92 \mathrm{~g}$, 72.3 mmol ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $18.95 \mathrm{~g}, 72.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine $(21.68 \mathrm{~g}, 85.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 5\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide (11.6 g, 67.4\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.25$ (ddd, $J=8.2,7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13 (dd, $J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.4, 7.2 Hz, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 158.70, 131.16, 130.03, 129.26, 121.44, 111.53, 55.72, 49.25, 36.62, 4.80.

1-(2-iodoethyl)-3-methoxybenzene. To a solution of 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 65.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole $(4.92 \mathrm{~g}$, 72.3 mmol ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $18.95 \mathrm{~g}, 72.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine ( $21.68 \mathrm{~g}, 85.4$ mmol ) was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and
concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide (13.15g, $76.4 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.77(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), 3.79 (s, 3H), $3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta 161.24,143.54,130.49,121.66,115.03,113.14,55.58,49.14,41.37$, 5.77.

1-(2-iodoethyl)-3-methoxybenzene. To a solution of 2-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol $(10 \mathrm{~g}, 65.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methylene chloride (100 mL) was added imidazole $(4.92 \mathrm{~g}$, 72.3 mmol ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $18.95 \mathrm{~g}, 72.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine ( $21.68 \mathrm{~g}, 85.4$ mmol ) was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide $(13.15 \mathrm{~g}$, $76.4 \%$ yield).

1-(2-iodoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene. To a solution of 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 65.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole $(4.92 \mathrm{~g}$, 72.3 mmol ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $18.95 \mathrm{~g}, 72.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine ( $21.68 \mathrm{~g}, 85.4$ mmol ) was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution.

The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 5\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide (11.33g, $65.8 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.18-7.11$ (m, 2H), $6.90-6.81$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 159.94,134.24,130.40,114.91,55.65,40.58,6.69$.

1-fluoro-2-(2-iodoethyl) benzene. To a solution of 2-(2-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (10g, 65.7 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole $(5.35 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine $(20.59 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The lodine $(21.75 \mathrm{~g}, 85.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 5\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide (15.17g, 84.9\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.35-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta 163.53,161.10,131.95,131.91$, 129.85, 129.77, 128.82, 128.65, 125.33, 125.29, 116.36, 116.14, 34.55, 34.53, 3.84, 3.82.

1-fluoro-3-(2-iodoethyl) benzene. To a solution of 2-(3-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (10g, 65.7 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole $(5.35 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and
triphenyl phosphine ( $20.59 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine ( $21.75 \mathrm{~g}, 85.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 5\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide ( $14.7 \mathrm{~g}, 82.4 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.37-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ (ddd, $J=7.6,1.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (ddd, $J=$ 9.0, 6.7, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.42 (m, 2H), 3.17 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 165.48,163.04,144.74,144.66,131.19,131.11,125.33,125.30$, 116.23, 116.02, 114.48, 114.27, 40.69, 5.27.

1-fluoro-4-(2-iodoethyl) benzene. To a solution of 2-(4-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol ( 10 g , 65.7 mmol ) in methylene chloride ( 100 mL ) was added imidazole ( $5.35 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $20.59 \mathrm{~g}, 78.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The lodine $(21.75 \mathrm{~g}, 85.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added gradually over 5 minutes. The solution stirred at room temperature until full conversion was observed via TLC at 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with methylene chloride was washed with saturated sodium thiosulfate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted in 2:1 diethyl ether: hexanes and filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue, which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the iodide ( $14.47 \mathrm{~g}, 81.1 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) ס 7.29 - 7.18 (m, 2H), $7.08-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta$ 164.29, 161.87, 138.01, 137.98, 131.18, 131.10, 116.18, 115.97, 48.83, 40.27, 6.13.

## Synthesis of Substituted 1-benzyl-3-phenthylpiperidin-4-one derivatives:

1-benzyl-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-(2-iodoethyl)-2methoxybenzene ( $11.6 \mathrm{~g}, 44.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 150 mL ) was added potassium carbonate (14.12g, 102.2 mmol ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride $(9.66 \mathrm{~g}, 34.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3-carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product ( $6.054 \mathrm{~g}, 15.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF ( 150 mL ). Lithium Chloride ( $6.73 \mathrm{~g}, 158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCl was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel
was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of 5-10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one (1.066g, 20.8\% yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.52$ - 7.25 (m, 5H), $7.16(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.32$ (m, 1H), $2.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 212.81, 158.88, 139.18, 131.10, 130.89, 130.31, 129.42, 128.47, 128.34, 121.48, 111.43, 62.64, 59.67, 55.68, 54.82, 49.91, 41.59, 28.72, 28.45, 23.70.

1-benzyl-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-(2-iodoethyl)-3methoxybenzene $(13.15 \mathrm{~g}, 50.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium carbonate (16.01g, 115.8 mmol ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $10.96 \mathrm{~g}, 38.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3-carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product ( $7.49 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF (150 mL). Lithium Chloride ( $8.33 \mathrm{~g}, 196.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction
mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCl was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of 5-10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one ( 2.17 g , $34.17 \%$ yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.43-7.25$ (m, $5 H), 7.22-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta$ $212.53,161.24,144.62,139.17,130.36,130.28,129.44,128.47,121.75,115.02$, $112.34,101.38,62.58,59.46,55.53,54.79,49.50,41.62,34.07,30.09$.

1-benzyl-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-(2-iodoethyl)-4methoxybenzene ( $11.33 \mathrm{~g}, 43.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 150 mL ) was added potassium carbonate ( $13.79 \mathrm{~g}, 99.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride $(9.44 \mathrm{~g}, 33.27 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in
hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine3 -carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product ( $6.245 \mathrm{~g}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF ( 150 mL ). Lithium Chloride ( $6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 163.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCI was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of $5-10-20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidin-4-one (1.97 g, $37.21 \%$ yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.43$ - 7.26 ( m , 5 H ), 7.02 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.81 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.12 - $1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 212.59$, 159.38, 139.21, 134.96, 130.28, 130.26, 129.42, 128.47, 114.82, 101.38, 62.58, 59.37, 55.62, 54.81, 49.60, 41.62, 33.08, 30.36.

1-benzyl-3-(2-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-fluoro-2-(2iodoethyl)benzene ( $15.17 \mathrm{~g}, 60.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 150 mL ) was added potassium carbonate ( $19.36 \mathrm{~g}, 140.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $13.25 \mathrm{~g}, 46.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and
concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product $(6.68 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to DMF ( 150 mL ). Lithium Chloride $(7.67 \mathrm{~g}, 181 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCl was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of 5-10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-4-one (1.907 g, $33.9 \%$ yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.47-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.41-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{ddt}, J=13.7,8.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol-d4) $\delta 212.30,163.69,161.27,139.15,131.83,131.78,130.27$, $129.65,129.43,128.97,128.89,128.47,125.28,125.24,116.18,115.96,62.57,59.57$, 54.73, 49.82, 49.08, 41.62, 28.93, 27.31, 27.29.

1-benzyl-3-(3-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-fluoro-3-(2iodoethyl)benzene ( $14.7 \mathrm{~g}, 58.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 150 mL ) was added potassium carbonate ( $18.76 \mathrm{~g}, 135.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $12.84 \mathrm{~g}, 45.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product ( $8.608 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF ( 150 mL ). Lithium Chloride ( $9.89 \mathrm{~g}, 233 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCl was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of $5-10-20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(3-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one ( 0.837 g , $11.5 \%$ yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.52-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(d d d d, J=14.7,9.2,5.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.94-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 212.34,165.56,163.14,139.20,131.08,130.99,130.27,129.63$, 129.49, 129.44, 128.49, 128.23, 125.23, 116.09, 115.88, 113.68, 113.47, 62.58, 59.43, 54.79, 53.56, 49.67, 41.65, 33.78, 29.99.

1-benzyl-3-(4-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one. To a solution of 1-fluoro-4-(2iodoethyl)benzene ( $14.47 \mathrm{~g}, 57.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 150 mL ) was added potassium carbonate ( $18.46 \mathrm{~g}, 133.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methyl 1-benzyl-4-oxo-3-piperidinecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $12.63 \mathrm{~g}, 44.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water and brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a golden colored residue which was purified via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) using 10-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford a mixture of methyl 1-benzyl-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3carboxylate and unknown contaminant. The product ( $8.29 \mathrm{~g}, 22.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DMF ( 150 mL ). Lithium Chloride ( $9.523 \mathrm{~g}, 224.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction refluxed at $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 1 N HCl was added. A gray precipitate was formed visibly in the deep red solution. The reaction mixture was poured onto a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution on ice, washed with diethyl ether 3 times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was diluted in methylene chloride ( 20 mL ); silica gel was added to the solution and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column
chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using a step gradient of $5-10-20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-3-(4-fluorophenethyl) piperidin-4-one ( 1.28 g , $18.4 \%$ yield from second step). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.21$ (m, 2H), 7.12 (dd, $J=8.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.07 (dtd, $J=9.6,5.2,4.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.22 (dd, $J=11.4,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 212.42,161.51,139.22,139.01,130.98,130.90,130.28$, 129.43, 128.48, 116.02, 115.81, 62.58, 61.53, 59.40, 54.81, 49.67, 49.28, 41.64, 33.22, 30.33, 20.85, 14.46.

## Synthesis of difluoro piperidine derivatives:

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl3 -(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $1.066 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added Bis(2-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride ( $2.19 \mathrm{~g}, 9.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reacton was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine ( $0.6356 \mathrm{~g}, 55.8 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.43-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.01 (dd, $J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (s, 3H), $3.62-3.48$ (m, 2H), $2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.20(\mathrm{~m}$,

1H), $2.16-1.81(m, 5 H), 1.60-1.49(m, 1 H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 157.24, 138.23, 130.03, 129.54, 128.69, 128.13, 126.97, 126.94, 123.14, 120.22, 110.07, 77.05, 61.99, 55.04, 54.80, 49.74, 49.64, 42.64, 42.43, 42.22, 33.85, 33.62, 33.38, 27.53, 25.11.

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 6.714 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added $\operatorname{Bis}(2$-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride ( $4.46 \mathrm{~g}, 20.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reaction was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine ( $0.8537 \mathrm{~g}, 36.8 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol-d4) $\delta 7.41-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-$ $6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.43$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.35(\mathrm{t}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{tt}, J=14.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) ठ 144.41, 138.96, 130.39, 130.35, 129.40, 128.45, 121.71, 115.01, 112.37, 62.99, 55.54, 51.17, 51.07, 49.64, 49.43, 49.21, 49.00, 48.79, 48.58, 48.36, 43.06, 34.61, 34.05, 27.85 .

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 6.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added Bis(2-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride ( $4.046 \mathrm{~g}, 18.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reaction was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl) piperidine (1.25g, 59.4\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.32-7.17(m, 5 H), 7.07-6.93(m, 2 H), 6.81-6.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.3,6.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 157.94,138.48,133.93,129.35,129.00,128.46,127.33,125.87$, $123.44,113.94,77.36,62.24,55.39,54.84,50.20,50.10,42.55,42.34,42.13,34.15$, 33.91, 33.68, 32.49, 27.34.

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $1.907 \mathrm{~g}, 6.128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added Bis(2-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride ( $4.068 \mathrm{~g}, 18.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reaction was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine (1.026g, 50.2\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.34-7.16(m, 5 H), 7.16-7.02(m, 2 H), 7.02-6.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{td}, J=10.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{t}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.9,6.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.14$, $138.10,130.34,130.28,128.83,128.68,128.48,128.31,128.16,127.50,127.42$, 127.02, 123.81, 123.77, 123.02, 115.16, 114.94, 61.95, 54.64, 49.76, 49.67, 42.53, 42.32, 42.11, 33.80, 33.56, 33.33, 26.45, 26.43, 25.61.

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $0.8369 \mathrm{~g}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added Bis(2-methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride ( $1.785 \mathrm{~g}, 8.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reaction was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine (0.2995 g, 33.4\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.34-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (td, $J=7.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ $-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6,6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{td}, J=10.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ (dt, $J=11.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 163.95,144.17,144.09$, 138.09, 129.62, 129.54, 128.67, 128.18, 127.08, 123.80, 123.78, 123.05, 115.10,
114.90, 112.72, 112.51, 77.05, 61.93, 54.46, 49.91, 49.81, 42.25, 42.04, 41.83, 33.57, 32.87, 26.67.

1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-4-one ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 30 mL ) was added $\operatorname{Bis}\left(2-\right.$ methoxyethyl)aminosulfur trifluoride $(2.74 \mathrm{~g}, 12.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $-78^{\circ}$ C. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 hours. LCMS confirmed conversion. The reaction was quench by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate solution dropwise. The reaction was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, decanted, silica gel added and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 10-20\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $0.6519 \mathrm{~g}, 40.5 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) ס 7.48 - 7.20 (m, 5H), $7.20-7.02$ (m, 2H), $7.02-6.70$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{q}, J=10.5,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.21-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta \quad 162.95,160.53,138.75,137.76,137.73,130.14,130.06,129.32$, 128.80, 127.69, 123.71, 115.66, 115.45, 77.68, 62.57, 55.09, 50.57, 50.47, 42.87, 42.66, 42.44, 34.47, 34.24, 34.01, 32.95, 27.60.

## Hydrogenation of benzyl protected difluoropiperidine derivatives:

4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $0.8265 \mathrm{~g}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ammonium formate ( $0.7544 \mathrm{~g}, 11.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon $(0.8265 \mathrm{~g})$. The reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction
was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol (350 mL), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine (0.810 g). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5,9.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 157.51,130.26,129.77,127.28,125.81,123.37,120.94$, 120.54, 110.38, 55.35, 48.46, 48.39, 44.37, 44.17, 43.96, 43.65, 43.56, 35.49, 35.27, 35.04, 29.81, 27.81, 25.14, 25.11, 25.07

4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $0.8537 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) was added ammonium formate ( $0.779 \mathrm{~g}, 12.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon ( $0 . .8537 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol ( 350 mL ), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine (0.532 g, 84.4\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.25-7.15$ (m, 1H), 6.82 - 6.69 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 129.53, 120.90, 114.27, 111.40, 77.36, 55.31, 48.64, 33.56.

4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ammonium formate ( $1.141 \mathrm{~g}, 18.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon $(1.25 \mathrm{~g})$. The reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction
was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol (350 mL), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine (0.5171 g, $51.7 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.14-7.07$ (m, 2H), $6.86-6.79$ (m, 2H), $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.58(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90$ - 1.59 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 158.01,133.90,129.36,123.39$, $113.99,77.36,55.41,48.61,48.54,44.03,43.83,43.65,43.56,35.57,35.35,35.12$, 32.57, 31.08, 27.03.

4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $1.026 \mathrm{~g}, 3.077 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) was added ammonium formate ( $0.9703 \mathrm{~g}, 15.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon ( 1.026 g ). The reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol (350 mL), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( 0.643 g , 85.9 \% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.27$ - 7.10 (m, 2H), $7.10-6.93$ (m, $2 H), 3.19-2.99(m, 2 H), 2.89-2.52(m, 4 H), 2.13-1.96(m, 2 H), 1.92-1.68(m, 2 H)$, 1.55 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 162.47, 160.04, 130.63, 130.58, $128.75,128.60,127.88,127.80,125.73,124.19,124.16,123.30,120.86,115.51$, 115.29, 77.36, 48.54, 48.47, 44.23, 44.03, 43.82, 43.64, 43.56, 35.53, 35.30, 35.07, 26.71, 25.64.

4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $0.2995 \mathrm{~g}, 0.898 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) was added ammonium formate ( $0.2832 \mathrm{~g}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon ( 0.2995 g ). The
reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol (350 mL), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( 0.126 g , 57.7 \% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.25-7.18$ (m, 1H), 6.96 (d, $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{td}, J=12.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.76-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (td, $J=12.7,11.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 164.26,161.82,144.40,144.33,129.97,129.89,125.61,124.10,124.07,123.17$, 115.37, 115.16, 113.06, 112.85, 77.39, 48.48, 48.41, 43.85, 43.64, 43.49, 43.44, 43.39, 35.34, 35.12, 34.89, 33.22, 26.64, 26.61.

4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine. To a solution of 1-benzyl-4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $0.6519 \mathrm{~g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) was added ammonium formate ( $0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 9.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and palladium on carbon ( 0.6519 g ). The reaction was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. TLC confirmed conversion. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through a pad of celite with methanol (350 mL), concentrated in vacuo to give oil 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( 0.1925 g , $40.5 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.19$ - 7.05 (m, 2H), $7.04-6.91$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{tt}, J=12.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.43-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroformd) $\delta 162.66,160.24,137.44,137.40,129.82,129.77,129.74,125.75,123.31,120.88$, $115.39,115.18,77.36,58.54,53.16,48.59,48.53,43.97,43.76,43.59,43.56,43.50$, $35.51,35.28,35.06,32.70,32.55,32.15,31.07,30.64,27.06,27.03,26.99,18.58$.

## Synthesis of Substituted Phenylether Morpholine Scaffolds

## tert-butyl (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate

To a solution of tert-butyl (S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.38$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, phenol ( $0.118 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $0.329 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $0.305 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was placed in a microwave reactor for 5 minutes at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ set to low absorbance. The solution was diluted with methylene chloride, silica gel added, concentrated in vacuo. . Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using $0-15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the desired product $(0.112 \mathrm{~g}$, $28 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.31-7.23$ (m, 2H), $6.99-6.88$ (m, 3H), 4.03 (dd, $J=10.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.94 (dt, $J=10.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.82-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (td, $J=11.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 158.58,154.77,129.50,121.18,114.63,80.19,73.87,68.56,66.63$, 45.34, 43.91, 28.44.

## tert-butyl (S)-2-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate

To a solution of tert-butyl (S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate ( $0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69$ mmol ), 3 -fluorophenol ( $0.232 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $0.416 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58$ mmol ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $0.265 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was placed in a microwave reactor for 5 minutes at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ set to low absorbance. The solution was diluted with methylene chloride, silica gel added, concentrated in vacuo. . Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using $0-15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the desired product $(84.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $39.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.18$ (td, $J=8.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.71-6.56$ (m, 3H), 3.99 (dd, $J=9.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.91 (dd, $J=10.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
3.75 (dtd, $J=10.4,5.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{td}, J=11.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 164.80, 162.36, 159.97, 159.87, 154.73, 130.31, 130.21, 110.38, 110.35, 108.10, 107.88, 102.56, 102.31, 80.24, 73.68, 68.87, 66.62, 45.36, 43.20, 28.41.

## tert-butyl (S)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate

To a solution of tert-butyl (S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate ( 0.150 g , 0.69 mmol ), 4-fluorophenol ( $0.232 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine ( $0.416 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $0.265 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was placed in a microwave reactor for 5 minutes at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ set to low absorbance. The solution was diluted with methylene chloride, silica gel added, concentrated in vacuo. . Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using $0-15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the desired product (161.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 75.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.01$ - 6.88 (m, 2H), 6.88 - 6.78 (m, 2H), $4.07-3.81$ (m, 5H), 3.75 (ddd, $J=10.7,5.3,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.56 (td, $J=11.7,2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.97 (t, J = $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.82 (s, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 158.70,156.33,154.76,154.74,115.98,115.75,115.67,80.24,73.85$, 69.36, 66.64, 45.32, 43.89, 28.43.

## tert-butyl (S)-2-((3-cyanophenoxy)methyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate

To a solution of tert-butyl (S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)morpholine-4-carboxylate ( 0.150 g , 0.69 mmol ), 3-cyanophenol ( $0.247 \mathrm{~g}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenyl phosphine ( 0.416 g , 1.58 mmol ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $0.265 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31$
mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was placed in a microwave reactor for 5 minutes at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ set to low absorbance. The solution was diluted with methylene chloride, silica gel added, concentrated in vacuo. Purification via column chromatography (ISCO combiflash) was done using 0-15\% ethyl acetate in hexanes to afford the desired product ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 72.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,2.7,1.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, $J=10.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (dtd, $J=$ $10.4,5.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 1.46 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 158.31,154.76,129.50,125.17$, $123.20,117.75,116.05,83.46,80.26,77.27,73.74,68.72,66.62,45.30,43.23,28.44$.

## General Procedure for synthesis of Difluoropiperidine and Morpholine Analogues

To a solution of amine (1 equiv) in methylene chloride ( 0.07 M ) was added resin bound cyanoborohydride (2.5 equiv), aldehyde (1.5 equiv), and acetic acid (5eq). The reaction was placed in a microwave reactor for 7 minutes at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ set to low absorbance. The solution was filtered through a phase separator and the solvent evaporated under a current of nitrogen and purified via reverse phase HPLC.

## 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine

According to the general procedure, 4,4-difluoro-3-[2-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethyl]piperidine (25mg, 0.098 mmol ) and 4-Chlorobenzaldehyde ( $20.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 20.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.30$ (d, $J=8.5$ Hz, 3H), $7.25-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.6,6.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 157.55,137.13,132.98,130.24,129.82,128.61,127.30,125.76$, $123.34,120.56,110.41,77.36,61.52,55.38,55.01,50.09,50.00,42.96,42.75,42.54$, 33.89, 27.83, 25.34.

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6 -fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (14.4 mg, 0.088 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine (15mg, 0.059 mmol ) afforded the desired product ( $6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 22.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.09$ (s, 1H), $7.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 H), 3.82-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.93$ (m, 4H), $1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.76, 143.53, 136.47, 136.35, 129.45, 124.38, 123.74, 123.51, 120.88, 120.59, 120.49, 114.24, $113.19,111.31,108.66,108.42,97.65,97.40,77.36,55.29,54.85,53.12,50.11,50.02$, 42.66, 42.45, 42.24, 33.91, 33.52, 31.09, 27.09.

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (14.4 mg, 0.088 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 20.1 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 133.61,129.02,124.08,123.31,120.41,120.31,113.59,108.33$, 108.10, $97.31,97.06,77.05,55.10,52.86,49.80,42.09,32.23,27.08$.

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium

## 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (15.1 mg, 0.092 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine (15 mg, 0.061 mmol ) afforded the desired product ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 21.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.42,161.41,130.63,130.58,128.76,128.60,127.79,127.71,124.41,124.11$, 124.07, 123.63, 120.67, 120.57, 115.43, 115.21, 113.30, 108.64, 108.40, 97.62, 97.36, 77.36, 54.88, 53.16, 49.97, 42.87, 42.65, 42.45, 26.70, 25.97.

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium

## 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (15.1 mg, 0.092 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product (5.9 mg, 19.9\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.04$ (s, 1H), 7.64 (dd, $J=8.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{td}, J=5.4,4.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-$ $3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $2.15-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.58$, 160.16, 159.05, 137.41, 136.51, 136.39, 129.78, 129.70, 124.37, 123.63, 123.59,
120.71, 120.61, 115.27, 115.06, 113.34, 108.66, 108.41, 97.66, 97.40, 77.36, 54.71, 53.19, 50.18, 42.66, 42.45, 42.24, 33.94, 32.74, 27.39

## 4,4-difluoro-1-((6-methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-

## 1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6 -methoxy-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde $(20.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.118 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.52$ (s, 1H), $7.40-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.64$ (m, 3H), 4.40 (d, J = $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.6$, 10.4, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.84 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.92, 157.34, 129.72, 126.74, 121.57, 120.71, 118.33, 114.00, 111.93, 111.65, 102.86, 95.26, 77.36, 55.83, 55.31, 48.13, 33.18, 26.80.

4,4-difluoro-1-((6-methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-

## 1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 6-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde $(20.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.118 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $31.2 \mathrm{mg}, 96.12 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 8.97 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ - 3.52 (m, 2H), $2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0$ Hz, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 158.23, 157.22, 137.05, 132.83, 129.31,
126.89, 121.56, 118.27, 114.15, 111.55, 102.58, 95.30, 77.36, 55.79, 55.39, 53.02, $51.58,48.19,48.08,40.32,40.08,32.05,31.70,31.44,27.01$.

## 3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-6-methoxy-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 6-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( 21.6 mg , 0.123 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $12.9 \mathrm{mg}, 39.05 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ 8.67 (s, 1H), $7.42-7.28(m, 2 H), 7.10-7.00(m, 2 H), 6.98-6.82(m, 4 H), 4.43-4.26$ (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), $3.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.68-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroformd) $\delta 157.32,136.99,136.34,129.80,129.72,126.80,121.51,118.24,115.58,115.37$, 111.67, 102.65, 95.29, 77.36, 55.82, 52.99, 51.61, 48.35, 40.72, 40.09, 32.25, 31.71, 26.91.

## 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 6 -chloro- 1 H -indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 19.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.06$ (s, 1H), $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (ddd, $J=$ 8.2, 2.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), $6.67-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (s, 3H), $3.75-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ (pt, J= 13.9, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 (t, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.14 (s, 1H), $2.09-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.77, 143.52, 129.46, 128.31, 126.42, 124.05, 120.89, 120.72, 120.53, 114.24, 111.33, 111.16, 77.36, 55.30, 54.85, 53.05, 50.14, 50.04, 42.69, 42.48, 42.27, 33.92, 33.53, 27.09.

## 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 6-chloro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 6.1 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.58 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.77, 143.52, 129.46, 128.31, 126.42, 124.05, 120.89, 120.72, 120.53, 114.24, 111.33, 111.16, 77.36, 55.30, 54.85, 53.05, 50.14, 50.04, 42.69, 42.48, 42.27, 33.92, 33.53, 27.09.

## 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 6-chloro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $16.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 13.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.64 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, J= $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.18-7.06$ (m, 3H), $7.05-6.92$ (m, 3H), 3.70 ( $\mathrm{q}, ~ J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ - $2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 130.63,127.81,124.12,120.74,120.54,115.43,115.21,111.16,77.36$, 54.75, 53.03, 49.94, 42.60, 42.39, 33.85, 33.62, 31.09, 26.68, 25.93.

## 6-chloro-3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 6-chloro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $16.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 10.9 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.58$, 136.90, 129.78, 129.70, 128.35, 123.99, 120.82, 120.55, 115.28, 115.07, 111.18, 77.36 , 53.09, 50.18, 42.67, 42.46, 33.93, 32.75, 27.40.

## 3-((4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-yl)methyl)-4-fluoro-1H-indole

According to the general procedure, 4-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( 23.96 mg , 0.147 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $5.9 \mathrm{mg}, 14.97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ $8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{td}, J=8.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.9,6.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 158.62, 157.56, 156.17, 139.11, 138.99, 130.47, 129.86, 127.20, 123.80, 123.51, 122.76, 122.69, 120.52, 116.83, 111.52, 110.39, 107.38, 107.34, 105.29, 105.09, 77.36, 55.36, 54.73, 53.14, 49.62, 49.53, 42.98, 42.78, 42.57, 34.05, 27.86, 25.47.

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059$ mmol ) afforded the desired product ( $2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 11.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- d) $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
11.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H), $6.79-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-$ $2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12$ (ddt, $J=15.4,10.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{td}, J=14.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.89, 142.45, 138.57, 129.67, 128.92, 123.79, 123.71, 120.66, 113.97, 111.91, 108.59, 106.42, 106.23, 101.23, 77.36, 55.29, 52.92, 52.16, 33.17, 31.78, 26.82.

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 4-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 66.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22 (dd, $J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.08$ (m, 1H), $7.07-6.93$ (m, 2H), 6.85 (dd, $J=$ 8.1, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.81 (dd, $J=13.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ (d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.65 (s, 1H), 2.55 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.48 (d, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.4,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 162.49, 162.13, 157.89, 157.16, 154.74, 138.46, 132.44, 128.96, 128.72, 128.67, 123.33, 123.25, 116.01, 115.82, 113.82, 108.34, 105.96, 105.90, 105.76, 105.71, 100.63, 100.50, 77.04, 55.07, 52.78, 52.70, 51.96, 47.63, 47.51, 40.48, 39.95, 39.71, 39.48, 31.71, 31.41, 31.15, 26.69.

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 4-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (14.4 mg, 0.088 mmol) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product (12.4 mg, 54.0\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- d ) $\delta 7.48$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.1,7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{td}, J=13.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=12.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $1.48(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 162.74, 162.30, 159.87, 157.49, 155.08, 138.80, 138.69, 130.65, 130.60, 129.03, 128.35, 128.27, 127.62, 127.46, 124.32, 124.29, 123.66, 123.58, 120.07, 117.96, 116.33, 115.57, 115.35, 108.66, 108.62, 106.30, 106.11, 100.92, 77.36, 53.01, 52.93, 52.33, 48.01, 47.90, 40.75, 40.40, 40.17, 39.93, 31.98, 31.71, 31.45, 26.54, 25.51.

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium

## 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 4-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde (14.4 mg, 0.088 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-fluorophenethyl)piperidine (14.3 mg, 0.059 mmol ) afforded the desired product ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 26.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- d ) $\delta 7.47$ (d, $J=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.0,9.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 162.40, 162.02, 143.26, 138.75, 138.65, 130.18, 130.10, 128.98, 124.02, 123.99, 123.78, 123.70, 117.71, 116.31, 115.24, 115.03, 114.82, 113.49, 113.28, 108.67,
108.64, 106.39, 106.20, 100.94, 77.36, 53.02, 52.94, 52.35, 48.11, 47.99, 40.55, 40.38, 40.15, 32.74, 32.00, 31.74, 31.48, 26.58.

4,4-difluoro-1-((4-fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidin-1-ium2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, 4-fluoro-1H-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $15.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093$ mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 54.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.45$ (s, 1H), $7.26-7.07$ (m, 3H), 7.03 (ddd, $J=8.0,5.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.77$ (m, 3H), 4.53 (d, J $=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ (dq, $J=14.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ (ddt, $J=14.4,9.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.78,162.65,162.28,160.35,155.05,138.78,138.67,136.29$, 136.26, 129.76, 129.68, 129.00, 123.65, 123.57, 120.10, 116.30, 116.12, 115.54, 115.33, 108.72, 108.69, 106.27, 106.08, 100.85, 77.36, 52.99, 52.91, 52.30, 48.09, 47.98, 40.69, 40.29, 40.05, 39.82, 32.24, 31.98, 31.72, 31.46, 26.87.

## 4,4-difluoro-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine-1-carbaldehyde (17.2 mg, 0.118 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(3-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $26.7 \mathrm{mg}, 88.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.05 (dt, $J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $13.5,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.4,6.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.4,6.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{td}, J=12.6,4.4$ Hz, 1H), $2.89(t, J=12.3 H z, 1 H), 2.69-2.53(m, 3 H), 2.14(d d d d, J=14.2,9.5,6.6$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), $1.64-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 161.95, 161.57, 159.89, 142.38, 131.64, 129.80, 129.71, 127.73, 123.71, 123.01, 120.71, 117.24, $117.18,116.49,115.57,114.19,113.79,112.06,111.81,77.36,55.29,55.25,53.15$, 51.04, 48.82, 40.41, 40.08, 33.15, 32.96, 31.62, 26.57.

## 4,4-difluoro-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-

## 1-ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine-1-carbaldehyde ( 17.2 mg , 0.118 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $15.5 \mathrm{mg}, 51.3 \%$ ). H NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ $8.54-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-6.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19 - $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 162.13, 161.74, $161.35,158.19,132.73,132.50,131.66,129.32,127.58,124.22,123.16,117.18$, 117.11, 116.04, 115.75, 114.25, 114.20, 114.15, 77.36, 55.44, 55.37, 53.31, 53.23, $50.64,49.00,48.90,40.50,40.26,40.02,39.86,32.09,31.96,31.61,31.35,26.72$.

4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)piperidin-1ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine-1-carbaldehyde ( 18 mg , 0.123 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(2-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
afforded the desired product ( $12.5,40.7 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.22$ (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), $7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{td}, J=12.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.06(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.39 - 2.23 (m, 4H), 1.57 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 160.96$, 160.55, 131.77, 130.57, 130.52, 128.46, 128.37, 127.17, 126.27, 124.41, 123.76, 118.00, 117.10, 116.68, 115.59, 115.37, 113.84, 113.03, 77.37, 76.92, 53.63, 49.38, 49.20, 40.22, 39.33, 26.11, 25.17.

4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)-1-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)piperidin-1-

## ium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate

According to the general procedure, imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine-1-carbaldehyde ( 18 mg , 0.123 mmol ) and 4,4-difluoro-3-(4-fluorophenethyl)piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 32.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 8.25$ - $8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.81$ (d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{td}, J=12.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.43(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 161.69, 161.29, 160.90, 160.50, 131.71, 129.84, 129.76, 129.73, 127.19, 125.66, 123.56, 117.43, 117.13, 116.81, 115.68, $115.52,115.47,115.31,113.95,113.82,77.36,53.54,53.46,49.61,49.43,49.33$, 40.31, 40.07, 39.82, 39.45, 32.22, 31.99, 31.62, 26.53.

## (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)-4-(pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-3-ylmethyl)morpholine

According to the general procedure, 3,3a-dihydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3carbaldehyde ( $21.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine ( 18.3 mg ,
0.095 mmol ) afforded the desired product ( $14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 47.9 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.75$ (dd, $J=7.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.56 (dd, $J=4.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.27 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13$ - 2.95 (m, 2H), 2.68 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) ס 158.21, 150.97, 148.21, 147.17, 135.93, 129.70, 121.75, 114.68, 109.23, 100.13, 97.34, 77.36, 72.11, 67.91, 64.05, 52.04, 50.30, 49.81.

## (S)-4-(imidazo[1,5-a]pyridin-1-ylmethyl)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine

According to the general procedure imidazo[1,5-a]pyridine-1-carbaldehyde ( 20.8 mg , 0.142 mmol ) and (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine ( $18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $6.9 \mathrm{mg}, 22.3 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.06$ (s, 1H), $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.85$ (m, 3H), 6.68 (dd, $J=9.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.76 (td, $J=11.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=11.3,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ 129.52, 122.34, 121.07, 118.13, 114.78, 112.87, 77.36, 74.20, 69.39, 52.93.

## (S)-4-((1 H-indazol-3-yl)methyl)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine

According to the general procedure, 1 H -indazole-3-carbaldehyde ( $20.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.142$ mmol ) and (S)-2-(phenoxymethyl)morpholine ( $18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 40.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.66$ (d, $J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.23 (ddd, $J=10.7,6.9,2.2 H z, 2 H), 4.18-4.05(m, 2 H), 4.05-4.00(m, 1 H), 3.48(d, J$ $=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{td}, J=$ 11.2, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 158.48,140.79,132.50,130.11$, 126.83, 122.13, 122.02, 121.75, 118.81, 114.86, 111.08, 77.39, 72.99, 67.78, 64.25, 52.65, 51.65, 51.12.

## (S)-4-(3-chloro-4-methoxybenzyl)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine

According to the general procedure, 3-chloro-4-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and (S)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 13.1 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.45-7.33$ (m, 1H), $7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-$ $3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 154.98,154.34,130.97,129.90,128.49,127.51,127.48,122.45$, $116.14,116.02,115.85,115.78,112.08,112.04,111.96,77.36,74.22,70.10,69.83$, $69.57,67.01,66.59,62.32,61.53,61.49,56.43,56.34,55.34,54.69,52.99,52.06$, 49.18, 46.89.

## (S)-4-(4-chloro-3-methoxybenzyl)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine

According to the general procedure, 4-chloro-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and (S)-2-((4-fluorophenoxy)methyl)morpholine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 10.4 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform- d ) $\delta 7.29$ (d, $J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.02$
(m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 155.57, 155.12, 154.95, 138.12, 130.69, 130.65, 130.02, 123.72, 121.93, 120.76, 116.16, 116.03, 115.93, 115.82, 115.75, $115.68,112.73,111.52,77.36,74.21,74.01,70.04,69.75,69.52,67.00,66.59,63.02$, 62.20, 62.15, 56.43, 56.28, 55.46, 54.74, 53.11, 52.13, 49.35, 47.06.

## (S)-3-((4-(4-chloro-3-methoxybenzyl)morpholin-2-yl)methoxy)benzonitrile

According to the general procedure, 4-chloro-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138$ mmol ) and (S)-3-(morpholin-2-ylmethoxy)benzonitrile ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded the desired product ( $13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 39.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.39$ (d, $J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-$ $6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,6.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (d, J = $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 162.56,162.19,157.87,156.19,130.83,130.25,129.75,127.55$, 125.80, 125.25, 123.64, 123.51, 119.62, 117.98, 115.85, 114.84, 114.21, 110.75, 83.24, 77.60, 77.36, 71.82, 67.99, 64.90, 63.88, 61.49, 56.30, 56.24, 52.70, 51.15, 40.51, 25.45 .
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